


APPENDIX

F



EXHIBIT COVER PAGE



EXHIBIT

Description if this exhibit:

SUPERIOR COURT HABEAS RULINGS

WHCJS1800338

APPELLATE COURT RULING

2 PGS

Number of Pages to this exhibit: 5 Pages.

JURISDICTION: (Check One Only)

- MUNICIPAL COURT
- SUPERIOR COURT
- APPELATE COURT
- STATE SUPREME COURT
- UNITED STATES DISCTRICT COURT
- STATE CIRCUIT COURT
- UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT
- GRAND JURY

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36

FILED
SUPERIOR COURT
COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO
STATE OF CALIFORNIA

OCT 30 2018

By [Signature]
Deputy

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO

In the matter of

John Henry Yablonsky, Petitioner

for Writ of Habeas Corpus

Case No. WHCJS1800338

ORDER

THIS EXHIBIT
SUPPORTS FRAUD BY
DOA + ~~SHERIFF~~
SHERIFF

Petitioner John Henry Yablonsky filed a petition for writ of habeas corpus on September 13, 2018. This Court denied it on October 9. Two weeks later, this Court received a motion to file a compact disc, which includes a compact disc purportedly containing an audio recording used as an exhibit in Petitioner's trial. This Court informed Petitioner the next day that, as the case was closed, the Court could not reconsider its decision based on the disc. The day after that, Petitioner filed a petition for rehearing.

"An order denying a petition for writ of habeas corpus in the superior court is final immediately upon its filing, and review of the order can only be had by the filing of a new petition in the Court of Appeal. [Citation.]" (*Jackson v. Superior Court* (2010) 189 Cal.App.4th 1051, 1065, fn. 5.) As this Court denied Petitioner's petition for a writ of habeas corpus, this case is now closed and this Court may not reconsider its decision. To the extent Petitioner is seeking review of this Court's decision, he must file a new petition with the Court of Appeal.

The petition for rehearing is DENIED.

Dated: October 30, 2018

[Signature]
Hon. Gregory S. Tavill
Judge of the Superior Court

COURT OF APPEAL -- STATE OF CALIFORNIA
FOURTH DISTRICT
DIVISION TWO

ORDER

In re JOHN HENRY YABLONSKY

E071880

on Habeas Corpus.

(Super.Ct.Nos. FVI900518,
WHCJS1800338 &
WHCSS1200311)

The County of San Bernardino

THE COURT

The motion of petitioner filed January 16, 2019, for permission to include the trial court's exhibit 49 (a cd of a police interview with petitioner) as exhibit 65 to petitioner's habeas corpus petition is GRANTED.

cc: See attached list

EXHIBIT
SUPPORTING
FRAUD BY
PROSECUTOR

RAMIREZ
Presiding Justice

John Henry Yablonsky AL0373
18-147
480 Alta rd.
Sandiego,ca,92179

DAD

CLERK OF THE COURT
COURT OF APPEAL
FOURTH DISTRICT, DIVISION TWO

In Re John Henry Yablonsky, § CASE NO.#EO71880
On Habeas/Coram nobis, § Superior Court trial#FVI900518
§ Superior Court Habeas#WHCSS1200311
§ Superior Court Habeas#WHCJS1800338
§ PETITION FOR REHEARING PURSUANT TO
CRC RULE 8.268(b)(1)
§ PENAL CODE §1473, 141
§ SENATE BILLS 1909, 1134, 261
§ Rule 5.1.13 CRC HABEAS CORPUS

CASE SUMMARY

Petitioner was tried and convicted by the Superior Court of California in Sanbernardino county for murder in the first degree consistant to P.C. § 187, and found guilty by the use of false evidences. Petitioner timely filed direct appeals which had been determined without the evidneces or facts within this petition herein. Petitioner filed petition for review by the State Supreme Court and that determination was also made without these facts or evidneces filed herein this petition. Petitioner timely filed habeas corpus with the Superior Court inthe district his conviction was determined. That Court rules on several matters that [IT DID NOT HAVE JURISDICTION] denying that petition with these allegations

1 stating that petitioner did not have proof. Petitioner diligently
2 sought to develop facts which revealed evidences to support the
3 claims made at the Superior Court level which were being piecemealed
4 by trial counsel and post trial counsel from ;
5 June 2009 where 300 of the 5000 pages were released
6 March 2011 where 1300 different pages were released from the 5000
7 July 2014 where trial counsel released another 1600 different pages
8 than the first 300 or the second 1300 out of the 5000
9 pages and not until the state bar got involved
10 January 2016 where post trial counsel finally released the full
11 case filed releasing 5300 pages which included the first
12 disbursement in 2009 of 300 pages, the second disbursement
13 in 2011 after the trial had concluded with 1300 more,
14 as well as the third disbursement of 1600 pages.
15 This fourth installment also contained a compact disc
16 of the evidence used during trial which confirms that
17 state actors alered, manufactured evidneces they relied
18 on in their conviction

13 MARCH 2018 PETITIONER SUPREME COURT CERTIORARI WAS
14 DENIED REHEARING
15 Petitioner filed a second successive habeas petition
16 in the Suoperior Court as soon as he could validate and confirm
17 these records that had been piece mealed over a period of seven
18 years after the very first initial demand for the states entire
19 filed. (see exhibits 1 through 11). The Court at the second succes
20 ive level denied the petition prejudicially, stating that petitioner
21 took too long to develop these facts on October 9, 2018 (CD3:5-11)
22 Most importantly the Court ignore the fact that the first habeas
23 petition Judge Kyle Brodie stated that the Court lacked jurisdiction
24 to hear those claims stating the petitioner did not have [PROOF]!

25 The evidneces presented in the original trial were not
26 substancial on their face outside the manufactured evidence, while
27 two experts stated (Dr. Saukel " That the DNA matching petitioner
28 was at least one and a half days older than the murder"(RT490)
(Pathologist) (see exhibit 51)

1 (Criminalist Jone stated " That several days had passed since the
2 sex, and he was certain of these findings" (Rt317) (See exhibit.51)
3 Petitioner was not seen at the residence, his fingerprints were
4 not located at the scene. (see exhibit 29) The victim was seen
5 at a party the day after petitioner had had sex with her, and was
6 seen there alive by three different persons who testified at trial
7 that they seen her on the night of Friday Sept3ember 20, 1985.
8 (see exhibit 13 Bruce Nash) (see exhibit 14 John Sullivan)
9 (see exhibit 14 Francesca Drake)

10 Rita Cobb was seen alive at the bars the night she had
11 been killed by several parties who offered statements that are mem-
12 orialized her. (See exhibit 16 Gregory Randolph) (see exhibit,21
13 Sheryll Brodus)(see exhibit 22 Ron Campbell)(see exhibit 24 Rene
14 Smith)(see exhibit 25 Fred Halbhook) Most strikingly odd was
15 that Gregory randolph not only seen Cobb at the bar, but admitted
16 to friends that he took her home and killed her. His confession
17 report led to arrest and other fact developing evidneces while
18 after he had been released and before these evidences had even been
19 proressed for DNA matching, killed himself before his DNA was tied
20 to this case. Trophies were located in his crime scene in the form
21 of photographs of murdered women.

22 23 ARGUMENT

24 The rules and laws surrounding newly discovered evidneces
25 apply in this matter regarding the use of false evidnece. The compact
26 disc accepoted by thie Court,as well as the Superior Court second
27 habeas confirm that the state prosecutor and his cronie Detective
28 Sheriff deput Robert Alexander altered answers given by petitoner.

1 This very Court admitted that petitioners DNA was not
2 a factor in their ruling in 2013 "That: defendants DNA was at least
3 one and a half days older than the crime of murder, that [A] could
4 have had sex with Cobb on Thursday night, and been killed by [B]
5 on Saturday " (COA 13) This Court also found that Rita Cobbs statement
6 to Bruce nash was relevant and should have been allowed in the trial
7 because "Although her statements was that she was going to the
8 Zodiac Lounge, she could have gone to another bar that night, also
9 she may have gone to the Zodiac Lounge and been waylaid in the park
10 lot"

11 YOU GOTTA READ THE CONFESSION REPORT EXHIBIT 16!!!!!!

12 This confession is not only ironically coincidental that
13 he confessed to meeting her at the exact same bar she said she was
14 going, but his details of the crime scene were morbidly accurate!
15 How else would he have known that she was going to the Zodiac lounge?
16 This brings us to the manufacturing of the evidncece. Furst these
17 actors had a parrent for arrest ands still spoke to petitioner without
18 miranda, recording these evients. They then did not transcribe these
19 until after a lawsuit provoke the prosecutor who litterally had
20 less than nothing to prosecute petitioner for this crime chose to
21 make evidnece that ties him to culpable behavior by oplacing a key
22 to the victims home into his possession. There really was nothing
23 else presented to these jurors that even implied petitioner had
24 anything to do with the case. DDA Ferguson admitted this when she
25 stated in here defense of petitioners first habeas, "That the defend-
26 ant admitted to having a key to the home of Rita Cobb and the jurors
27 used this exhibit 49A to make their decisions." You cannot unring

1 the bells of the Court (THIS COURT LACKS JURISDICTION) deputy prose-
2 cutor Ferguson (Collusory allegations without more is insufficient)

3 These allegations are now before this Court and are more
4 than sufficient, and supported by the laws under P.C. 141, 1473!!

5 Aside the fact that petitioner is innocent. Even if a
6 party was guilty the laws under P.C. 141, 1473 state the conviction
7 should be revered if there is a reasonable probability that the
8 false evidnece influenced the decision. Hell, it was the only evid-
9 ence that even implied petitioner committed any crime!! Placing
10 that fact on to½p that petitioner really is innocent and was in
11 another county at a family function at the time this murder occured
12 which was not investigasted by the trial counsel† does not change
13 the fact he was not at the crime scene the day the murder occured!!

14 PETITIONERS SECOND AND SUCCESSIVE WAS TIMELY
15 UNDER THE PREVAILING CIRCUMSTANCES THAT WERE OUT
16 OF PETITIONERS CONTROL,

16 AUTHORITY

17 All rules laws and authority in petitioners breif filed
18 herein the original filing are now and hereby incorporated by refe-
19 rance herein. Summary denials on meritorious habesas under federal
20 violations in state courts was not sufficient to rely on last court
21 decision or reason when it is [obvious] that the state court had
22 overllooked or disregarded petitiioners rights Ylst v Nunnemaker,
23 501 US 797, 806, 111 S. Ct. 2590, 115 L.Ed.2d 706(1991)'; Williams
24 v Cavazos 646 f3d 626, 635(2011); Johnson v Williams 568 US 289
25 (2012) That the state Court decision on which the Court relied was
26 not ~~eensistant-~~ a-~~construetieb~~n constitutional decision (646 f3d
27 at 640) Summary denial can be refuted by [STRONG EVIDNECE] Kernan
28 v Hinojosa 136 S.Ct. 1603(2016)


CAC 5.1.13 ALL PETITIONS FOR WRIT OF HABEAS
CORPUS OR CORAM NOBIS SHALL BE ASSIGNED TO
THE PRESIDING JUDGE OF THE APPELLATE DIVISION.
CONCLUSION

1
2
3 This is not what the constitution called for under the
4 XIV Amendment regarding the loss of liberty by the denial of due
5 process from state laws that are not being applied properly. The
6 law under P.C. 1473 are clear that if there was a use of false evid
7 ence and that ruling was determined by this fasle evidence then
8 the case must be vacated and bound over for a new trial based on
9 the truth. The Superior Court denied jurisdiction, the $\frac{1}{2}$ prosecutors
10 office stated that collusory allegations without more was insuffic-
11 ient, suggesting if there was proof, then habeas should have been
12 granted.....WELL, THERE IS PROOF AND THIS COURT HAS
13 IT NOW!!!!!!!!!!!!

14
15
16
17
18
19 PRAYER

- 20 1) Grant petitioner an evidentiary hearing where experts can validate
21 the evidnece before this Court
22 2) Grant a rehearing based on the facts of this case and allow
23 the attorney general to filed oppositrions, or admit false
24 evidence was in fact used
25 3) Any other releift this Court deems sufficient

26
27 April 16, 2019


John Henry yabl;onsky

COURT OF APPEAL -- STATE OF CALIFORNIA
FOURTH DISTRICT
DIVISION TWO

ORDER

In re JOHN HENRY YABLONSKY

E071880

on Habeas Corpus.

(Super.Ct.Nos. FVI900518,
WHCJS1800338 &
WHCSS1200311)

The County of San Bernardino

THE COURT

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is DENIED.

CODRINGTON

Acting P. J.

Panel: Codrington
McKinster
Miller

cc: See attached list

PROOF OF SERVICE


I John Henry yablonsky an adult over the age of consent and a party to this action have mailed accordjg to regular practivces of this institution a petition for rehearing. This mailing was placed into a n envelope that was postage fully prepaid and addressed tothe fil following

Court of Appeal
3389 12th street
Riversdide ca, 92501

Attorney general
box 85266
s.d.ca. 92186

Public Defenders
14455 civic dr
v.v.ca, 92356

This was mailed on April 16, 2019 from 480 alta rd sandiego ca, 92179 according to the rules and prwactivces of the department of correction



John Henry yabl;onsky

E071880

**Court of Appeal
Fourth District, Division Two**

In re JOHN HENRY YABLONSKY

E071880


on Habeas Corpus.

(Super.Ct.No. FVI900518 &
WHCJS1800338 &
WHCSS1200311)

The County of San Bernardino

Denial of a petition for writ of habeas corpus is final in the court of appeal immediately upon its filing. This court no longer has jurisdiction on this habeas petition. It was final on April 3, 2019. See California Rules of Court, rule 8.387

EXHIBIT COVER PAGE



EXHIBIT

Description of this exhibit:

Number of Pages to this exhibit: _____ Pages.

JURISDICTION: (Check One Only)

- MUNICIPAL COURT
- SUPERIOR COURT
- APPELATE COURT
- STATE SUPREME COURT
- UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
- STATE CIRCUIT COURT
- UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT
- GRAND JURY

24

OFFICE OF THE PUBLIC DEFENDER

Defendant: Yablonsky, John Henry

Def.#: 01 DOB: 09/30/196

AKA:

Co-Def:

Address: 1700 E Silva St, Long Beach, CA 90807

Phone #:

Charges: PC187(A)

Bail Amount: \$1000000.00

Court Case No.: FV19005

Custody Status: In Custody

Booking Number

Attorney	Date	Court	Activity
	03/10/2009		Pre-Preliminary Hrg, 03/18/2009, 08:30 A, V6; Preliminary Hrg 03/23/2009, 09:00 A, V6;
GR	3/23	6	5/6/09 - PPH 5/21/09 - PH Also request sent
GR	3/21	Jail	visited w/ A 2h. @ jail
GR	4/3	ofc.	Video copy EXHIBIT w/ A for the - (1) Rambos: (1) (1-1) = 1 (1)

13 DAYS AFTER ARREST VISIT BY ATT. COUNTY AT JAIL

COUNTY ACKNOWLEDGEMENT REQ.

EXHIBIT (1)
Rambos: (1)
(1-1) = 1 (1)

111

- he was 22

- 1) had to do it 6 times over a couple of months
- 2) lived in back unit w wife + 1 kid
- 3) last time at her house afternoon
- 4) saw her at market she asked for me to stop by.
- 5) I came over, another female was there.
- 6) I was looking at drains They started.
- 7) her later blonde said her husband coming over later.
- 8) got dressed & started. They were both on couch.
- 9) In later went back to house (wife or at time)
- 10) Got call - went to Southgate
- 11) Heard from Dad that she died.
- 12) I was at his house at time he told me.
- 13) I had about 2 notes in Rita at time.

CSNY
NOTES AT
1ST DISCUSSION

THIS IS MY 1ST MEETING
WITH ATTORNEAL CSNY
IN JAIL

1 1/2 TO 4
DAYS



THIS MATCHED DNR (CLOSE. THIN PRIME)

- 1) HAD 6 ABOUT 6 TIME OVER A COUPLE MONTHS
- 2) LIVED IN BACK WITH WIFE + 1 KID
- 3) LAST TIME AT HER HOUSE AFTERNOON
- 4) SAW HER AT MARKET SHE ASKED ME TO STOP BY
- 5) I CAME OVER, ANOTHER FEMALE WAS THERE
- 6) I WAS LOOKING AT THE DRAIN (THEY STARTED)
- 7) HER LATER BLONDE SAID HER HUSB FOMING OVER
- 8) GOT DRESSED & STARTED (TO BELLS TO ^{SOUTHGATE} ~~MARKET~~) _{LATER}
THEY WERE BOTH ON COUCH.
- 9) LEFT AND NEVER WENT BACK TO HOUSE (NOT OR AFTER)
- 10) ? CALL WENT TO SOUTH GATE
- 11) HEARD FROM DAD THAT SHE DIED
- 12) I WAS AT HIS HOUSE AT TIME HE TOLD ME
- 13) ~~HEARD ABOUT 2 (WIFE) (LINK) (LINK)~~
I HEARD ABOUT 2 (WIFE) (LINK) (LINK) _{PG RITA AT TIME}

LKI

(1-2)

(30)

OFFICE OF THE PUBLIC DEFENDER

Defendant: Yablonsky, John Henry

Def. #: 01 DOB: 09/30/1966

AKA:

Co-Def:

Address: 1700 E Silva St, Long Beach, CA 90807

Phone #:

Charges: PC187(A)

Bail Amount: \$1000000.00

Court Case No.: FV19005

Custody Status: In Custody

Booking Numb

Attorney	Date	Court	Activity
	03/10/2009		Pre-Preliminary Hrg, 03/18/2009, 08:30 A, V6; Preliminary Hrg 03/23/2009, 09:00 A, V6;
GR	3/23	6	5/6/09 - PPH 5/11/09 - PPH Also request out
GR	3/21	Jail	visited w/ C 2 hr. @ jail
GR	4/3	ofc.	Video of EXHIB 1 w/ 4 Per 1 hr. (1) Ranko. B1 B1 III PD2 SUB

13 DAYS AFTER ARREST VISIT BY ATT. COUNTY AT JAIL

APR 13 13

(1-3)

4/3/09
6 PM AND

Defendant: Yablonsky, John Henry
Court Case No.: FV1900518
Charges: PC187(A)
Case # 0903341068 WDC 11-C-1

Attorney Date Court Activity

DLS 5/6/09 F-6 PP - 6/15
PH - 6/17

- wants perm to trans. do
- want blank paper

DLS 6/15/09 F-6 PP - 7/2
PH - 7/6

SANDERS RECEIVED
300 OF 5400
PAGES

DLS 6/26/09 F-6 - Mailed 1st installment
& discovery

DLS 7/2 CT - cont of chert &
his dad about case
V-6 - Cont PP to 7/23 V-6
Cont PH to 7/28 V-6

DLS 7/23 V6 Confirm PH for 7/28

DLS 7/28/09 V-6 Arr 8/11 V-2
(A. Held to Answer)

EXH. (2)

IB B I 2


THIS IS IN PROGRESS
TRANS. MAILING
RECORDS
NOT TRANSCRIBED
UNTIL 11-23-10
DLS
11-23-10
WAS 2:23 + 3:00
TRANSCRIPTIONS

69 Y A

APR 11 11:14

(1-3)

EXHIBIT COVER PAGE



Description of this exhibit:

Number of Pages to this exhibit: _____ Pages.

JURISDICTION: (Check One Only)

- MUNICIPAL COURT
- SUPERIOR COURT
- APPELLATE COURT
- STATE SUPREME COURT
- UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
- STATE CIRCUIT COURT
- UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT
- GRAND JURY

Yimblonsky # 0903341068
JD C IFC
9/30/03

WRITTEN
AFFIDAVIT
IN MAY 2009

DECLINING
300 PAGES

JUNE 2009

ONLY
350

1) HOW ARE THE INVESTIGATORS DOING?

2) DNA TAINTED?

WHO GATHERED, PROPER TRAINED AUTHORITIES, CERTIFIED HANDLERS, MEANS OF TRANSPORT, TIME IN ROUTE

3) POSSIBLE CONVICTION CATEGORY

4) WEAKNESS IN DA'S CASE

5) WHICH JUDGE WILL HEAR OUR CASE

6) SENTENCE ALTERNATIVE IF POSSIBLE

7) WHAT SPECIALIST DO WE HAVE, EXPERT WITTS

8) CAN WE DISPROVE DA'S EVIDENCE

9) POSSIBLE CONVICTION CATEGORY

10) CAN I GET A COPY OF DISCOVERY

POLICE REPORTS, STATEMENTS, INVESTIGATED PERSONS, INCLUDING THE


11) DO YOU HAVE ALL OF THE DISCOVERY

12) DOES DA HAVE THE EXPERIENCE OF BEING SUCCESSFUL (2-1) (2) (3)

13) COPY OF COURT ORDER TO TRANSPORT I 3 DOCUMENTS ANY COPY DEF. COPY I 3

11/2 COUNTY SAID HE SEEN
WRITTEN CONFESSION BY WETP SUSPECT PLEASE!!

EXHIBIT COVER PAGE



Description of this exhibit:

Number of Pages to this exhibit: _____ Pages.

JURISDICTION: (Check One Only)

- MUNICIPAL COURT
- SUPERIOR COURT
- APPELLATE COURT
- STATE SUPREME COURT
- UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
- STATE CIRCUIT COURT
- UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT
- GRAND JURY

OFFICE OF THE PUBLIC DEFENDER

Defendant: Yablonsky, John Henry
 Court Case No.: FV1900518
 Charges: PC187(A)
 # 0903341068 WPC 11-C-1

6 PM AND
 4/3/09

Attorney	Date	Court	Activity
----------	------	-------	----------

DLS	5/6/09	F-6	PP - 6/15 PH - 6/17
-----	--------	-----	------------------------

SANDERS
 ONLY JAIL VISIT

DR. D. APP.

			- wants perm to transcribe - want blank paper
--	--	--	--------------------------------------------------

DLS	6/15/09	F-6	PP - 7/2 PH - 7/6
-----	---------	-----	----------------------

DLS	6/26/09	F-6	- Mailed 1st installment & discovery
-----	---------	-----	--------------------------------------

DLS	7/2	CT	- conf w/ Cheryl & his dad about case
-----	-----	----	---------------------------------------

		V-6	- Cont PP to 7/23 V-6 Cont PH to 7/28 V-6
--	--	-----	----------------------------------------------

DLS	7/23	V-6	Confirm PH for 7/28
-----	------	-----	---------------------

DLS	7/28/09	V-6	Arr 8/11 V-2 (A held to answer)
-----	---------	-----	------------------------------------

EXH. (2)

WAS IN THE PROSECUTOR'S MAILING RECORDS FOR TRANSCRIPTIONS UNTIL 11-23-10 2:00 PM
 THE 11-23-10 DLS WAS 2:00 + 3:00 TRANSCRIPTIONS

(3-1)

B

I 2

(3)

ATTORNEY

READ THIS!

MR. SANDERS:

I GOT THE DOCUMENTS, AND THANK YOU. THE ENVELOPE WAS OPENED IN REGULAR MAIL AND DELIVERED WITH REGULAR DELIVERY.

HER DAUGHTER GOT HIT

THE MOTHERS STATEMENT IS TRUE AND ^{ALMOST} ACCURATE THOUGH BLOWN OUT OF PROPORTION, BUT WAS OVER THE PHONE RIGHT. HOW SHE NEVER SEEN ^{HER DAUGHTER GOT HIT.}

HOLLY'S STATEMENT WAS LIE AFTER LIE AFTER LIE, SHE'S STILL STRUNG OUT ON DOPE NOW. EVEN IN CANADA

MY PHONE CONVERSATIONS WERE INTERPRETED NOT RECITED OR NARRATED, STATEMENTS THAT RAISED QUESTION ARE DOING SO BECAUSE OF INTERPRETATION. I SAID "I DIDN'T TELL THE COPS I HAD SEX WITH RITA, BECAUSE IT WAS EMBARRASSING, IT WAS IN FRONT OF MY MOTHER IN LAW WHO WOULD BEEN THE SAME AGE AS RITA OR THERE ABOUTS", NOT BECAUSE THEY WERE GONNA ARREST ME. THE INTERPRETER REPEATS THAT SEVERAL TIMES. MISLEADING THE TRUTHS. EB

THE INVESTIGATORS MOSTLY TRUE EXCEPT D1

12 (3-2) (M7)

Holly's Mom WORKED WITH THE LAW ENFORCEMENT PROBATION DEPARTMENT OF LONG BEACH "SHE WAS SUPERVISOR" HOW IS SHE SURE THAT I HURT HER ~~DRUGS~~ BUT NEVER GOT POLICE INVOLVED? BECAUSE SHE KNOWS HER DRUGS ~~IS~~ IS A LIAR, AND HABITUALLY MUTILATES HERSELF, CHECK HER MEDICAL RECORDS FROM BACK IN THE DAY, EVEN CURRENT POSSIBLY. I BROKE HOLLY'S NOSE PUSHING HER FACE INTO THE FLOOR, WRESTLING HER TO HOLD HER WITH A CREEK RADIO CORD, SHE'D IN FACT HIT ME WITH, SO SHE COULD GET TO THE RIFLES", BUT AFTER I NO-TIED HER I UNLOADED THE WEAPONS THEN UNTIED HER.

I THEN TOOK THE WEAPONS A BLOCK AWAY TO MY NEIGHBORS TO PREVENT HOLLY FROM SHOOTING ME OR HERSELF.

WHEN I GOT BACK SHE WAS STANDING AT THE FRONT DOOR WITH HUGE SWELLINGS TO THE TOP LEFT FOREHEAD AND BOTTOM RIGHT CHIN. I FELL APART WHEN I SEEN THAT, SHE SAID SHE HERSELF HAD SMASHED HER FACE INTO THE SINK AND THAT I WAS GOING TO JAIL" NO COPS WERE CALLED BY HER BECAUSE SHE KNOWS SHE'S HAD A LONG HISTORY OF SELF MUTILATION. HER MOM WAS CALLED AND SHE TOO KNEW OF THE LONG HISTORY OF SELF MUTILATION, OR ELSE SHE WOULD HAVE CALLED THE POLICE HERSELF, I SPEKE TO THEM AT WORK. HOLLY LIVED AT GRANDMAS BECAUSE HER DAD MURDERER HER, WAS SENT TO TEXAS, AND GRAND PARENTS TOOK HOLLY IN. "HOLLY'S STORY" BUT HE WENT TO TEXAS AND I CONFRONTED THIS MAN. HE TOLD ME

I 3 (3-3) (MB)

(3-3)

E6

DS

3 IT WISNT LIKE THAT, THAT THATS NOT HOW IT HAPPENED. BUT HE REFUSED TO LEAVE TO ESCAPE THE TARENTS OF MENCERATION. I THINK NOLLY MADE THE STORY UP ABOUT HIM, THE FAMILY GOT INVOLVED AND FELT IT WAS BEST THAT SHE MOVE AND HER DAD LEAVE STATE.

NOLLY WAS A DOPE HEAD WHEN I MET HER.

- MR. SANDERS

I'VE BEEN HONEST WITH YOU, I'VE BEEN PATIENT WITH YOU. YOU KEEP LYING TO ME, YOU LIED ABOUT THE DA QUESTIONING ABOUT THE FLIERS SAYING HIS OFFICE SAID THEY MAILED ABOUT 3000 FLIERS. YOU TOLD ME THAT TWICE. YOU TOLD ME YOU WERE GOING TO FILE 3 MOTIONS 1-ELPASC ACCUSATION 1-DR AND THE FLIER 1-995. YOU DONT REMEMBER THE CASE WAS A DEATH WARRANT SINCE 1ST DAY ARRAIGNMENT IN SUPERIOR. YOU DONT REMEMBER WHAT HAPPENED IN NIKKATAS COURT ROOM. YOU ACTED LIKE YOU WORKED FOR THE DA HIMSELF, AGREEING THAT EVIDENCES EXISTED WHEN NIKKATA WANTED DOCUMENTS, ^{YOU} WANTED TO SET TRIAL DATES EVEN AFTER YOU SUBMITTED THE 995.

THANK GOD NIKKATA MADE YOU HEAR HIS DECISION, HE WAS IN OUR FACILTY THAT DAY, HE GAVE THE DA 1 1/2 HOURS TO RECTIFY THE MISSING DOCUMENT.

YOU MAY HAVE BEEN GREAT IN YOUR DAY. WHAT I'D SEEN AT MY PRELIMINARY WAS AN ATTORNEY THAT HAD HIS CLIENTS BEST INTEREST, TOOK COMMAND OF THAT PROCEEDING AND EVEN DOMINATED THE HEARING. YOU HAD THE FORENSIC SPECIALIST STATE HIS TRAINING

I 4

(ma)

DL

3-4

E 7

4 HIS PROFESSIONALISM, THEN COACHED HIM
C. SAY HE DIDN'T COLLECT EVIDENCE,
THAT ALL EVIDENCE WAS PUT INTO TWO
BAGS. HE SAID EVIDENCE IS COLLECTED TODAY
THE SAME IT WAS IN '85, THAT A SUBSTANTIAL
AMOUNT OF EVIDENCE WAS NOT COLLECTED
OR EVEN PROCESSED. HE EVEN STATED IN
HIS PROFESSIONAL EXPERIENCE OF SEVERAL YEARS
TRAINING, AND HUNDREDS OF CRIME ~~SCENES~~
SCENES THAT HE WASN'T SURE IF THE
SCENE WAS A DUAL SCENE, A CRIME OF PASSION
OR THAT THE SEX WAS CONSENTUAL OR NOT.

YOU ~~WON~~ ^{WON} THAT DAY IN COURT.
I HAVEN'T SEEN YOU SINCE. I TRIED
BEING POLITE, AND PATIENT, I EVEN WAITED
BECAUSE YOU SAID YOU WERE BUSY.

I'M DONE WAITING. I HAVE MORE
DATA THAN YOU ABOUT RAMOS' ELECTION
FLIER WHICH PROVES HIS CONFLICT IN
INTEREST TO PROSECUTE MORE THAN ENOUGH
TO RECUSE HIM, THEN MY CIVIL SUIT, MY
SUIT CLAIMS NEGLIGENCE VZ CONSTITUTION
VIOLATIONS 4 PENAL CODE VIOLATIONS AND
TWO FEDERAL RULES OF LAW.

MR. SANDERS I DID NOT
COMMIT THIS CRIME, BUT I DON'T
THINK YOU CARE TO PROVE THAT, I
THINK YOUR RELYING ON AN APPEAL PROCESS
THAT MAY OR MAY NOT CORRECT WHAT IS
GOING WRONG. WHAT AND WHERE ARE
YOUR INVESTIGATORS FINDINGS.

- PAM HARWICK
- THAT EDWARDS GUY ON DEATH ROW
- SAUNDERS MURDER/SUICIDE SCENE (LETTERS) (JOURNAL)
- DID YOU GET THE MINUTES FROM MY PRISON
CONVICTION PROCEEDING IN LONG BEACH
- DID THEY FIND THE DEPOSITION FROM TEXAS ES
- THE DETECTIVES SAID MY DNA WAS COI

(3-5) IS (MIO) D7

6 BY CONSTITUTION, PENAL CODE AND
FEDERAL RULES OF LAW. MY BATTLE
WILL HELP YOUR BATTLE. ONCE I CAN
GET THE COURTS TO ACKNOWLEDGE HE'D
INTENTIONALLY VIOLATED MY RIGHT TO
OR LIKELY TO AN IMPARTIAL SURVEY
AND MY DUE PROCESS WILL SUBSTAN-
CIATE YOUR MOTION TO RECUSE THE
DA AND THE MOTION FOR CHANGE OF
VENUE. I WROTE A SUBPOENA REQ-
UESTING ALL EVIDENCES PERTAINING
TO RAMOS' RE-ELECTION, ^{NAME AND ADDRESS} COMMITTEE ^{MEMBERS}
BOARD TO RE-ELECT, TREASURER, NAME ^{MEMBER}
AND ADDRESS OF PRINTER OF FLYER,
EXACT ADDRESS COUNT OF MAILINGS,
POSTAL ACCOUNT NUMBER TO CONSIDER
ACTUAL ADDRESS COUNT MAILING AND
THE AMOUNT OF TIMES EACH ADDRESS
WAS MAILED TO REGISTERED VOTERS, THEN THE
QUANTITY OF ACTUAL REGISTERED VOTERS
IN SAN BERNARDINO. SO I CAN COMPARE
PERCENTAGE OF COVERAGE (I BELIEVE SHOULD BEEN
YOUR JOB) FOR THAT SUBPOENA, NOT A PRIVATE
CALL OR A QUESTION IN THE HALLWAY, WE'RE
TALKING ABOUT A VIOLATION TO THE DECLARATION
OF INDEPENDENCE: GOT ANY FAMILY WHO
SUFFERED IN ANY OF OUR WARS?

WELL I DO, I UNIFORMED, MY FATHER
UNIFORMED, MY BROTHERS, MY NEPHEW, MY
UNCLE, MY GRANDFATHER. MY NEPHEW JUST
GOT BACK FROM HIS SECOND TOUR AND
PLANS ON ANOTHER. YOU SAY IM TOP OF
YOUR LIST. I SAY THAT IF IM NOT BY
NOW OR BY OUR COURT DATE OF THE
8TH OCTOBER PRETRIAL, I'M GONNA
SCREAM CONFLICT OF INTEREST, INEFF-
ECTIVE COUNSEL, CONSTITUTIONAL VIOLATIONS,
FEDERAL RULES OF LAW VIOLATIONS

3-6

I 6

(MII) D8

E9

THE MURDER WEAPONS?

- I WANT TO KNOW HOW THE OTHER TWO CRIME SCENES THE DA WONT RELEASE
- 5 PERTAIN TO THIS CASE, WHY WONT HE RELEASE THEM
- ALL THE PEOPLE YOUR INVESTIGATOR SPOKE WITH "ALL"

HAVE YOU AND JOHN THOMPSON DECIDED MY FATE ALREADY. I'M EXTREMELY PISSED THAT YOU'VE IGNORED THE FACT THAT THERE HAS BEEN A DEATH WARRANT WITH MY NAME ON IT SINCE SUPERIOR JURISDICTION.

AS YOU CAN SEE, I'M NOW INTERESTED IN EVERY ASPECT OF YOUR INVOLVEMENT AND PERFORMANCE WITH MY CASE.

HAVE YOU BEEN GIVEN EVERY PIECE OF EXCULPATORY EVIDENCE FROM DISTRICT ATTORNEY,

HAVE YOU SEEN EVERYTHING?

CANTY SAID THERE IS NOTHING ABOUT A MURDER EVIDENCE. "NONE" THAT TIES TO ME? I WANT A COPY OF ~~THE~~ THE DEATH WARRANT THEY'D GIVEN YOU. MY

CRIME DATE IS ON CALENDAR OF OCT. 5TH WITH RIDE. HE WANTS TO HAVE THE CIVIL DISMISSED ON GROUNDS OF INSUFFICIENT EVIDENCE AND THAT THE SUIT WAS WRITTEN IN A WAY THAT HE COULDN'T UNDERSTAND WHAT I WAS ACCUSING HIM OF OR THAT HE WAS ABLE TO REASONABLY ANSWER.

FRIVOLOUS & AMBIGUOUS, I'S WHY I NEED A COPY OF THE DEATH WARRANT. I FIGURE THE DEATH WARRANT WITH A FEW COPIES OF THE FLIERS (TWO DIFFERENT TYPES) WILL PROVE HIS INTENT. ITS COVERED EVO

(37)

I7

(M12)

09

7 AND BEG MR TOMBERLIN TO DISCHARGE YOU OFF OF MY CASE. THEN I'M GONNA PRAY FOR AN ATTORNEY WITH SOME LIFE, AND DESIRE TO WITH THIS CASE, NOT PROCESS IT.

MY SON TOLD ME ONE DAY HE HAD A PROBLEM WITH A TEACHER AT ^ASCHOOL ^{THAT} HE WAS SHORT AND ^{HE}MOCKED HIM BECAUSE HE WAS SHORT AND ^{HE}MOCKED HIS GROWTH WITH HIS ABILITY TO LEARN. AT FIRST I FIGURED IT WAS JUST ANOTHER STORY, SIX KIDS, YOU HEAR A LOT OF STORIES. AFTER I TOOK A CAREFUL LOOK, ASKED A FEW PEOPLE QUESTIONS, TOOK THE INITIATIVE TO BELIEVE WHAT HE'D SAID, I FOUND THIS NOT TO BE TRUE AND ACURATE. BECAUSE I TOOK THE INITIATIVE TO SEEK THE TRUTH, THE TRUTH PRESENTED ITSELF, HAD I NOT LOOKED, PROBABLY WOULD NEVER SHOWN ITSELF.

THAT TEACHER ISN'T ALLOWED TO TEACH IN ANY SCHOOLS IN THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA ANY MORE. HAD I NOT LOOKED, MY SON WOULD STILL LOVE ME, BUT HE'D HAVE LOST TRUST IN THAT LACK OF ACTION. HE WAS PROBABLY A GOOD TEACHER OTHER THAN THAT, BUT SOME THINGS ARE UNACCEPTABLE. I NEVER DUG A DITCH WITH JUST A SHOVEL, I ALWAYS HAD AN ENTIRE TRUCK FULL OF TOOLS "ALWAYS".

I KNOW ABOUT BEING PROFESSIONAL, SO DO YOU. THEY WANT TO SEND ME AWAY FOR A CRIME I DID NOT DO, IF THATS GONNA HAPPEN, IT WILL BE BY THE FAIR PRACTICE OF OUR JUDICIAL SYSTEM, NOT MOSTLY, OR EVEN SLIGHTLY.

I FOUND MY HONOR ABRAN BACK IN '94 ON FOLSOM'S 4 YARD (RECEIVING STOLEN PROPERTY).

I'D LOST IT WHEN HOLLY LEFT WITH THE DRUG CONNECTION, AND HER MOM GOT THE KIDS,

38

IS

M13

ELL
DIO

8 I WILL NEVER SACRIFICE IT AGAIN.
SHE WASN'T WORTH IT, BUT I'VE FOUND
IT IS OF MORE VALUE TODAY THAN ANYTHING
I'VE EVER FELT.

YOUR COUNTY IS CROOKED,
YOUR JAIL IS EVEN MORE CROOKED,
COUNTY POSITIONS KEEP POPPING INTO
COMPROMISING POSITIONS AND COURT ROOMS
LIKE JIFFY POP WISH IT COULD.

WHAT YOU DO IN THE COURT
ROOM ON THE 8TH WILL DECIDE
WHAT I DO. YOUR NOT A LOSER, AND
NEITHER AM I, IF YOU WONT
ARGUE AND FIGHT FOR ME, I DEFINITELY
WILL. YOUR FIGHT HAS BETTER
BENEFITS, YOU GET TO GIVE WHAT
SOMEBODY ELSE CAN'T FOR THEMSELVES,

A LAW DEGREE, BACKED BY
HONOR, AND EXPERIENCE (SINCE 1982), AND
INTEGRITY.

IF I DON'T SEE THE SAME MAN
AT MY PRETRIAL^{CONF} I'D SEEN AT MY PRELIMINARY
I'M GOING TO FIRE THE MAN THAT
SHOWS UP IN COURT THAT DAY.

THIS ISN'T A BAG OF GROCERIES YOUR
FIGHTING FOR, ITS MY LIFE, THE REST
OF MY LIFE. I'M 47 NOW AND INTEND
ON LIVING TO BE 80. I DON'T WANT TO
SIT BEHIND BARS # FOR 33 MORE YEARS
ALISM FOR A CRIME I DID NOT
COMMIT -

I HOPE TO SEE A WINNER
WALK IN THAT COURT ROOM DEPARTMENT
#2 ON OCTOBER 8TH 2010.

WE'LL SEE?

THANK YOU!


JOHN VASLOWSKY

(3-9)

I 9

E12
(M14) D11

EXHIBIT COVER PAGE



Description of this exhibit:

Number of Pages to this exhibit: _____ Pages.

JURISDICTION: (Check One Only)

- MUNICIPAL COURT
- SUPERIOR COURT
- APPELATE COURT
- STATE SUPREME COURT
- UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
- STATE CIRCUIT COURT
- UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT
- GRAND JURY

1 because it may fit the description of more than one of
2 my clients but I think he's talking about Mr. Harman
3 (ph).

4 THE COURT: Yes.

5 MR. ZYWICIEL: That's fine. The door was open.
6 I didn't realize.

7 THE COURT: Mr. Zywiciel, you would of come
8 through that door even if it were locked I think.

9 MR. ZYWICIEL: I'll be back.

10 THE COURT: Thank you. I didn't even ask. The
11 young gentleman with the clean shaven face with the
12 green shirt is Mr. Michael Mendora, he's also with the
13 same law firm, public defender's office; do you have any
14 opposition to having him here?

15 THE DEFENDANT: No, sir.

16 THE COURT: Okay. So Mr. Sanders, back to you.

17 MR. SANDERS: All right. As I indicated all of
18 our meetings I -- that's the way I end the meeting is
19 asking my clients if they want to talk to me about

20 anything else or if there is anything else that we can
21 do. And I didn't walk out of any of those meetings

22 until Mr. Yablonsky said, No, we're good. LIAR ^{FIXED} 1-15-00

23 Number 2, on the discovery. This one is really
24 odd. My client requested that he be given discovery. I

25 was under the impression that Mr. Canty had given him

26 discovery. I went through all of the discovery and I

27 copied all of the statements, which is what he initially

28 requested. That was some 300 pages. And I gave those

LIAR


ADMITTS A

I 4

(4)

(4)

EXHIBIT COVER PAGE



Description if this exhibit:

Number of Pages to this exhibit: _____ Pages.

JURISDICTION: (Check One Only)

- MUNICIPAL COURT
- SUPERIOR COURT
- APPELATE COURT
- STATE SUPREME COURT
- UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
- STATE CIRCUIT COURT
- UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT
- GRAND JURY

Box 5005
Belmont CA 93213

3

CALIFORNIA SUPREME COURT
300 S. SPRING ST. FLOOR 2
LOS ANGELES, CA 90013-1233

JULY 2012

John Yablonsky
PETITIONER

HABEAS PETITION NO.
WHCSS1200311

vs.
P.L. VASQUEZ (WARDEN)
NORTH KERN STATE PRISON
RESPONDENT

(SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY SUPERIOR
COURT NO. FVI 900518)

ALSO ATTORNEY GENERAL FOR
THE DEFENSES

REQUEST ORDER FOR
TRIAL TRANSCRIPTS

COMES NOW PETITIONER, WITH GOOD CAUSE, AND
STANDING IN HABEAS CORPUS COURT, PETITIONER HUMBLY
REQUESTS THIS SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE, TO ORDER
SUPERIOR COURT DIVISION TWO OF SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY,
TO ORDER FOR THE ~~WARDEN~~ PETITIONER, ONE
COMPLETE SET OF TRIAL TRANSCRIPTS, PRELIMINARY,
SPEEDY TRIAL HEARINGS, PRETRIAL HEARING, TRIAL HEARING
AND BOTH WARDEN HEARINGS. THE HONORABLE JUDGE
JOHN TAMMARELLI PRESIDES IN THAT COURT.

THE PURPOSE OF THESE TRANSCRIPTS FOR THE
INDISSENT PETITIONER, IS TO FULFILL THE HABEAS
CORPUS PETITION THAT NOW STANDS IN THE SAN
BERNARDINO COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT, PETITIONING
HABEAS ON CASE FVI 900518 WITH PETITION NO.
WHCSS 1200311. PETITIONER HAS MOTIONED TWICE
IN THE FILING COURT FOR TRANSCRIPTS, FOR THIS MOTION
HAS BEEN IGNORED FOR OVER 2 (TWO) MONTHS.

(5-1)

RULE 6(a) 21

1/21

5

(6)

24/36 - 10079686





EXHIBIT COVER PAGE



EXHIBIT

Description if this exhibit:

Number of Pages to this exhibit: _____ Pages.

JURISDICTION: (Check One Only)

- MUNICIPAL COURT
- SUPERIOR COURT
- APPELATE COURT
- STATE SUPREME COURT
- UNITED STATES DISCTRICT COURT
- STATE CIRCUIT COURT
- UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT
- GRAND JURY

Appellate Courts Case Information

CALIFORNIA COURTS
THE JUDICIAL BRANCH OF CALIFORNIA

Superior Court

Change court

Case data last updated: 06/19/2014 10:35 PM

Docket (Register of Actions)

YABLONSKY (JOHN HENRY) ON H.C.
Case Number S216283

Date	Description	Notes
05/01/2014	Petition for writ of habeas corpus filed	Petitioner: John Henry Yablonsky Pro Per - 2 volumes of lodged exhibits with petition.
05/13/2014	Received:	Motion requesting an order directing the state parties to release evidence to the Petitioner
07/07/2014	Received:	Document entered "Second request for Discovery" By Petitioner
07/16/2014	Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied	JULY 16 2014 DENIAL

Click here to request all email and email notifications about this case.

Workers | Contact Us | Accessibility | Public Access to Records | Terms of Use | Privacy | 8/16/2014
Judicial Council of California / Administrative Office of the Courts

JULY 7, 2014

(6-1)

IG (6)

RULE (6a) 22 1/24 (7)

John H. Yablonsky v. Scott Fraunheim, Warden
U.S.D.C. Central Dist., E-01877-PA (DTTB)
FODGMENT 25

John Yablonsky AL- 0373
Box 8500
Petaluma, Ca. 93210

IN THE COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
FOURTH APPELLATE DISTRICT
HABEAS CORPUS DIVISION

Re;

John Henry Yablonsky
for/ Writ of Habeas Corpus
petitioner,

Super.Ct.No.FVI900518
4th App. Court EO 60202
REQUEST FOR TRIAL TRANSCRIPTS

The petitioner in this matter comes forth, with good cause, praying this court to order the County of San Bernardino to surrender full and verbatim transcripts to the hearing conducted in the Superior Court, with regards to case number # FVI900518, that were conducted in the Victorville Branch of the Superior Court of California. This Request relates to [all] hearings conducted in the presence of and out of the presence of John Henry Yablonsky. Motion Hearings, Marsden Hearings, Chamber meetings, Bench ruling/meetings, Recusal Hearings, and all matters on the record of this case, which should include any and all augmented records that were conducted for the purposes of this case.

Petitioner formally requested these transcripts from the Superior Court, and the informal arguments were based on the contents of these transcripts. Since the petitioner reflected to [notes] and memory, some of the claims in dispute weighed on the accuracy of these transcripts, and injury that was sustained as a result of the conduct that was conducted on and off the record in the petitioners Writ, for this case.

Although the petitioners claims are accurate the state relied on verbatim recollection, when they were not necessary, and as a result, the state relied on the defense "That's not what they said" instead of relating to the exact wordings of certain claims. It is also the petitioners belief that these transcripts would reveal further violations to the Substantial Rights of the Petitioner in this case.

(6-2)

(6) I 7 (8)

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED;
[Signature] 12/10/13
John Henry Yablonsky Pro-Se

EXHIBIT COVER PAGE

7

EXHIBIT

Description of this exhibit:

DILIGENCE
DISCOVERING FACTS
FROM COUNSEL

Number of Pages to this exhibit: _____ Pages.

JURISDICTION: (Check One Only)

- MUNICIPAL COURT
- SUPERIOR COURT
- APPELATE COURT
- STATE SUPREME COURT
- UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
- STATE CIRCUIT COURT
- UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT
- GRAND JURY

EXTRA
WJ

PROOF OF SERVICE BY MAIL

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

I Kenneth Yablonsky am an adult over the age of 18 years of age and not a party to this action, and am an american citizen. Under penalty of perjury the following documents were mailed to the following addresses and were sealed in postage fully pre-paid envelopes to be delivered by the U.S.P.S. first class deliveries.

- * Request for records from public defenders office case #FV19C0518
- * Questions to answered by Dave Sanders or Supervisor from that office


The mailings were addressed as follows,

State Bar of California 1149 S. Hill ST. L.A., Ca. 90015	Dave Sanders Att. 14344 Cajon #201 V.V., Ca. 92392	United States A.G. U.S. Dept of Justice 950 Pennsylvania N.W. 20530
----------------------------------------------------------------	----------------------------------------------------------	------------------------------------------------------------------------------

CERTIFIED ONLY

FILE IN THE X'S

These mailings were mailed from the following city _____ year _____

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Complete items 1, 2, and 3. Also complete item 4 if Restricted Delivery is desired. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.		A. Signature  <input type="checkbox"/> Agent <input type="checkbox"/> Addressee	
B. Received by (Printed Name)		C. Date of Delivery 1-21	
D. Is delivery address different from item 1? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes If YES, enter delivery address below: <input type="checkbox"/> No		2-4	
Article Addressed to Dave Sanders Att. 14344 Cajon #201 VICTORVILLE, CA 92392		3. Service Type <input type="checkbox"/> Certified Mail <input type="checkbox"/> Express Mail <input type="checkbox"/> Registered <input type="checkbox"/> Return Receipt for Merchandise <input type="checkbox"/> Insured Mail <input type="checkbox"/> C.O.D.	
4. Restricted Delivery? (Extra Fee) <input type="checkbox"/> Yes			

2 Article Number (Transfer from service label) 7012 3460 0000 2578 7606 PS Form 3811, February 2004 Domestic Return Receipt 10255-02-00-1540

(7-1) DILIGENT (7) 5. 18 9

John Henry Yablonsky AL-0373
Box 8500
Coalinga, Ca. 93210

Case # FVI 900518

1
2 Dear Mr. Sanders; or Whom this concerns

3 This is a formal request for your records to the repres-
4 entation of your services with any and all regards to my
5 case.

6 I am asking that you release every document that relates
7 to my case and ervery note, record, file, investigators notes
8 and records, leads, interviews, investigations to whom and
9 with in regards to the investigations and trial preperations
10 for my case. I am asking that you release and send me every
11 document that the district attorney gave you with regards
12 to this case and any possible exculpatory evidences that
13 were mine from this case. Mine being anything that relates
14 to me abd my interests. I am asking that you relase and send
15 to me every file, motion, writ, copy of e-mail that you sent
16 or received with regards to my case from the time you were
17 first notified that you were going to be my attorney, and
18 until the courts contacted you with regards to my or my attorney's
19 claims. I am in propia persona on my legal interests at this
20 time, and am formally teeing you thaty these imformations
21 are needed to protect and defend my legal, and constitutional
22 interests at this toime.

23 I am send the courts a copy of this request, and am demanding
24 that you respond in an expedited manner.

25 These document are of no interest to you and shopuld the
26 courts require you to respond to your refusal to non-compliance
27 with this demand the California Bar Association will be informed
28 as will the American Bar Association. As you can see they
were sent copies of this demand.

I will expect a reasonable response time, and demand that
these requests be honored and sent to me at the state prison
for which i am house bt no later than Feb. 28, 2014.

Please include the list of every attorney visit you had with
at the West Valley Detention Center and the context of those
meetings, dates, times, purposes, and every call that you
received by me or my family.

Respectfully

[Handwritten signature] 1-10-14

3 YRS
AFTER
TRIAL

F1
7-2

(9)

John Henry Yablonsky AL- 0373

A-3-250

Box 8500

Case # FVI900518

Coalinga, Ca. 93210

Dear Mr. Sanders, or whom ever from this office;

The context of this request is that you formally answer the following questions with regards to this case number #FVI900518, and any and all interests that you or anyone ine, your office that represented me or my interests in the case stated before.

1. Why did you not file the motion for change of venue when the county DA used my case in his re-election campaign

2. Why did you not serve the attorney general when you wrote the motion to recuse the entire district attorney's office

3, Why did you only release the 300 pages of discovery before the trial and the additional 1300 pages after the trial when the request was for [all] the discovery to this case when we first met ? and why hadn't you released the rest of the discovery to my case ? *THERE IS OVER 4000 PAGES*

4. when I told you that the interrogation was transcribed incorrectly, why didn't you have the recording devices verified or authenticated for the interrogation the was illegally conducted ? (without miranda)

5. When I told you that we needed to talk about this case after Attorney Canty had passed this case to you, why didn't you come to the jail to discuss this case in it's entirety and rely solely on what you believed Canty to have told you rather than discussing it with me personally ?

6. Why did you not have the DNA that was found on the scene that belonged to me tested for authentication, possible contamination, breach of mandatory protocol

Question from the trial attorney (1)

62-97-3

J3

(9)

E24

- 1 and have the evidence tested for the length of time that
2 it was at the scene before it was collected. ?
- 3 7. Why didn't you have the watch pin that was used during
4 the trial as proof of the struggle, and have a DNA profile
5 from that pin ? Or hide the fact that it existed from me?
6 I could have told you that it didn't belong to me,
- 7 8. Why didn't you have the red hair with the root bulb in
8 tact tested and have a DNA profile since the hair was located
9 on the body, and the body was found nude ? Knowing that
10 I did not have red hair and that this would not be mine
- 11 9. Why did you not test the desk cloth that was used in
12 this case, to see of whether or not the cloth had other
13 DNA's on it ? Or to verify the length of time the DNA that
14 belonged to me was there before the crime was committed ?
- 15 10. why did you not follow up on the lead with Lori Amaro,
16 and that there was in fact a restraining order in the courts
17 records that I had given to you, and how you could get in
18 touch with Tony Larue in belflower ? to verify the complaint
19 she made was in fact false ? Or the credit reports that
20 would have shown that I did in fact live at the same address
21 for months ?
- 22 11. why did you not investigate or at least try to collect
23 the records r that were in Texas from that case involving
24 the bar hooker, when I told you there was a deposition the
25 attorney at the time had conducted proving that her complaint
26 was false, or at least have the evidence sent to you to
27 prove that there was [no] DNA from that complaint of Kye
28 Sun (or what ever her name was) ?
- 29 12. when you wrote the speedy trial motion you mentioned
30 several leads to this case, and people that were unlocateable,
31 why didn't you follow up on the addresses my family gave
32 you, or I gave you of their location , or choose to follow
33 up on the leads of defense that that motion states ?

Question from the trial attorney (2)

7-4

54

9

1 13. when I told you that the jail had terminated the official
2 visit rights and you stated that the jail commander told
3 you that you needed to take his decision up with your boss,
4 why didn't you have the court or anyone else force the
5 jail to release this termination, knowing that you could
6 not get into the jail to discuss this case on any level because
7 of the rights termination ?

8 14. Why did you not discuss [any] tactics or defense with
9 me other than our first visit when you said that you were
10 going to test all the evidences, and this being a DNA case
11 and that most of the witnesses were dead or unlocateable,
12 that I would have to testify, and that we agreed that I
13 would testify ?

14 15. If you did state you intended to have me testify, why
15 didn't you prepare me for testifying in this trial, Procedures,
16 possible questions, rights as to what if any questions
17 that would be asked or answered ?

18 16. when you filed the motion for speedy trial, why didn't
19 you want the motion heard ? and what was the evidence that
20 you stipulated to the court was placed on the record ?

21 16

22 17. When my family gave you the 14 letters of character
23 reference, why did you lie and say you gave them back to
24 my family, when there was no need to have them back when
25 they were to be used in my defense or at the least as for
26 use of established character.

27 18. You stated during our marsden hearing that you spent
28 enough time on my case and that even though I said it was
29 less than 6 actual hours of in-person time to include all
30 of our court appearances, except for the trial, and that
31 at least it was more than the rest of your clients ? How
32 on any level did you satisfy that answer to the court on
33 this intense of a case ?

Question from the trial attorney (3)

(7-5)

9

1 19. During the trial there were witnesses that lied and
2 you failed to challenge them or enter objections. When Bruce
3 Nash lied why did you not challenge his lie ? When John
4 Sullivan lied why didn't you challenge his lie ? When Detective
5 Alexander Lied why didn't you challenge his lie ? When Daryll
6 Kramer lied why didn't you challenge his lie ? When DDA
7 Thomas mistated facts during the in-limine hearing didn't
8 you challenge his lie ?

9 20. during the trial why did you refuse to challenge the
10 fact that the states expert testified that my DNA was as
11 many as 1½ days older than this case, and bring that statement
12 forthe jury to clearly hear that My DNA was not the product
13 of this case.

14 21. you had several discussion out of my presence with the
15 Distriat attorney and the judge, why didn't you keep me
16 informed ? About the context of them conversations or even
17 allow me to participate in the decisions of topics or possible
18 defenses that were available to me, wüthput at least confering
19 with me first.

20 22.
21 There were several interruptions from the judge with regards
22 to your questioning, and these disputes of legality were
23 winnable throught the states evidence codes but you failed
24 to prepare for these disputes when you failed to conduct
25 the needed investoigations, or know the appropriate codes
26 to defend your clients interests, do you know the penal
27 and evidence code enough to defend you clients ?

28 23. Why is it that you claimed that I wanted to know so
much about this case, and to be a part of this that you
refused to be honest or forthright with me in this entire
case, stating that I wanted to call the shotds, ask the
questions, or see the evidences in their entiorety ?

Question from the trial attorney (4)

76

J P

9

1 24. why did you allow the prosecutor to alter the interrogation
2 recording without my authority or knowledge, knowing that he was
3 going to erase exculpatory evidence ?

4 25. why did you allow the interrogation recording to be placed
5 on the record without at least first verifying the authenticity,
6 on the first version after I told you that it was doctored and
7 then allow the prosecutor to alter it again and then allow it
8 in the records without the authentication ?

9 26. you told the judge that you allowed illegal drug activity to
10 be erased off of the interrogation recording, what illegal drug
11 activity are you speaking of ?

12 27. you stated to the judge that you allowed illegal criminal activity
13 to be erased off of the interrogation recording, what illegal
14 criminal activity are you speaking of ?

15 28. you are to be 100% honest in these questions, since there
16 was never any criminal or drug activity that was from my past
17 the courts have not already served sentences for, and there was
18 no activity from my past or future that had not already been
19 resolved through the courts, with regards to question 26 and 27
20 of this questionnaire.

21 29 at what point do you feel the defendant needs to be informed
22 of all matters in their defense ?

23 30 at which point did I ever discuss this case with you to any
24 conceivable degree that would allow you to make decisions without
25 my interests or informing of the possible affects of the case on
26 any level ?

27 31. You said that I told you that I gave you full authority to
28 make decisions without my knowledge or consent, when was this authority
first established and when were you comfortable lying to me ?

Question from the trial attorney (5)

7-7

57

9

E28

1 32. you statdd that you were not allowed to make decisions without
2 my wanting to be involved, which decisions were these ? your
3 investigations ? your interviews ? your preparing for trial ?
4 which witnesses to subpoena ? which witnesses to question ? or was
5 it whether you should test the evidences from this case ? the
6 interrogation ? the DNA ? the DNA that was from the gregory Randolph
7 cigarette butts ? The red hair that was found on the body ? the
8 qwatchpin that the DA said came from the struggle ? or the type
of questions you should ask the witnesses ? since you said I wrote
[alot] of questions.

9 33. do you remember how many times I called your office begging
10 you to defend my case ? does your records reflect how many
11 calls you got from me ?

12 34. do you remember how many time I wrote you lengthy letters
13 begging you to defend my case ?

14 35. when you gave me the investigators phone number, and I called
15 him to discuss this case and possible leads, and he topld me
16 if I had any questions to contact you, the attorney, then refused
17 to discuss anything with me and only in a 7 minute video on
18 the jails phone, then I told you the investigator was non compl-
19 iant and you just laughed and said that he must be very busy,
20 and to be patient with him, why didn't you instruct him to comply
with my suggestions of searching for certain witnesses in the
victorville area, Leftwhich... and more ?

21 36. why did you lie to me during the trial and tell me that
22 the judge suggested to you that I need not testify, that testifying
23 would be as incriminating as it would be helpfull ?

24 37. you told me after lying to me about the judges suggestion
25 that the need for testifying, that I had three days to decide,
26 why did you tell the judge that that was my decision to not
27 testify when you just told me that I had three days to decide
28 and I had just given the note to my father to help me decide

58 EA
(9)

1 38. you stated that I wanted to make all your decisions
2 for you with regards to this case, which decisions were they....
3 In your investigations ? Who you should interview ? Which
4 witnesses to subpoena ? What questions to ask of which witness
5 durind the trial ? With regards to which evidences that you
6 gave me before the trial in the 300 pages was there a decision
7 that needed to be made ?

8 39. during the trial I know that there were several questiuons
9 that you did ask because I had you ask them, why are those
10 questions not in the trial transcript ?

11 40. Did you give permission to anybody to alter the transcripts?
12 (trial)

13 41. did you alter the transcripts that were the result from
14 this trial ?

15 42. after you altered the interrogation transcripts, admittedly,
16 you allowed the LDA Thomas to further alter them, with cut
17 any formof authentications, why did you allow him to alter
18 exculpatory evidence, impeaching evidence ?

19 43. when you interviewed or the investigator interviewed bruce
20 nash what did he tell you ?

21 44. when you or the investigator interviewed john sullivan,
22 what did he say to you ?

23 45. When you interviewed Lori Amaro or search for the records
24 the Long Beach courts would have what did you learn or discover?
25 *AND WITH NUMBER DID YOU FIRST CALL IS THERE A RECORD
26 OF THAT CALL WHERE IS IT ON RECORD AND WHEN*

27 46. when you searched or interviewed sun kye (woman from
28 texas) what were the results from the investigations ?

29 47. when I told you that Robert Edwards had information with
30 regards to this case, and I gave you one of the letters that
31 I had received from him, and you said that you were going
32 to,

Questions from the trial attorney(7)

1 go to the San Quentin prison to interview him, what were
2 the results of that interview/? or what were the results
3 of the investigators interview ?

4 48. When the jury came back [hopelessly deadlocked] and were
5 firm in their position, andd the prosecutor asked the court
6 for further closing arguements, what would your reaction
7 to that request have been had you actually stepped into the
8 courtroom and been present during that crucial moment ?

9 49. When the judge had heard the count of the jury's deadlock
10 and in which direction they were [deadlocked] and asked them
11 to continue their deliberations, what if any would your decisions
12 had been ? and for what reasons ?

13 50. When the jury agreed to deliberate for 30 more minutes
14 and before they were excused, the jury foreman stated to
15 the judge " don't woory your honop we'll get the job done",
16 what would your reactions been with the court ?

17 51. Finally, you told the courts that you mailed to me, on
18 several occaissions after the trial, evidences that I initially
19 asked for in the beginning of our relationinship, That you
20 mailed several packages from those mailings, I need the recorded
21 log of those mailings, and for them to reflect the actual
22 amount that those mailiungs came to and on which dates ?

23 This is a matter of formal request to allow the trial attorney
24 Dave Sanders a chance to explain his actions or lack thereof
25 with regards to his representation of JOHN HENRY YABLONSKY
26 and case # FVI 900518 for the murder trial that was conducted
27 in the Victerville Branch of the California State Superior
28 Courts. This request demands an expedited response since
the courts have two separate and active cases that refer
to this line of questioning.

Questions from the trial attorney (8)

7-10

E31
J10

9

EXHIBIT COVER PAGE



EXHIBIT

Description of this exhibit:

DISCOVERY
REQUEST TO
COUNSEL
7-19-14

Number of Pages to this exhibit: _____ Pages.

JURISDICTION: (Check One Only)

- MUNICIPAL COURT
- SUPERIOR COURT
- APPELLATE COURT
- STATE SUPREME COURT
- UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
- STATE CIRCUIT COURT
- UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT
- GRAND JURY

John Henry Yablonsky AL-0373
Box 8500
Palo Alto, Ca. 943210

Inquiry No. # 14-17946

Date : July 19, 2014

Re: DISCOVERY per Pen.C. §1054.9(a)

Re: Sanders;

I have repeatedly asked for this discovery over the last several years, while you were appointed counsel and more demands came later after I had found out you intentionally withheld them from me. Now after the Bar Association gets involved you send me another some 200 hundred pages of different material except for the (two of the three) interrogatory transcriptions, which prove the evidence you presented as true and accurate to the jury was in fact false. I have taken the liberty to send you a questionnaire, that you must answer.

This makes it 2400 hundred pages of the discovery you have given me when the first 300 you gave and said the 300 was all there is, then after trial gave me 1300 more ????

I am asking for the last time for the evidence to my case [PLL] and send me the originals. I want the letters my family gave you of my business associates and developers of our community.

This includes the evidence that Canty ordered on March 17, 2009, well as the discovery you declare in your notes, see attached.

You are in intentional breach of your fiduciary obligations as an attorney, and along with the other constitutional errors you conspired to violate incompetently with the prosecutor, are accumulating at a very fast pace. I am innocent and you did nothing to protect my rights much less my innocence.

You are hereby in violation of Evis. & Prof. §§ 6077, 6068(c)(10), 57, 6103, and extreme violations to 6106 and 2404. I am notifying the Bar in compliance of E & P § 6093.5 that you are in direct violation to penal code section 1054.9 (a).

You do not deserve to stand in a court room as an attorney, but maybe a defendant would be more appropriate ???

SEND ME MY DISCOVERY NOW


THE LIST YOU WERE MAILED ON JUNE 14, 2014 WILL BE SUFFICIENT

As applied authorities, Sanders has again failed compliance.

Date 7/19/14 Respectfully submitted :
[Signature]

8-1 I 120 8 9

EXHIBIT COVER PAGE




EXHIBIT

Description of this exhibit:

Number of Pages to this exhibit: _____ Pages.

JURISDICTION: (Check One Only)

- MUNICIPAL COURT
- SUPERIOR COURT
- APPELLATE COURT
- STATE SUPREME COURT
- UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
- STATE CIRCUIT COURT
- UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT
- GRAND JURY



OF CALIFORNIA

145 SOUTH WILMINGTON STREET LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90017

INTAKE

Dana Dauphine, Assistant Chief Trial Counsel

TELEPHONE (213) 765-1200
FAX (213) 765-1204
http://www.caltbar.org

June 3, 2014

John Yablensky CDC# AL0373
PO Box 8500
Coalinga, CA 93210

JUNE 2014

BENIGN
APPELLATE
HABEAS DENIED

RE: Inquiry Number: 14-17946
Respondent: David Sanders

Dear Mr. Yablensky:

An attorney for the State Bar's Office of the Chief Trial Counsel has reviewed your complaint against David Sanders to determine whether there are sufficient grounds for proceeding to prosecute a possible violation of the State Bar Act and/or Rules of Professional Conduct.

You have alleged that David Sanders was the deputy public defender in your criminal matter and that you have requested your client file but that he has not released your documents to you. We hope to resolve this matter by bringing your complaint to the attorney's attention.

We have advised Mr. Sanders to contact you within ten (10) working days from the date of this letter, to make arrangements to return your client file. Under the Rules of Professional Conduct, the attorney is not required to mail or deliver the file to you. You and the attorney must decide whether the file will be mailed or picked up from the attorney's office, either by yourself or someone whom you authorize to pick up the file for you.

Should Mr. Sanders fail to contact you within the specified time, please contact the Intake Unit of the State Bar of California at: 845 S. Figueroa Street, Los Angeles, CA 90017, (213) 765-1200. At that time, we will determine if further action is needed.

Unless we are notified the attorney has not made your client file available, your complaint will remain closed.

Thank you for bringing your concern to the attention of the State Bar.

Very truly yours,

William Straika
William Straika
Deputy Trial Counsel

WSJA

EXHIBIT
1411

DELIVERED ON
JULY 22, 2014
FROM P. D. OFFICE
IN VICTORVILLE
OF 1600. PAGES 2400
REMAINS 300
WITH 2014 300
C.F. OF THE
STILL

9-1

RUC (14) 23

1434

ED 9

19 10

EXHIBIT COVER PAGE

10

EXHIBIT

Description if this exhibit:

Number of Pages to this exhibit: _____ Pages.

JURISDICTION: (Check One Only)

- MUNICIPAL COURT
- SUPERIOR COURT
- APPELATE COURT
- STATE SUPREME COURT
- UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
- STATE CIRCUIT COURT
- UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT
- GRAND JURY

AL0373	YABLONSKY	HSNG	SENDEK	DATE	C/O SIGN	I/M SIGN
		A2 216U	LEVIAN LAW 1875 CENTURY PARK EAST LA, CA 90067	7/3/2014		
		A2 216U	LEVIAN LAW 1875 CENTURY PARK EAST LA, CA 90067	7/15/2014		
		A2 216U	VICTORVILLE PUB DEPT 14344 CAJON AVE VICTORVILLE, CA 92392	7/18/2014		
		A2 216U	SAN FRAN SUPREME COURT 350 MCALLISTER SF, CA 94102	7/21/2014		
		A2 216U	VICTORVILLE PUB DEPT 14344 CAJON AVE VICTORVILLE, CA 92392	7/22/2014	1500 DORIS ASST ST. APPEAL	
		A2 216U	OVGRS OFFICE OF VICTIM & SURVIVOR RIGHTS & SERV POB 942883 SAC, CA 94283	7/22/2014		
		A2 216U	LEVIAN LAW 1875 CENTURY PARK EAST LA, CA 90067 OPT REID IN ENCOR	7/29/2014	250 56 16-09 300 3-11 1300 7-22-11	
		A2 216U	SAN FRAN SUPREME COURT 350 MCALLISTER SF, CA 94102	7/29/2014	1600 800 ST. APPEAL	
		A2 216U	PILR 5 LAW OFFICE 506 BROADWAY SF, CA, 94133	7/31/2014		
		A2 216U	FRESNO USDC 2500 TUTARI ST. FRESNO, CA 93721	8/4/2014		
		A2 216U	LEVIAN LAW 1875 CENTURY PARK EAST LA, CA 90067	8/19/2014		
		A2 216U	SAC ATTY GEN POB 944255 SAC CA 94244-2550	9/3/2014		
		A2 216U	LEVIAN LAW 1875 CENTURY PARK EAST LA, CA 90067	9/11/2014		
		A2 216U	VICTORVILLE SUP CRT 14455 CIVIC DR VICTORVILLE CA 92392	9/11/2014		
		A2 216U	FRESNO USDC EASTERN DISTRICT FRESNO, CA 93721	9/11/2014		


3 PD RELEASE
FROM COUNSEL
5 YEARS AFTER
ARREST + 1ST IN
AND STILL NOT
ENTIRE FILE REQUEST

NSM

PRINT NAME OF STAFF ISSUING MAIL

10-1

EXHIBIT COVER PAGE



Description if this exhibit:

Number of Pages to this exhibit: _____ Pages.

JURISDICTION: (Check One Only)

- MUNICIPAL COURT
- SUPERIOR COURT
- APPELATE COURT
- STATE SUPREME COURT
- UNITED STATES DISCTRICT COURT
- STATE CIRCUIT COURT
- UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT
- GRAND JURY

H. Charles Smith
Attorney at Law
550 Orange Street, Suite B
Redlands, Ca 92374
(909) 307-9913
(909) 307-9916 Fax

January 29, 2016


John Yablonsky #AL0373
Inf. #7
Box 5001
Calipateria, Ca

In re: Case #FVI900518

Dear John,

Please find enclosed your entire case file. We have not retained any
copies of your case for our records.

Sincerely,


Bridget Swanson

NOT RELEASED
4500 PAGES DISCOVERED
4 YEARS AFTER
SENTENCING AND OVER
450 MOTIONS, LETTERS
WRITS OF HABEAS
LATER???

AEDPA
CERTAINLY DID NOT
HAVE THIS IN MIND
WHEN THEY DETERMINED
FAILURE TO DEVELOP
STATE RECORDS

§2254(d)(2)
CULLIN V. PINHOLSTER

NO FULL + FAIR OPPORTUNITY

FAILURE TO GRANT MOTION RULE 6(a) IS AN ABUSE!!

11-1
~~RULE 6(a) 28~~ IT3 (13)

EXHIBIT COVER PAGE

12

EXHIBIT

Description if this exhibit:

Number of Pages to this exhibit: _____ Pages.

JURISDICTION: (Check One Only)

- MUNICIPAL COURT
- SUPERIOR COURT
- APPELATE COURT
- STATE SUPREME COURT
- UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
- STATE CIRCUIT COURT
- UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT
- GRAND JURY

SECTION 187	CRIME MURDER	CLASSIFICATION STRANGULATION	
VICTIM'S NAME - LAST NAME COBB		FIRST NAME RITA	MIDDLE NAME (FIRM NAME IF BUSINESS) MABLE
ADDRESS 35345 Hwy 18, Lucerne Valley, CA		<input type="checkbox"/> RESIDENCE <input type="checkbox"/> BUSINESS	PHONE () 248-7584

Page 8

INTERVIEW: JOSEPH BACON SAUNDERS (Continued)

to a platonic relationship and if he wanted to stop by. He stated he did not comply, however, he got in his vehicle and went home. He stated the time he believes was about 6:30 in the evening.

RITA DID NOT SHOW UP UNTIL AFTER 7 PM.

I asked Mr. SAUNDERS if he did anything or anyone saw him when he went home. He stated no, that he did not receive any phone calls nor did he call anyone. He stayed home all evening long.

I asked him, in regards to the glass of water that he had inside the residence, if he went to any of the bedrooms or any of the other rooms in the residence. He stated he did not. He only stayed near the table and I asked him if any of his fingerprints would be found in any other room other than the glass that was in the kitchen and possibly on the table. He stated that that's the only place that I was.

At this point in time he started crying again. We then asked Mr. SAUNDERS where he had lived prior to Lucerne Valley. He stated that he had lived in Big Bear for about a year and before that he lived in Venice in Los Angeles.

I asked Mr. SAUNDERS if he had ever had sex with RITA COBB. He stated that he hasn't and they had never even talked about sex.

Before the end of the interview Mr. SAUNDERS stated that he had previously been a screen writer in the L.A. area, however, it didn't work out for him and he came to the Lucerne Valley area where he is now an inventor. He is in the process of inventing a filing type system.

We then asked him to submit to and to give us fingerprints from himself. He complied. The interview with Mr. SAUNDERS was completed.

12-1

REPORTING OFFICERS WOODS, Det. W2108		DATE 9-26-85	REVIEWED BY <i>[Signature]</i>	TYPED BY jo 00397	ROUTED BY	DATE
SECTION: YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>	COPIES TO: <input type="checkbox"/> Detective <input type="checkbox"/> Dist. Atty.	<input type="checkbox"/> SD/PD <input type="checkbox"/> CII <input type="checkbox"/> Patrol	<input type="checkbox"/> Other <input type="checkbox"/> Other	REMARKS <i>25</i>		

HIFF'S DEPARTMENT
 County of San Bernardino
 California
 CA 03600

DR 1331036-07

REPORT AREA

603
146

SECTION PC 187	CRIME MURDER	CLASSIFICATION STRANGULATION
TIM'S NAME - LAST NAME COBB	FIRST NAME RITA	MIDDLE NAME (FIRM NAME IF BUSINESS) MABLE
ADDRESS 35345 Hwy 18, Lucerne Valley, CA	RESIDENCE <input type="checkbox"/> BUSINESS <input type="checkbox"/>	PHONE () 248-7584

Page 7

INTERVIEW:

The following interview conducted at approximately 1104 hours, Tuesday, 9-24-85, with SAUNDERS, JOSEPH BACON, white male, 52, DOB 10-28-32, address of 38260 Mechleis Rd. in Lucerne Valley, phone number 248-6529. He states he's self employed.

Mr. SAUNDERS states that he drives a Ford Econoline van, cream in color with a red band. Mr. SAUNDERS also states that he's been in the Lucerne Valley for approximately ten months to a year. We asked him his relationship with RITA. He stated that he'd only met her (one time) at a get together and that the last time that he had seen her was on Friday on 9-20-85. He states that the time of day was about one hour before sundown. He stated that he stopped by RITA's residence and he parked out on the highway and walked to the residence. The residence sits approximately 100 yards from the highway. He states that they went inside the residence, into the dining room type area off the kitchen, and he states they sat there. He drank a glass of water and then she received a phone call. He stated the first phone call he knows was from JOHN SULLIVAN and his girlfriend PINKY and they were invited up to pick pistachio nuts. He stated that another call occurred about 15 to 20 minutes later and that he stepped outside. He does not know who that phone call was from. He stated that RITA stated that she had to change clothes prior to going up to JOHN and PINKY's and that he stated that he was gonna go on up. He stated he left and went up to JOHN SULLIVAN's house and a short time later she showed up wearing designer type jeans and a blue blouse, button up the front, unknown if she had any jewelry. She stated that beforehand, before she changed clothes she had a blue type dress on with puffy type shoulders.

He stated before Friday he had seen her last Sunday at a party. At this point in time he started to cry violently and as soon as I asked him another question he turned, stopped crying, and answered the question.

He stated they picked pistachio nuts for about 20 to 30 minutes and that during this time he noticed that RITA, JOHN and PINKY were drinking beer. He states they went inside the residence and sat down for awhile. He stated he had to go and he stated that he went home, however, he back tracked and stated that as soon as he walked out to his vehicle to drive home that RITA followed him out. He stated that RITA was acting somewhat nervously and made the statement to himself that she would not object.

AP Hill
10/1

SOD IF HE DON'T KNOW HER

NOBODY RECALLS SEEING HIM @ SULLIVAN'S FRANCESCA WHO SAID HE ARRIVE AT RITA

REPORTING OFFICERS WOODS, Det. W2108	DATE 9-26-85	REVIEWED BY [Signature]	TYPED BY jo 00397	ROUTED BY	DATE
SECTION: YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>	COPIES TO: <input type="checkbox"/> Detective <input type="checkbox"/> Dist. Atty.	<input type="checkbox"/> SD/PO <input type="checkbox"/> CII <input type="checkbox"/> Patrol	<input type="checkbox"/> Other <input type="checkbox"/> Other	REMARKS So His INTENTION WAS... 12-2	

County of San Bernardino
California
CA 03600

REPORT AREA

66
141

SECTION PC 187	CRIME MURDER	CLASSIFICATION STRANGULATION
DETECTIVE'S NAME - LAST NAME COBB	FIRST NAME RITA	MIDDLE NAME (FIRM NAME IF BUSINESS) MABLE
ADDRESS 35345 Hwy 18, Lucerne Valley, CA	<input type="checkbox"/> RESIDENCE <input type="checkbox"/> BUSINESS	PHONE () 248-7584

Page 8

INTERVIEW: JOSEPH BACON SAUNDERS (Continued)

to a platonic relationship and if he wanted to stop by, He stated he did not comply, however, he got in his vehicle and went home. He stated the time he believes was about 6:30 in the evening.

I asked Mr. SAUNDERS if he did anything or anyone saw him when he went home. He stated no, that he did not receive any phone calls nor did he call anyone. He stayed home all evening long.

I asked him, in regards to the glass of water that he had inside the residence, if he went to any of the bedrooms or any of the other rooms in the residence. He stated he did not. He only stayed near the table and I asked him if any of his fingerprints would be found in any other room other than the glass that was in the kitchen and possibly on the table. He stated that that's the only place that I was

At this point in time he started crying again. We then asked Mr. SAUNDERS where he had lived prior to Lucerne Valley. He stated that he had lived in Big Bear for about a year and before that he lived in Venice in Los Angeles.

I asked Mr. SAUNDERS if he had ever had sex with RITA COBB. He stated that he hasn't and they had never even talked about sex.

~~THE WHY DID RITA TALK ABOUT A PLATONIC RELATIONSHIP~~
Before the end of the interview Mr. SAUNDERS stated that he had previously been a screen writer in the L.A. area, however, it didn't work out for him and he came to the Lucerne Valley area where he is now an inventor. He is in the process of inventing a filing type system.

We then asked him to submit to and to give us fingerprints from himself. He complied. The interview with Mr. SAUNDERS was completed.

^{WHY}
~~WHAT~~ WOULD COBB SUGGEST PLATONIC UNLESS SAUNDERS INTENT WAS SEXUAL, HOW LONG WERE THEY SEXUALLY INVOLVED? WHY WAS HE PERSUADING HER?

SULLIVAN DID NOT SEE SAUNDERS BUT SEEN COBB ARRIVE AT 6 PM

REPORTING OFFICERS WOODS, Det. W2108	DATE 9-26-85	REVIEWED BY <i>[Signature]</i>	TYPED BY jo 00397	ROUTED BY <i>[Signature]</i>	DATE
<input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/> Detective <input type="checkbox"/> Dist. Atty.	<input type="checkbox"/> SD, PD <input type="checkbox"/> CII <input type="checkbox"/> Patrol	<input type="checkbox"/> Other <input type="checkbox"/> Other	REMARKS 12-3 <i>[Signature]</i>		

FF'S DEPARTMENT
 County of San Bernardino
 California
 CA 03600

DR# 1331036-07

67
 142

CODE SECTION PC 187	CRIME MURDER	REPORT AREA CAR. OUTSIDE
VICTIM'S NAME - LAST NAME COBB, RITA M.	FIRST NAME MURDER	CLASSIFICATION SUICIDE
ADDRESS	RESIDENCE 80 YARDS	MIDDLE NAME UP HILL
	PHONE	PHONE

KEPT A JOURNAL AND
 FROM THE HIGHWAY
 HER HOUSE TO VISIT WHEN
 THIS MAN SUICIDE FROM THE HIGHWAY
 COB MITER UP HILL LOOKING FOR
 80 YARDS WAS HE BUSINESS AND
 WHO OF DRIVE WAY IN THE MIDDLE QUESTION DENIES
 HOME IS THEN AT VICTIM'S ()

INTERVIEW WITH SAUNDERS, JOSEPH BACON WMA 52 yrs DOB 10-28-32 38260 NEEHLEIAS RD, LUCERNE Valley, phone 619/248-6529, Mr. Saunders is self-employed at the above mentioned phone number:

At approx. 1640 hrs., Monday 9-23-85 I interviewed Mr. Saunders outside the exterior boundary line of the crime scene.

Mr. Saunders stated the following. He has known the victim since meeting her at a party at a neighbors house identified as John Sullivan approx. 8 months to a year ago. And at that time Rita Cobb was dating a guy by the name of FRED. The next time he'd met the victim, she invited him to John Sullivan's birthday party which was approx. 4 months. Saunders stated he declined and didn't go. His next meeting with Rita Cobb was on 9-15-85 when he met her at a going away party for an individual by the name of Steve. He stated that the party was held at Linda's house who lives on Highland Ave., in Lucerne Valley. I asked him who Steve and Linda were, he stated that he did not know Steve's last name and only knew that Linda worked at Lucerne Valley Post office. He also stated that while at the party he did not see the victim with any companion. I asked him if he'd gone to the party with Rita Cobb, he stated that he had not. The next meeting of Rita was on Friday 9-20-85, Saunders stated that he was driving southbound on highway 18 when he saw the victim's vehicle parked outside of her residence. He stated that he had learned to see what type of car she had and when he saw it out there he knew she was home. He then parked his vehicle out on the roadway, walked up to her house where he met her on the front porch. I asked him again where her vehicle was parked at that time, he stated it was not in the garage but on the dirt incline just east of the garage. He then stated that he talked to the victim for about 30 minutes during which time, she'd invited him into her home and given him a glass of water while they were seated at the dining room table. I asked him what they talked about, he said they talked about his academic credentials. I then asked him what type of glass he dranken the water from, he stated it was a transparent glass and that she'd taken ice from the refrigerator to put into the glass. He then stated she was talking about her own situation and that she was going to have to sell the house because she couldn't keep up with it. She then told Saunders that after FRED left she didn't like living there.

HE WAS NEVER INVITED BY RITA, WHY? DID SHE HAVE REASON TO FEAR HIM!

She also talked about her deceased husband and about her dog. He stated that during this period of time that the phone had rang twice, and that she had said who was on the phone but he didn't remember other than the second telephone call being from an individual identified as Pinky. He stated that the first time she was only on the telephone for about 1 minute then hung up, the second time she was on the telephone with Pinky and she talked for approx. 5 minutes, after which time she asked him if he wanted to go pick pistachio's up at John's and Pinky's home. He then added that the curtains, drapes were open because he remember's her saying prior to Pinky's telephone call "there goes Pinky" pointing out at the roadway and

REPORTING OFFICERS APP K0822	DATE 9-27-85	REVIEWED BY	TYPED BY fj	ROUTED BY	DATE
OTHER ACTION:] YES [NO	COPIES TO: [] Detective [] Dist. Atty.	[] SD/PP [] CII [] Patrol	[] Other [] Other	REMARKS: (12-4) R 56	

OFFICE'S DEPARTMENT
 County of San Bernardino
 California
 CA 03600

DR# 1331036-07

68
143

REPORT AREA

OFFICE SECTION PC 187	CRIME MURDER	CLASSIFICATION	
VICTIM'S NAME - LAST NAME COBB, RITA M.		FIRST NAME	MIDDLE NAME (FIRM NAME IF BUSINESS)
ADDRESS		<input type="checkbox"/> RESIDENCE <input type="checkbox"/> BUSINESS	PHONE ()

PAGE 6

she'd also stating to him, it sure it nice to know the people up the road After the telephone call from Pinky, he stated that he left agreeing with Rita to meet her up at John's and Pinky's home at Mini Springs Ranch to pick pistachio's. He stated he was to take his vehicle and she was to take hers, after she changed from her work clothes. I asked what type of clothes she was wearing at that time, he said that a blue or red dress, it was a primary color with puffs around the sleeve. He said the next thing that happened is they met at John's and Pinky's house at Mini Springs Ranch about 1/2 hr before sunset and at that time Rita was wearing designer blue jeans a blue short sleeve blouse and unknown type of foot wear. They all met out in the pistachio grove where they picked pistachio's for a short time, then going back into John's and Pinky's home, sat around the table and talked about square dancing and baseball. He stated he only stayed for another 30 minutes and then he left going home where he remained the rest of the night.

I asked Mr. Saunders if he'd seen Rita consuming alcoholic beverages at John's and Pinky's he stated yes she may have been having a bourbon and water. I then asked if she appeared to be intoxicated, he stated no. The interview was then terminated with Mr. Saunders as he could offer nothing further of investigative value during the preliminary investigation.

EVERY ONE AT THE PARTY SAID SHE WAS TOO DRUNK, THIS MAN SAYS DIFFERENT

* TELLING THIS STRANGER SHE'S NOT ALONE

12

REPORTING OFFICERS APP K0822	DATE 9-27-85	REVIEWED BY	TYPED BY fj	ROUTED BY	DATE
SEARCHED	INDEXED	<input type="checkbox"/> SD/PD	<input type="checkbox"/> Other	<div style="text-align: center; font-size: 2em;">(12-5)</div> <div style="text-align: right; font-size: 2em;">51</div>	
YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO	<input type="checkbox"/> Detective	<input type="checkbox"/> Ctl	<input type="checkbox"/> Other		
	<input type="checkbox"/> Dist. Atty.	<input type="checkbox"/> Patrol			

67

30 +

County of San Bernardino
California
CA 03600

DR 1331036-07

REPORT AREA

34

144

SECTION PC 187	CRIME MURDER	CLASSIFICATION			
VICTIM'S NAME - LAST NAME COBB		FIRST NAME RITA	MIDDLE NAME	(FIRM NAME IF BUSINESS)	
ADDRESS		<input type="checkbox"/> RESIDENCE	<input type="checkbox"/> BUSINESS	PHONE	

INVESTIGATIVE LEAD:

On 2-3-86, I was advised by Sergeant BAKER of the Sheriff's Homicide Detail that he had been in contact with Sergeant QUINTARD of the Lucerne Valley Station, and that Sergeant QUINTARD had advised him that a subject named JOSEPH SAUNDERS had committed suicide on January 24, 1986. Sergeant QUINTARD was aware of the fact that Mr. SAUNDERS was an acquaintance of RITA COBB and stated that there was no apparent reason for the suicide. The possibility does exist that the suicide was committed because of acts taken against RITA COBB. I was assigned by Sergeant BAKER to then look into the possibilities of obtaining blood samples for comparison with any samples that the Crime Lab currently had with them to either eliminate or to identify Mr. SAUNDERS as a possible suspect. I was further advised that Deputy Coroner MARSHALL FRANEY was in possession of a handwritten log written by JOSEPH SAUNDERS in which he had kept a running diary of his actions.

W HAS WAS ON LOG

THIS IS A
SERIOUS MATTER
ALSO SEE
LOG
RE: SAUNDERS
SUICIDE

SAUNDERS SUICIDE

CONTACT WITH ROOT LABORATORIES:

On 2-5-86, at approximately I went to the ROOT LABORATORIES in San Bernardino and there made contact with a GREG OLSEN who is a technician at that facility and advised him that I needed a sample of blood taken from the autopsy conducted on JOSEPH SAUNDERS. We then checked the records and found that the autopsy conducted on JOSEPH SAUNDERS was number 122-86. Mr. OLSEN then drew off some of the blood that was available and placed it into a container and presented it to me.

DELIVERY OF BLOOD TO CRIME LAB:

At approximately 1115 hours on 2-5-86, I took the vial of blood that I had obtained from the ROOT LABORATORIES to the Sheriff's Crime Lab and submitted it as Item C of the laboratory report.

CONTACT WITH DEPUTY CORONER FRANEY:

On 2-5-86, at approximately 1400 hours, I contacted Deputy Coroner FRANEY at the Barstow Sheriff's Substation and at that time Deputy Coroner FRANEY presented me with a Xerox copy of the journal written by JOSEPH SAUNDERS. I also received a Xerox copy of the will written by JOSEPH SAUNDERS.

EXHIBIT D (5)

REPORTING OFFICERS MC COY, Det. M1384	DATE 2-7-86	REVIEWED BY	TYPED BY CP P1786	ROUTED BY	DATE	
HEAR ACTION: <input type="checkbox"/> NO	COPIES TO: <input type="checkbox"/> Detective <input type="checkbox"/> Dist. Atty.	<input type="checkbox"/> SD/PD <input type="checkbox"/> CII <input type="checkbox"/> Patrol	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>FRANEY</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Other	REMARKS (12-6) <i>FR</i>		

26 HC
1062 TO PROVE DET. ALEXANDER LIED ON THE STAND AND SUSP. INVOLVEMENT WITH

38 HC

74001 74
147

SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY
SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT
CRIMINALISTICS LABORATORY

REQUEST FOR PHYSICAL EVIDENCE EXAMINATION

DIRECTIONS: Please TYPE or PRINT CLEARLY ALL information on both sides of this form PRIOR to submitting evidence to the Laboratory. ALL physical evidence submitted to the Laboratory for examination must be accompanied by a completed form with the EXCEPTION of (1) narcotics evidence, (2) Blood/Urine Alcohol Kits, and (3) Marijuana Citation Kits.

Please telephone the Laboratory if there is a change in the status of the case or there is additional information pertaining to the case that should be made known to the Laboratory.

Requesting Agency 2-5-86 Agency Case No. 1331036-07

Date of Request 2-5-86 Date of Offense _____ Offense PC 187

Date/Time of Evidence Collection 12-25-86 Collected By [Signature]

Victim(s) (Last Name First)	No. 1 <u>Cole, R. TA</u>	Suspect(s) (Last Name First)	No. 1 <u>CHAIN OF CUSTODY EVIDENCE</u>
	No. 2 _____		No. 2 _____
	No. 3 _____		No. 3 _____
	No. 4 _____		No. 4 _____

Brief Summary of Case Circumstances (Indicate where evidence was found and/or collected):

VICTIM SEXUALLY ASSAULTED & MURDERED.


TO COMPLETE A CHAIN OF CUSTODY UNKNOWN IS INSUFFICIENT

Description of Evidence:

Item Designation (A, B, C, etc.)	Brief Description (Package and Contents)
<u>C</u>	<u>Blood Sample from Sir Swunders. Poss Suspect</u>

12-7

EXHIBIT COVER PAGE



Description if this exhibit:

Number of Pages to this exhibit: _____ Pages.

JURISDICTION: (Check One Only)

- MUNICIPAL COURT
- SUPERIOR COURT
- APPELATE COURT
- STATE SUPREME COURT
- UNITED STATES DISCTRICT COURT
- STATE CIRCUIT COURT
- UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT
- GRAND JURY

RIFF'S DEPARTMENT
 County of San Bernardino
 California
 CA 03600

CASE NO. DR# 1331036-07
REPORT AREA

CODE SECTION PC 187	CRIME murder	CLASSIFICATION
VICTIM'S NAME - LAST NAME Cobb, RITA	FIRST NAME	MIDDLE NAME (FIRM NAME IF BUSINESS)
ADDRESS	<input type="checkbox"/> RESIDENCE	<input type="checkbox"/> BUSINESS
		PHONE ()

INTERVIEW NASH, BRUCE KENNETH. WMA 36 yrs, DOB 3-7-49, 9560 Palomar Trail, Lucerne Valley, home telephone 619/248-6745, Mr. Nash is self-employed:

At approx. 1715 hrs., Monday 9-23-85 Mr. Nash was interviewed on the roadway of highway 18 in the exterior side of the boundry protecting the crime scene.

Mr. Nash stated that he'd known the victim for approx. 3 to 4 years and he met her through John Sullivan a mutual friend. Nash also stated that he and his wife Cynthia had visited with the victim possibly 20 to 30 times over the last 3 year period. And he had last seen the victim while he and Cynthia were at John's Sullivians house on last Friday 9-20-85.

He went on to add it was approx. 1930 to 1945 hrs., when he and Cynthia were at Johns residence where they saw Rita Cobb consuming Jim Beam Bourbon. Bruce and Cynthia Nash left the Sullivan residence at approx. 2145 hrs., leaving Rita Cobb there consuming Jim Beam. Mr. Nash stated that Rita appeared to be a little "buzzed" and infact he and his wife had offered her a ride home because they did n't think she should be driving.

Asked if Rita appeared to be belligerent he stated no, she's just a very lonely woman that is looking for a man, she could share her life with. I then questioned Mr. Nash as to what he had last seen Rita wearing, he responded by saying she was wearing designer blue jeans and thats all he could remember. He also stated that she had a new boyfriend by the name of Bruce Lee and she'd mentioned that she was excited about him. Mr. Nash stated that Rita also visited local travens in the area some of which were the Moose Lodge, VFW, and Zodiac Lodge. Mr. Nash could offer nothing further of investigative value and this parliminary interivew was then concluded.

(24)

REPORTING OFFICERS R APP K 0822	DATE 9-27-85	REVIEWED BY	TYPED BY fj	ROUTED BY	DATE
REPORT ACTION: <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO	COPIES TO:	<input type="checkbox"/> SD/PD	<input type="checkbox"/> Other	REMARKS (13-1) DI	
	<input type="checkbox"/> Detective	<input type="checkbox"/> CII	<input type="checkbox"/> Other		
	<input type="checkbox"/> Dist. Atty.	<input type="checkbox"/> Patrol			

SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT
 COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO
 CALIFORNIA
 CA 03600

CASE NO. 116
 DR 1331036-07
 REPORT AREA 19
 H 100-85

Page 1

SECTION PC187	CRIME MURDER	CLASSIFICATION FELONY
VICTIM'S NAME - LAST NAME	FIRST NAME	MIDDLE NAME
ADDRESS		PHONE
RESIDENCE		

OTHER STATEMENT: Bruce Nash

On March 11, 2009, at approximately 1315 hours, Detective Robert Alexander and I interviewed Bruce at his residence. Bruce was at Many Springs Ranch with Rita Cobb when she was last seen alive. On March 8th, 2009, we arrested Yablonsky for the murder of Rita Cobb. The purpose of the interview was to find out if Bruce knew John Yablonsky and if he had any further information to provide since his last statement in 1985. We asked Bruce numerous questions and the following is a summary of his audio recorded statement.

Bruce liked Rita and described her as a fun lady to hang out with. Bruce met Rita through John Sullivan. Bruce hung out with Rita at Many Springs Ranch and at Rita's house. John was the care taker for Many Springs Ranch. Rita had a ping pong table at her house and they played one another from time to time.

Bruce last saw Rita when she arrived at Many Springs Ranch that Friday evening in 1985. Present at the Ranch were Rita, John Sullivan (Bruce's brother-in-law), Francesca Sullivan (Bruce's sister), Cynthia Hooper (Bruce's girlfriend) and him. Everyone was drinking alcohol except for Cynthia and Bruce. Rita was intoxicated and slurred her speech.

Bruce recalled that it was pleasant outside that Friday night. Cynthia and he drove to Many Springs Ranch in their green Ford Pinto station wagon arriving at about 8pm. Rita arrived in her Cadillac. Bruce could not recall if Rita arrived before or after Cynthia and him. While at the ranch Rita drank alcohol, possibly some White Lightening (190 Proof). Cynthia and he were sober. Rita generally drank wine and John and Francesca drank beer.

They all hung out together at the ranch until about 9 PM or 10 PM. Rita was good at holding her alcohol but that night she seemed more intoxicated than she usually gets. Rita was having a good time but was slurring her speech. Bruce was concerned for Rita because he did not think she was able to drive home safely. Bruce told Rita he was going to drive her home while Cynthia followed behind them. At no other time when they drank together in the past did Bruce ever have to offer Rita a ride home.

NASH NEVER SAW SAUNDERS
 BUT RECALLED COBB ARRIVING
 AT PARTY

SAUNDERS NEVER
 SEEN AT PARTY?

AFTER TRIAL

REPORTING OFFICER DET. G. MYLER (M4285)	DATE 03/12/09	REVIEWED BY FM	TYPED BY	ROUTED BY	DATE
OTHER ACTION: NO	COPIES TO: Other Detective Dist. Atty.	SD/PD Cij. Patrol	REMARKS 13-2 62		

E32

L2

SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT
 COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO
 CALIFORNIA
 CA 03600

CASE NO.

DR 1331036-07

REPORT AREA

H 100-85

Page 1

SECTION PC187	CRIME MURDER	CLASSIFICATION FELONY
VICTIM'S NAME - LAST NAME	FIRST NAME	MIDDLE NAME
ADDRESS		PHONE
RESIDENCE		

OTHER STATEMENT: Bruce Nash

On March 11, 2009, at approximately 1315 hours, Detective Robert Alexander and I interviewed Bruce at his residence. Bruce was at Many Springs Ranch with Rita Cobb when she was last seen alive. On March 8th, 2009 we arrested Yablonsky for the murder of Rita Cobb. The purpose of the interview was to find out if Bruce knew John Yablonsky and if he had any further information to provide since his last statement in 1985. We asked Bruce numerous questions and the following is a summary of his audio recorded statement.

Bruce liked Rita and described her as a fun lady to hang out with. Bruce met Rita through John Sullivan. Bruce hung out with Rita at Many Springs Ranch and at Rita's house. John was the care taker for Many Springs Ranch. Rita had a ping pong table at her house and they played one another from time to time.

NASH SEEN COBB
 ARRIVE - NEVER SEEN SANDERS

Bruce last saw Rita when she arrived at Many Springs Ranch that Friday evening in 1985. Present at the Ranch were Rita, John Sullivan (Bruce's brother-in-law), Francesca Sullivan (Bruce's sister), Cynthia Hooper (Bruce's girlfriend) and him. Everyone was drinking alcohol except for Cynthia and Bruce. Rita was intoxicated and slurred her speech.

SANDERS NOT SEEN AT ANY WAY?

Bruce recalled that it was pleasant outside that Friday night. Cynthia and he drove to Many Springs Ranch in their green Ford Pinto station wagon arriving at about 8pm. Rita arrived in her Cadillac. Bruce could not recall if Rita arrived before or after Cynthia and him. While at the ranch Rita drank alcohol, possibly some White Lightening (190 Proof). Cynthia and he were sober. Rita generally drank wine and John and Francesca drank beer.

They all hung out together at the ranch until about 9 PM or 10 PM. Rita was good at holding her alcohol but that night she seemed more intoxicated than she usually gets. Rita was having a good time but was slurring her speech. Bruce was concerned for Rita because he did not think she was able to drive home safely. Bruce told Rita he was going to drive her home while Cynthia followed behind them. At no other time when they drank together in the past did Bruce ever have to offer Rita a ride home.

AFTER TRIAL B

REPORTING OFFICER DET. G. MYLER (M4285)	DATE 03/12/09	REVIEWED BY Fr	TYPED BY	ROUTED BY	DATE
OTHER ACTION: NO	COPIES TO: - Detective Dist. Atty.	Other CII Patrol	SD/PD Other	REMARKS (13-3) 63	

E43 (L3)

**SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT
COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO
CALIFORNIA
CA 03600**

Page 2

CASE NO.

DR 1331036-07

REPORT AREA

H 100-85

SECTION PC187	CRIME MURDER	CLASSIFICATION FELONY
VICTIM'S NAME - LAST NAME	FIRST NAME	MIDDLE NAME
(FIRM NAME IF BUSINESS)		
ADDRESS	RESIDENCE	PHONE

Interview Continued: Bruce Nash

Rita was adamant that she was fine and could drive herself home. When Bruce pressed her to allow him to drive her home she refused his offer. Rita even made the comment that she was thinking about going to the Zodiac Bar or somewhere else before going home. Bruce saw Rita drive away in her Cadillac and Cynthia and he drove home. That left John and Francesca at the ranch. Today Bruce could not recall what Rita wore that night.

Alexander asked Bruce to tell him about Rita's boyfriends, friends, social life, and career. Bruce said Fred Bedard and Rita dated for a year or so before breaking up. They broke up about six months before Rita was killed. Bruce did not recall Rita dating anyone at the time of her death. Bruce did not know what type of guys Rita preferred or what her social life was like. John Sullivan and Art Bishop (deceased) seemed to be Rita's good friends. Rita worked for the Spring Valley Lake Country Club as a secretary.

On Monday, Francesca, John, and he went into Victorville for some reason. When they returned to Lucerne Valley they saw police cars at Rita's house. When they stopped Daryl (Rita's son) ran to their car and told them someone killed his mother. Bruce put his arms around Daryl and comforted him. Daryl was quite distraught.

Alexander asked Bruce if he had any knowledge that Rita had rented her back house out at any time. Bruce said no. Bruce then recalled that Rita may have rented it out to Fred Bedard at one time or another.

Alexander showed Bruce a photograph of John Yablonsky. Bruce said he does not know John Yablonsky, but he does know a George Yablonsky. George and he rode horses together a long time ago. Bruce recalled George told him back then he was trying to get one of his sons into the family business. George introduced that son to him, but Bruce does not recall what he looked like. George was frustrated with his son because he was a flake, meaning he could not depend on him.

Rita had a personalized license plate of "ST James." James was the name of her deceased husband. Rita always said James was a Saint to her.

AFTER TRIAL 13

REPORTING OFFICER DET. G. MYLER (M4285)	DATE 03/12/09	REVIEWED BY <i>EM</i>	TYPED BY	ROUTED BY	DATE
OTHER ACTION: NO	COPIES TO: Other Detective Dist. Ally.	SD/PD CII Patrol	REMARKS (13-4) 64		

ESH (L4)

SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT
 COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO
 CALIFORNIA
 CA 03600

CASE NO.

DR 1331036-07

REPORT AREA

H 100-85

Page 3

SECTION PC187	CRIME MURDER	CLASSIFICATION FELONY
VICTIM'S NAME - LAST NAME	FIRST NAME	MIDDLE NAME
ADDRESS		RESIDENCE
		PHONE

Interview Continued: Bruce Nash

Bruce said he made some repairs to Rita's house once in awhile. One time he replaced the thermostat in her house. Bruce made the repair before Rita and her son Daryl had stopped communicating with each other.

I asked Bruce if he saw or spoke to Rita from the time he saw her leave the Ranch that Friday evening until the time Daryl told him Rita had been killed. Bruce said no. Bruce also indicated he had not received any messages from Rita over the weekend.

Bruce did not have any further information to provide and his interview was concluded at 1400 hours.

AFTER TRIAL

REPORTING OFFICER DET. G. MYLER (M4285)	DATE 03/12/09	REVIEWED BY <i>FW</i>	TYPED BY	ROUTED BY	DATE
OTHER ACTION: NO	COPIES TO: Other Detective Dist. Atty.	Other CII Patrol	SD/PD Other	REMARKS (13-5) <i>6/5/15</i>	

4-401 Rev. 1/83

EGS *LS*

SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT
 COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO
 CALIFORNIA
 CA 03600

Page 2

CASE NO. 279
 187
 DR 1331036-07
 REPORT AREA 192
 H 100-85

SECTION PC187	CRIME MURDER	CLASSIFICATION FELONY
VICTIM'S NAME - LAST NAME FIRST NAME MIDDLE NAME		(FIRM NAME IF BUSINESS)
ADDRESS	RESIDENCE	PHONE

Interview Continued: Bruce Nash

Rita was adamant that she was fine and could drive herself home. When Bruce pressed her to allow him to drive her home she refused his offer. Rita even made the comment that she was thinking about going to the Zodiac Bar or somewhere else before going home. Bruce saw Rita drive away in her Cadillac and Cynthia and he drove home. That left John and Francesca at the ranch. Today Bruce could not recall what Rita wore that night.

Alexander asked Bruce to tell him about Rita's boyfriends, friends, social life, and career. Bruce said Fred Bedard and Rita dated for a year or so before breaking up. They broke up about six months before Rita was killed. Bruce did not recall Rita dating anyone at the time of her death. Bruce did not know what type of guys Rita preferred or what her social life was like. John Sullivan and Art Bishop (deceased) seemed to be Rita's good friends. Rita worked for the Spring Valley Lake Country Club as a secretary.

On Monday, Francesca, John, and he went into Victorville for some reason. When they returned to Lucerne Valley they saw police cars at Rita's house. When they stopped Daryl (Rita's son) ran to their car and told them someone killed his mother. Bruce put his arms around Daryl and comforted him. Daryl was quite distraught.

Alexander asked Bruce if he had any knowledge that Rita had rented her back house out at any time. Bruce said no. Bruce then recalled that Rita may have rented it out to Fred Bedard at one time or another.

Alexander showed Bruce a photograph of John Yablonsky. Bruce said he does not know John Yablonsky, but he does know a George Yablonsky. George and he rode horses together a long time ago. Bruce recalled George told him back then he was trying to get one of his sons into the family business. George introduced that son to him, but Bruce does not recall what he looked like. George was frustrated with his son because he was a flake, meaning he could not depend on him.

Rita had a personalized license plate of "ST James." James was the name of her deceased husband. Rita always said James was a Saint to her.

AFTER

REPORTING OFFICER DET. G. MYLER (M4285)	DATE 03/12/09	REVIEWED BY EM	TYPED BY	ROUTED BY	DATE
OTHER ACTION: NO	COPIES TO: Date/Plve Dist. Atty.	Other CII Patrol	SD/PD QJ/SW	REMARKS 13-6 6/6 13	

E76

(L6)



SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY PUBLIC DEFENDER
BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
Desert Division

REPORT OF INVESTIGATION

DEFENDANT/MINOR: John Yablonsky

CASE No: FVI900518

CHARGE(s): PC 187

REPORT TYPE: Interview / Contact

DATE(S) OF INVESTIGATION: 12/09/2009

INVESTIGATOR: Mapula

DATE REPORT PREPARED: 12/10/2009

ASSIGNED DPD: Sanders

SUP. INVESTIGATOR: Mapula

W - Witness | RP - Reporting Person | V - Victim | C - Complainant | S - Suspect | NP - Named Person | INF - Informant | OTH - Other

W	NAME: Bruce Nash AKA: DOB: DL: PHYS:	ADD 1: 32323 Carnelian Lucerne Valley ADD 2: PHN 1: 760-900-0702 PHN 2: 760-248-7947
	NAME: AKA: DOB: DL: PHYS:	ADD 1: ADD 2: PHN 1: PHN 2:
	NAME: AKA: DOB: DL: PHYS:	ADD 1: ADD 2: PHN 1: PHN 2:
	NAME: AKA: DOB: DL: PHYS:	ADD 1: ADD 2: PHN 1: PHN 2:
	NAME: AKA: DOB: DL: PHYS:	ADD 1: ADD 2: PHN 1: PHN 2:
	NAME: AKA: DOB: DL: PHYS:	ADD 1: ADD 2: PHN 1: PHN 2:
	NAME: AKA: DOB: DL: PHYS:	ADD 1: ADD 2: PHN 1: PHN 2:

AFTER
TRIAL

13

13-7

67
(67)

EST

NARRATIVE

I talked with Bruce Nash on 12-9-09 regarding this incident. I identified myself to him as a San Bernardino County Public Defender Investigator and he agreed to talk with me about this case but asked that I call him back on 12-10-09. I called him again on 12-10-09 and talked with him about this case.

I asked Nash if he remembered when he last saw Rita Cobb before her death. He said he thinks it was on Friday night of the weekend of her death. He told me that was at John Sullivan's house. Sullivan is Nash's brother-in-law. There was a get-together at Sullivan's house and Rita Cobb was there. He said she did not have a date or companion that had accompanied her.

SAUNDERS WAS NOT SEEN AT PARTY EVEN THOUGH HE SAID (SAUNDERS) SEEN HER ARRIVE.

I asked Nash if he knew who, if anyone, Cobb had been dating or seeing regularly before her death. He said he thought it was somebody from Spring Valley Lake but said he could be wrong about that. He could not remember a name at all. He said he seemed to remember Cobb telling him she had been seeing someone. Nash thought that maybe the person Cobb had been seeing had something to do with Spring Valley Lake.

I asked Nash if he had any opinion as to Cobb's reputation with men. He said his "impression" is that she did, at times, go home with a man after having drinks at one of the bars in town. He did not know if that was a fact or not. Nash also told me he never knew Cobb to be a "mean drunk" at all. He said he never saw her like that. He told me she did have a strong personality and would often speak her mind, but was not a mean or aggressive person.

I asked Nash if he noticed anything suspicious or out of the ordinary at or around Cobb's residence just prior to her death. Nash told me he did not live close to Cobb at the time of her death so he would not have any information as far as anything suspicious around her house. I also asked Nash if he knew, or had heard of, Helen Brooks of Apple Valley around the time of Cobb's death. He did not know the name at all.

Nash told me Fran Sullivan is his sister. He said he did not know of anyone that came to mind that might have any information regarding this incident.

AUDIO/VIDEO RECORDING(S) GENERATED IN CONNECTION WITH THIS REPORT: Yes No
PHYSICAL EVIDENCE COLLECTED IN CONNECTION WITH THIS REPORT: Yes No
EVIDENCE CONTROL NUMBER(S):

This report was prepared from my notes and independent recollection of these contacts and events. As this report incorporates and replaces my original notes in their entirety, they were destroyed on: 12/10/2009.

<< NOTHING FOLLOWS >>

AFTER TRIAL

TS

(13-8)

ETS

GLS

**SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT
COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO
CALIFORNIA
CA 03600**

CASE NO.
DR 1331036-07
REPORT AREA
H 100-85

SECTION PC187	CRIME MURDER	CLASSIFICATION FELONY
VICTIM'S NAME - LAST NAME	FIRST NAME	MIDDLE NAME (FIRM NAME IF BUSINESS)
ADDRESS	RESIDENCE	PHONE

Interview Continued: Bruce Nash

Rita was adamant that she was fine and could drive herself home. When Bruce pressed her to allow him to drive her home she refused his offer. Rita even made the comment that she was thinking about going to the Zodiac Bar or somewhere else before going home. Bruce saw Rita drive away in her Cadillac and Cynthia and he drove home. That left John and Francesca at the ranch. Today Bruce could not recall what Rita wore that night.

Alexander asked Bruce to tell him about Rita's boyfriends, friends, social life, and career. Bruce said Fred Bedard and Rita dated for a year or so before breaking up. They broke up about six months before Rita was killed. Bruce did not recall Rita dating anyone at the time of her death. Bruce did not know what type of guys Rita preferred or what her social life was like. John Sullivan and Art Bishop (deceased) seemed to be Rita's good friends. Rita worked for the Spring Valley Lake Country Club as a secretary.

On Monday, Francesca, John, and he went into Victorville for some reason. When they returned to Lucerne Valley they saw police cars at Rita's house. When they stopped Daryl (Rita's son) ran to their car and told them someone killed his mother. Bruce put his arms around Daryl and comforted him. Daryl was quite distraught.

Alexander asked Bruce if he had any knowledge that Rita had rented her back house out at any time. Bruce said no. Bruce then recalled that Rita may have rented it out to Fred Bedard at one time or another.

Alexander showed Bruce a photograph of John Yablonsky. Bruce said he does not know John Yablonsky, but he does know a George Yablonsky. George and he rode horses together a long time ago. Bruce recalled George told him back then he was trying to get one of his sons into the family business. George introduced that son to him, but Bruce does not recall what he looked like. George was frustrated with his son because he was a flake, meaning he could not depend on him.

Rita had a personalized license plate of "ST James." James was the name of her deceased husband. Rita always said James was a Saint to her.

REPORTING OFFICER DET. G. MYLER (M4285)	DATE 03/12/09	REVIEWED BY <i>EM</i>	TYPED BY	ROUTED BY	DATE
OTHER ACTION: NO	COPIES TO: Other Detective Dist Ally.	Other CII Patrol	SD/PD Other	REMARKS <i>1st</i> <i>D2</i> <i>(27)</i>	

13-9



SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY PUBLIC DEFENDER
BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
Desert Division

REPORT OF INVESTIGATION

DEFENDANT/MINOR: John Yablonsky

CASE NO: FVI900518

CHARGE(S): PC 187

REPORT TYPE: Interview / Contact

DATE(S) OF INVESTIGATION: 12/09/2009

INVESTIGATOR: Mapula

DATE REPORT PREPARED: 12/10/2009

ASSIGNED DPD: Sanders

SUP. INVESTIGATOR: Mapula

W - Witness | RP - Reporting Person | V - Victim | C - Complainant | S - Suspect | NP - Named Person | INF - Informant | OTH - Other

W	NAME: Bruce Nash	ADD 1: 32323 Carnelian	
	AKA:	Lucerne Valley	
	DOB:	ADD 2:	
	DL:		
	PHYS:	PHN 1: 760-900-0702	PHN 2: 760-248-7947
	NAME:	ADD 1:	
	AKA:		
	DOB:	ADD 2:	
	DL:		
	PHYS:	PHN 1:	PHN 2:
	NAME:	ADD 1:	
	AKA:		
	DOB:	ADD 2:	
	DL:		
	PHYS:	PHN 1:	PHN 2:
	NAME:	ADD 1:	
	AKA:		
	DOB:	ADD 2:	
	DL:		
	PHYS:	PHN 1:	PHN 2:
	NAME:	ADD 1:	
	AKA:		
	DOB:	ADD 2:	
	DL:		
	PHYS:	PHN 1:	PHN 2:

5.11.25 35436

13-10

NARRATIVE

I talked with Bruce Nash on 12-9-09 regarding this incident. I identified myself to him as a San Bernardino County Public Defender Investigator and he agreed to talk with me about this case but asked that I call him back on 12-10-09. I called him again on 12-10-09 and talked with him about this case.

I asked Nash if he remembered when he last saw Rita Cobb before her death. He said he thinks it was on Friday night of the weekend of her death. He told me that was at John Sullivan's house. Sullivan is Nash's brother-in-law. There was a get-together at Sullivan's house and Rita Cobb was there. He said she did not have a date or companion that had accompanied her. *SAUNDERS SAID HE SEEN COBB ARRIVE AT PARTY @ 1030 BUT NOBODY SEEN SAUNDERS, WHY?*

I asked Nash if he knew who, if anyone, Cobb had been dating or seeing regularly before her death. He said he thought it was somebody from Spring Valley Lake but said he could be wrong about that. He could not remember a name at all. He said he seemed to remember Cobb telling him she had been seeing someone. Nash thought that maybe the person Cobb had been seeing had something to do with Spring Valley Lake.

I asked Nash if he had any opinion as to Cobb's reputation with men. He said his "impression" is that she did, at times, go home with a man after having drinks at one of the bars in town. He did not know if that was a fact or not. Nash also told me he never knew Cobb to be a "mean drunk" at all. He said he never saw her like that. He told me she did have a strong personality and would often speak her mind, but was not a mean or aggressive person.

I asked Nash if he noticed anything suspicious or out of the ordinary at or around Cobb's residence just prior to her death. Nash told me he did not live close to Cobb at the time of her death so he would not have any information as far as anything suspicious around her house. I also asked Nash if he knew, or had heard of, Helen Brooks of Apple Valley around the time of Cobb's death. He did not know the name at all.

Nash told me Fran Sullivan is his sister. He said he did not know of anyone that came to mind that might have any information regarding this incident.

AUDIO/VIDEO RECORDING(S) GENERATED IN CONNECTION WITH THIS REPORT: Yes No

PHYSICAL EVIDENCE COLLECTED IN CONNECTION WITH THIS REPORT: Yes No

EVIDENCE CONTROL NUMBER(S):

This report was prepared from my notes and independent recollection of these contacts and events. As this report incorporates and replaces my original notes in their entirety, they were destroyed on: 12/10/2009.

<< NOTHING FOLLOWS >>

13-11

1 night, and there were people that could testify
2 that -- that what she said was not what happened.

3 MR. SANDERS: There were a couple of people
4 that said they thought they remembered her in the bar.

5 THE COURT: Okay.

6 MR. SANDERS: Thank you.

7 THE COURT: Thank you.

8 (Whereupon the following proceedings were held in open
9 court in the presence of the jury:)

10 THE COURT: I'm sustaining the objection. Go
11 ahead. Ask another question.

12 BY MR. SANDERS:

13 Q So did you -- you offered her -- to take her
14 home.

15 Was she in the process of getting ready to go
16 home?

17 A I don't remember. I believe so.

~~OT 117~~
~~OT 271~~

18 Q Okay. And she declined your offer to drive her
19 home?

20 A Yes.

21 Q Did you watch her as she left to go to her
22 house?

23 A I don't remember that.


24 Q Was there some discussion between she and
25 your -- it was your girlfriend?

26 A Correct.

(13-12)

27 Q Was there some discussion between the two of
28 you that you should follow her home to make sure she got

EXHIBIT COVER PAGE



Description if this exhibit:

Number of Pages to this exhibit: _____ Pages.

JURISDICTION: (Check One Only)

- MUNICIPAL COURT
- SUPERIOR COURT
- APPELATE COURT
- STATE SUPREME COURT
- UNITED STATES DISCTRICT COURT
- STATE CIRCUIT COURT
- UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT
- GRAND JURY

SECTION 187	CRIME MURDER	CLASSIFICATION	
VICTIM'S NAME - LAST NAME COBB, RITA MABEL		FIRST NAME	MIDDLE NAME (FIRM NAME IF BUSINESS)
ADDRESS		<input type="checkbox"/> RESIDENCE <input type="checkbox"/> BUSINESS	PHONE ()

PAGE 5

Don added that this Frank and Rita had fought like cats and dogs and he'd heard her yelling several times in the middle of the night, for help, usually when she had been drinking. Don had nothing further of investigative value and interview was concluded.

ARRIVAL OF CORONER:

At approx. 1335 hrs., date, Dep. Corner Marshall Franey arrived at the scene. Mr. Franey was briefed of the circumstances surrounding the death that we were aware of at this point and did not enter the residence.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION:

ADDITIONAL OFFICER:

At approx. 1406 hrs., date, officers from the Sheriff's identification bureau arrived at the scene. Officers present were Tom Moody, and a Jeff Venditti they also were briefed of the instances surrounding the death that were known at this time and they also did not enter scene, and stood by awaiting the arrival of the Sheriff's Homicide Division.

At approx. 1417 hrs., date, Sgt. Dave Baker along with Det. Al Long, Gary Woods and Roger McCoy from the Sheriff's division arrived at the scene. The responding homicide unit detectives were briefed of the circumstances and also of all information obtained from interviews as of this time. After being briefed, the homicide team began their investigation directing photographs to be taken of the scene and also entering the crime scene.

After the arrival of the Sheriff's homicide team, Det. Knapp and myself remained at the location pending further assignment by Sgt. Baker.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION SULLIVIAN, JOHN DOB 5-8-45 Mini Springs Ranch, HWY 18 Lucerne Valley Calif., 619/248-6960:

At approx. 1640 hrs., this date I conducted an interview with the above subject in front of the residence owned by Rita Cobb. This interview took place on the dirt shoulder of Hwy 18. In the course of the interview Sullivan related the following information.

I asked Mr. Sullivan when the last time he had seen Rita alive was and he said on Friday night 20-85 she'd come over to his residence that he shared with his girlfriend Fran. Rita came over to the house at approx. 1800 hrs. and left sometime after he went to bed approx. 2230 hrs.

HE LATER SAYS SHE SHOWED UP IN 2002 NPS

FILE NO. / OFFICER CUTTLE T1183	DATE 9-26-85	REVIEWED BY	TYPED BY fj	ROLLED BY	DATE
COPIES TO: <input type="checkbox"/> NC	<input type="checkbox"/> SD/PO <input type="checkbox"/> Detective	<input type="checkbox"/> Other <input type="checkbox"/> Other	REMARKS (14-1) 69 F2 E (LA)		

BEFORE TRIAL

SECTION C 187	CRIME MURDER	CLASSIFICATION
VICTIM'S NAME - LAST NAME COBB, RITA MABEL	FIRST NAME	MIDDLE NAME (FIRM NAME IF BUSINESS)
ADDRESS	RESIDENCE	PHONE ()

PAGE 6

I asked if Rita had been drinking and he said yes. I asked John if he knew of anyone Rita had been seeing or had dated and he said a man by the name of Fred Bedard or Penard. He drove a brown Ford van with pin strining that used to work at Pfizer. this Fred had been injured and is currently off work. I asked if Rita had seen alot of men and he said yes, that to him she was a very lonely woman. However, recently she'd met someone from Spring Valley Lake and had gone out with him last week. John said he didn't know the man. Last weekend, meaning the weekend of September 14 and 15, Betty Ball a friend of Rita's, had spent the weekend with her and maybe she would have some additional information about this individual that Rita is seeing. John said he didn't know where Betty Ball lived, but thought Apple Valley.

I asked John what Rita's hobbies were and he said she dearly loved golf, and she like to hike and camp as well. I asked John if he'd seen Rita anymore this weekend and he said no. He said that he thought she was going down below, but did not know what made him think so, and he had not gone by her house except for Sunday evening when he and his girlfriend Fran returned from an Angel game. I asked John if Rita had a critical personality to which he responded that she would critique people and if they didn't know her they could probably take it wrong, as critical. I asked if Rita was anti-"man" in particular and he said no. I asked John if he ever heard Rita talking about Fred hitting her or them getting into fights and he said, mentioned on one occasion, that Fred had hit her once, with no other information available. In closing, he thought Doris lived in La Quinta on the outskirts of Palm Springs. John had nothing further of value, interview was concluded.

FRED BEDARD

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION DRAKE, FRANCESCA DOB 12-29-56, MANY SPRINGS RANCH, LUCERNE VALLEY, CALIF., 919/248-6960:

at approx. 1700 hrs., on 9-23-85, I conducted an interview with the above person in front of the victims residence. During the course of the interview, Drake related the following information. In response to my question as to when the last time she'd had seen the victim Rita Cobb, Drake said, she saw her on Friday 9-20-85 when she came over to her and John's house. Rita stayed until approx. 2330 hrs., that night and when she left, she was drunk, she had been drinking a lot of bourbon that evening. I asked Drake what Cobb's personality was when she left, she said that although she was drunk, she was feeling good but lonely like always. I next asked if Cobb had been seeing anybody or going out on any dates with anyone and she said that last weekend, the weekend of 9-13 through 15th she had a date with a man by the name of Bruce Lee, she said the date was on Sunday making it 9-15-85. That they'd gone out one time but had a date of some type for this weekend meaning the weekend just passed.

Drake had mentioned to Drake, she had talked with Lee this past week but, she did not remember if she had said it was a telephone or a personal contact with Lee. Drake said Rita was very

DATE: 9-30-85	REVIEWED BY	TYPED BY: fj.	ROUTED BY	DATE
COPIES TO:	<input type="checkbox"/> SDFD	<input type="checkbox"/> Other	REMARKS	
<input type="checkbox"/> NO	<input type="checkbox"/> Detective	<input type="checkbox"/> Other	14-2	

BEFORE TRIAL F3 #10

**SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT
COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO
CALIFORNIA
CA 03600**

Page 2

CASE NO.
1331036-07
H # 100 - 85

SECTION PC 187	CRIME Murder	CLASSIFICATION Felony
VICTIM'S NAME - LAST NAME	FIRST NAME	MIDDLE NAME
ADDRESS		PHONE
(FIRM NAME IF BUSINESS)		

WITNESS INTERVIEW: JOHN SULLIVAN (continued)

Some time around 10:00 p.m., Rita said it was time for her to go home Sullivan and everyone else felt Rita was too intoxicated to drive so they insisted that one of them drive her home. Bruce got into the driver's seat of Rita's Cadillac and drove Rita home, as Cynthia followed behind in her vehicle. Sullivan remained at the ranch with his girlfriend, Francesca, when they all left.

On Monday, Sullivan went to a doctor's appointment in Apple Valley. When he returned to Lucerne Valley, he stopped at a market. While at the market, someone told him there were a lot of police officers at Rita's house. Sullivan left the market and drove to Rita's house. When he arrived at Rita's, there were police officers everywhere. Sullivan found Darrell at the scene. Darrell is Rita's son. Darrell told Sullivan his mother was dead.

From the time Rita had left Many Springs Ranch that Friday or Saturday evening up until Monday, when he spoke to Darrell, Sullivan had not spoken with Rita over the telephone or seen her for that time period.

When Bruce and Cynthia took Rita home, they did not return to Many Springs Ranch because they had also planned to go home. Sullivan could not recall what type of vehicle Cynthia and Bruce drove back then.

Alexander asked Sullivan if Rita rented out the back apartment behind her house. Sullivan said as far as he knew, she had not rented it out.

Alexander showed Sullivan a photograph of John Yablonsky which did not have any personal identifying information on it. Sullivan said he did not recognize the person in the photograph. Alexander asked Sullivan if he knew the Yablonsky family. Sullivan said he knew George Yablonsky and described him as a very nice person. Alexander asked Sullivan if George had any sons or daughters. Sullivan said not that he was aware of. Sullivan recalled that George Yablonsky and Rita's son, Darrell, had been friends at one time.

REPORTING OFFICER Det. G. Myler/M4285	DATE 031009	REVIEWED BY <i>EM</i>	TYPED BY CMB	ROUTED BY	DATE
OTHER ACTION: NO	COPIES TO: Detective Dist. Atty.	Other CII Patrol	SD/PD Other	REMARKS <i>(14-4)</i> <i>(6/12)</i> <i>(L12)</i>	

4-401 Rev. 1/83

*ACFER
TRIAL*

F5-E12

(L12)

SECTION PC 187	CRIME MURDER	CLASSIFICATION	
VICTIM'S NAME - LAST NAME COBB, RITA MABEL		FIRST NAME	MIDDLE NAME (FIRM NAME IF BUSINESS)
ADDRESS		<input type="checkbox"/> RESIDENCE <input type="checkbox"/> BUSINESS	PHONE ()

PAGE 5

Don added that this Frank and Rita had fought like cats and dogs and he'd heard her yelling several times in the middle of the night, for help, usually when she had been drinking. Don had nothing further of investigative value and interview was concluded.

ARRIVAL OF CORONER:

At approx. 1335 hrs., date, Dep. Corner Marshall Franey arrived at the scene. Mr. Franey was briefed of the circumstances surrounding the death that we were aware of at this point and did not enter the residence.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION:

ADDITIONAL OFFICER:

At approx. 1406 hrs., date, officers from the Sheriff's identification bureau arrived at the scene. Officers present were Tom Moody, and a Jeff Venditti they also were briefed of the circumstances surrounding the death that were known at this time and they also did not enter scene, and stood by awaiting the arrival of the Sheriff's Homicide Division.

At approx. 1417 hrs., date, Sgt. Dave Baker along with Det. Al Long, Gary Woods and Roger McCoy from the Sheriff's division arrived at the scene. The responding homicide unit detectives were briefed of the circumstances and also of all information obtained from interviews as of this time. After being briefed, the homicide team began their investigation directing photographs to be taken of the scene and also entering the crime scene.

After the arrival of the Sheriff's homicide team, Det. Knapp and myself remained at the location pending further assignment by Sgt. Baker.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION SULLIVIAN, JOHN DOB 5-8-45 Mini Springs Ranch, HWY 18 Lucerne Valley Calif., 619/248-6960:

At approx. 1640 hrs., this date I conducted an interview with the above subject in front of the residence owned by Rita Cobb. This interview took place on the dirt shoulder of Hwy 18. In the course of the interview Sullivan related the following information.

I asked Mr. Sullivan when the last time he had seen Rita alive was and he said on Friday night -20-85 she'd come over to his residence that he shared with his girlfriend Fran. Rita came over to the house at approx. 1800 hrs. and left sometime after he went to bed approx. 2230 hrs.

REPORTING OFFICER G RUTTLE T1183	DATE 9-26-85	REVIEWED BY	TYPED BY fj	ROUTED BY	DATE
SECTION	COPIES TO:	<input type="checkbox"/> SD/PD	<input type="checkbox"/> Other	REMARKS	
<input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO	<input type="checkbox"/> Detective	<input type="checkbox"/> CII	<input type="checkbox"/> Other	14-5	

SECTION C 187	CRIME MURDER	CLASSIFICATION
VICTIM'S NAME - LAST NAME COBB, RITA MABEL		FIRST NAME MIDDLE NAME (FIRM NAME IF BUSINESS)
ADDRESS	<input type="checkbox"/> RES. DENISE	<input type="checkbox"/> BUSINESS PHONE ()

PAGE 6

I asked if Rita had been drinking and he said yes. I asked John if he knew of anyone Rita had been seeing or had dated and he said a man by the name of Fred Bedard or Penard. He drove a brown Ford van with pin striping that used to work at Pfizer. This Fred had been injured and is currently off work. I asked if Rita had seen a lot of men and he said yes, that to him she was a very lonely woman. However, recently she'd met someone from Spring Valley Lake and had gone out with him last week. John said he didn't know the man. Last weekend, meaning the weekend of September 14 and 15, Betty Ball a friend of Rita's, had spent the weekend with her and maybe she would have some additional information about this individual that Rita is seeing. John said he didn't know where Betty Ball lived, but thought Apple Valley.

I asked John what Rita's hobbies were and he said she dearly loved golf, and she like to hike and camp as well. I asked John if he'd seen Rita anymore this weekend and he said no. He said that he thought she was going down below, but did not know what made him think so, and he had not gone by her house except for Sunday evening when he and his girlfriend Fran returned from an Angel game. I asked John if Rita had a critical personality to which he responded that she would critique people and if they didn't know her they could probably take it wrong, as critical. I asked if Rita was anti "man" in particular and he said no. I asked John if he ever heard Rita talking about Fred hitting her or them getting into fights and he said, she mentioned on one occasion, that Fred had hit her once, with no other information available. John said in closing, he thought Doris lived in La Quinta on the outskirts of Palm Springs. John had nothing further of value, interview was concluded.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION DRAKE, FRANCESCA DOB 12-29-56, MANY SPRINGS RANCH, LUCERNE VALLEY, CALIF., 919/248-6960:

at approx. 1700 hrs., on 9-23-85, I conducted an interview with the above person in front of the victim's residence. During the course of the interview, Drake related the following information. She responded to my question as to when the last time she'd had seen the victim Rita Cobb, Drake said, she saw her on Friday 9-20-85 when she came over to her and John's house. Rita stayed until approx. 2330 hrs., that night and when she left, she was drunk, she had been drinking out of bourbon that evening. I asked Drake what Cobb's personality was when she left, she said that although she was drunk, she was feeling good but lonely like always. I next asked if Cobb had been seeing anybody or going out on any dates with anyone and she said that last weekend, the weekend of 9-13 through 15th she had a date with a man by the name of Bruce Lee, she said the date was on Sunday making it 9-15-85. That they'd gone out one time but had a date of some type for this weekend meaning the weekend just passed.

Drake had mentioned to Drake, she had talked with Lee this past week but, she did not remember if Lee had said it was a telephone or a personal contact with Lee. Drake said Rita was very

INVESTIGATORS MITTLE T1183	DATE 9-30-85	REVIEWED BY	TYPED BY fj	ROUTED BY	DATE
ACTION: <input type="checkbox"/> NO	COPIES TO: <input type="checkbox"/> Detective	<input type="checkbox"/> SD/PP <input type="checkbox"/> Other	REMARKS JOHN SULLIVAN SAID SHE WAS DATING FRED BERDARD AND SHE'S SEEING BRUCE LEE	14-6	

SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT
 COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO
 CALIFORNIA
 CA 03600

CASE NO.
 1331036-07

Page 2

H # 100 - 85

SECTION PC 187	CRIME Murder	CLASSIFICATION Felony
VICTIM'S NAME - LAST NAME	FIRST NAME	MIDDLE NAME
ADDRESS		PHONE
RESIDENCE		

WITNESS INTERVIEW: JOHN SULLIVAN (continued)

Sometime around 10:00 p.m., Rita said it was time for her to go home. Sullivan and everyone else felt Rita was too intoxicated to drive so they insisted that one of them drive her home. Bruce got into the driver's seat of Rita's Cadillac and drove Rita home, as Cynthia followed behind in her vehicle. Sullivan remained at the ranch with his girlfriend, Francesca, when they all left.

On Monday, Sullivan went to a doctor's appointment in Apple Valley. When he returned to Lucerne Valley, he stopped at a market. While at the market, someone told him there were a lot of police officers at Rita's house. Sullivan left the market and drove to Rita's house. When he arrived at Rita's, there were police officers everywhere. Sullivan found Darrell at the scene. Darrell is Rita's son. Darrell told Sullivan his mother was dead.

From the time Rita had left Many Springs Ranch that Friday or Saturday evening up until Monday, when he spoke to Darrell, Sullivan had not spoken with Rita over the telephone or seen her for that time period.

When Bruce and Cynthia took Rita home, they did not return to Many Springs Ranch because they had also planned to go home. Sullivan could not recall what type of vehicle Cynthia and Bruce drove back then.

Alexander asked Sullivan if Rita rented out the back apartment behind her house. Sullivan said as far as he knew, she had not rented it out.

Alexander showed Sullivan a photograph of John Yablonsky which did not have any personal identifying information on it. Sullivan said he did not recognize the person in the photograph. Alexander asked Sullivan if he knew the Yablonsky family. Sullivan said he knew George Yablonsky and described him as a very nice person. Alexander asked Sullivan if George had any sons or daughters. Sullivan said not that he was aware of. Sullivan recalled that George Yablonsky and Rita's son, Darrell, had been friends at one time.

REPORTING OFFICER Det. G. Myler/M4285	DATE 031009	REVIEWED BY EM	TYPED BY CMB	ROUTED BY	DATE
OTHER ACTION: NO	COPIES TO: Other Detective Dist. Atty.	SD/PD Other Patrol	REMARKS 14-8		

[Handwritten signatures and initials]



SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY PUBLIC DEFENDER
BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
Desert Division

REPORT OF INVESTIGATION

DEFENDANT/MINOR:	John Yablonsky	CASE NO:	EVI900518
CHARGE(S):	PC 187		
REPORT TYPE:	Interview / Contact		
DATE(S) OF INVESTIGATION:	01/14/2010	INVESTIGATOR:	Mapula
DATE REPORT PREPARED:	01/15/2010	ASSIGNED DPD:	Sanders
		SUP. INVESTIGATOR:	Hernandez

W - Witness | RP - Reporting Person | V - Victim | C - Complainant | S - Suspect | NP - Named Person | INF - Informant | OTH - Other

W	NAME:	John Sullivan	ADD 1:	33403 Carnelian Road
	AKA:			Lucerne Valley, Calif.
	DOB:		ADD 2:	
	DL:			
	PHYS:		PHN 1:	760-248-6902 PHN 2:
	NAME:		ADD 1:	
	AKA:			
DOB:		ADD 2:		
DL:				
PHYS:		PHN 1:		PHN 2:
NAME:		ADD 1:		
AKA:				
DOB:		ADD 2:		
DL:				
PHYS:		PHN 1:		PHN 2:
NAME:		ADD 1:		
AKA:				
DOB:		ADD 2:		
DL:				
PHYS:		PHN 1:		PHN 2:

149

NARRATIVE

I talked with John Sullivan at his residence on 1-14-10. I had previously spoken with him on the telephone and agreed to come to his residence for interview regarding this case. I identified myself to him and gave him a business card.

I asked Sullivan about the death of Rita Cobb. He told me he last saw Cobb the Friday before she was found dead in her home. He said she had come to his residence for a gathering of friends. He remembers that she did like to drink and brought a bottle of liquor of some kind. He seemed to remember she came alone. I asked him if he knew who, if anyone, she had been dating just before her death. He knew that she had been dating Fred Bedard for a period of time but then had split up. He didn't know of anybody else Cobb may have been dating. He did not know the name Bruce Lee. He said he knew Cobb was known to like the company of men and that was her reputation as far as he knew and added that she was a single and fairly attractive lady. He also said he never saw Cobb as a "mean drunk".

I asked Sullivan if he remembered seeing anyone or anything around Cobb's residence that was unusual or suspicious-looking. He said that as a matter of fact he had driven past her home that weekend of her death but he did not see anything unusual, out of place, or suspicious-looking.

AUDIO/VIDEO RECORDING(S) GENERATED IN CONNECTION WITH THIS REPORT: Yes No

PHYSICAL EVIDENCE COLLECTED IN CONNECTION WITH THIS REPORT: Yes No

EVIDENCE CONTROL NUMBER(S):

This report was prepared from my notes and independent recollection of these contacts and events. As this report incorporates and replaces my original notes in their entirety, they were destroyed on: 01/15/2010.

<< NOTHING FOLLOWS >>

14-10



**SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY PUBLIC DEFENDER
BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
Desert Division**

REPORT OF INVESTIGATION

DEFENDANT/MINOR: John Yablonsky CASE NO: FVI900518

CHARGE(S): PC 187

REPORT TYPE: Interview / Contact

DATE(S) OF INVESTIGATION: 01/14/2010	INVESTIGATOR: Mapula
DATE REPORT PREPARED: 01/15/2010	ASSIGNED DPD: Sanders
	SUP. INVESTIGATOR: Hernandez

W - Witness | RP - Reporting Person | V - Victim | C - Complainant | S - Suspect | NP - Named Person | INF - Informant | OTH - Other

W	NAME: John Sullivan	ADD 1: 33403 Carnellan Road	
	AKA:	Lucerne Valley, Calif.	
	DOB:	ADD 2:	
	DL:		
	PHYS:	PHN 1: 760-248-6902	PHN 2:
	NAME:	ADD 1:	
	AKA:		
	DOB:	ADD 2:	
	DL:		
	PHYS:	PHN 1:	PHN 2:
	NAME:	ADD 1:	
	AKA:		
	DOB:	ADD 2:	
	DL:		
	PHYS:	PHN 1:	PHN 2:
	NAME:	ADD 1:	
	AKA:		
	DOB:	ADD 2:	
	DL:		
	PHYS:	PHN 1:	PHN 2:

14-11

NARRATIVE

After completing the previous report of the interview with John Sullivan I realized I left out some information that he had provided. Sullivan told me during the interview that Rita Cobb and her son, Darryl Kraemer, had been estranged and had not spoken to each other for about two months or more at the time of her death. He said Cobb had invited her son to go with her on a trip to Canada and he refused to go with her. This apparently angered Cobb and they stopped talking to each other.

AUDIO/VIDEO RECORDING(S) GENERATED IN CONNECTION WITH THIS REPORT: Yes No

PHYSICAL EVIDENCE COLLECTED IN CONNECTION WITH THIS REPORT: Yes No

EVIDENCE CONTROL NUMBER(S):

This report was prepared from my notes and independent recollection of these contacts and events. As this report incorporates and replaces my original notes in their entirety, they were destroyed on: 01/15/2010.

<< NOTHING FOLLOWS >>

14-12



Francesca Drake (1992)



Francesca Drake (2008)

(14-13)

US

EXHIBIT COVER PAGE

15
EXHIBIT

Description if this exhibit:

Number of Pages to this exhibit: _____ Pages.

JURISDICTION: (Check One Only)

- MUNICIPAL COURT
- SUPERIOR COURT
- APPELATE COURT
- STATE SUPREME COURT
- UNITED STATES DISCTRICT COURT
- STATE CIRCUIT COURT
- UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT
- GRAND JURY

County of San Bernardino
California
CA 03600

REPORT AREA

ION 187	CRIME MURDER	CLASSIFICATION STRANGULATION	
W'S NAME - LAST NAME COBB	FIRST NAME RITA	MIDDLE NAME MABLE	(FIRM NAME IF BUSINESS)
ESS 345 Hwy 18, Lucerne Valley, CA ge 4	<input type="checkbox"/> RESIDENCE	<input type="checkbox"/> BUSINESS	PHONE () 248-7584

CONTACT AT ZODIAC BAR:

At approximately 2040 hours, Monday, 9-23-85, we made contact at the Zodiac Bar with the bartender DAWN. I asked DAWN and showed her a picture of RITA COBB, and asked her if she knew her. DAWN stated that she did and was aware of RITA, she had been in her about a month and a half ago and was very intoxicated and she stated that's the last time that she has seen her, only one time. I asked her specifically if she had been in there Friday or Saturday night and she stated that she had been the night bartender on those particular nights and had not seen her at all.

While we were leaving the Zodiac Bar we were approached by a VAL LEMOS, Mexican male, 50 years, who lives in Big bear, home phone number of 585-3931. This was approximately 2045 hours, 9-23-85. VAL told us of an incident occurring earlier that evening where a subject wearing a L.A.P.D. black hat, blonde hair, skinny, blonde moustach and beard, stated that he was in the bar and he had never seen him in there before and was talking to a female subject that was a local that lived in the area. He stated that she was sitting there and he kept making an approach on her, finally started calling her names such as dirty bitch and telling her to get fucked. He stated that this occurred about 1800 hours, Monday. He stated the girl now is gone but is the girlfriend of a subject by the name of GENE. The information was taken from Mr. LEMOS, the contact was concluded.

Second contact at the Zodiac Bar that night was with RONALD KOBS, he's the local propane gas man, works for Sun Propane there in Lucerne Valley. Mr. KOBS stated he had heard what had happened to RITA and he stated that back on August 15th or 18th, somewhere in that particular area, 1985, that he was called out to the COBB residence and when he went out to survey the property for a possible propane tank construction that there was a dark headed subject with a beard and moustach that he found out later was RITA's son, was there and was very violent acting towards his mother, calling her dirty names and grabbing her by the front of the shirt calling her dirty names to her face. Mr. KOBS stated that RITA COBB was not acting as if she were all there either. He stated that he tried to explain the insertion of the propane tank to her several times and she was just not understanding. Contact with Mr. KOBS was concluded.

OFFICERS WDS, Det. W2108	DATE 9-26-85	REVIEWED BY <i>[Signature]</i>	TYPED BY jo 00397	ROUTED BY	DATE
COPIES TO:	<input type="checkbox"/> SD/PO	<input type="checkbox"/> Other	REMARKS <div style="text-align: center; border: 1px solid black; border-radius: 50%; width: 100px; height: 100px; display: flex; align-items: center; justify-content: center;">15-1</div>		
<input type="checkbox"/> NO	<input type="checkbox"/> Detective	<input type="checkbox"/> CII			
	<input type="checkbox"/> Dist. Atty.	<input type="checkbox"/> Patrol			

EXHIBIT COVER PAGE



Description of this exhibit:

Number of Pages to this exhibit: _____ Pages.

JURISDICTION: (Check One Only)

- MUNICIPAL COURT
- SUPERIOR COURT
- APPELLATE COURT
- STATE SUPREME COURT
- UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
- STATE CIRCUIT COURT
- UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT
- GRAND JURY



William Backoff (1991)

No Current Photo Available

William Backoff (2008)

16-1
TZ
TZ

SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT

County of San Bernardino
California
CA 03600

DR# 1331037-07

REPORT AREA

SECTION PC 187	CRIME MURDER	CLASSIFICATION
VICTIM'S NAME - LAST NAME COBB, RITA MABEL		FIRST NAME
ADDRESS		MIDDLE NAME (FIRM NAME IF BUSINESS)
<input type="checkbox"/> RESIDENCE <input type="checkbox"/> BUSINESS		PHONE ()

PAGE 7

excited about going out with Bruce Lee. I asked if Drake knew the man identified as Fred and she said yes she did know him, and had seen him several times at Rita's house. I asked if Rita had ever said anything or complained to her about Fred hitting her, to Drake's knowledge, Rita had never made this complaint. I asked Drake what type of personality she would describe Rita as having, Drake said that she drank alot and could be very caustic when she had been drinking and not remember her comments after she'd sobered up. She further described Rita as liking men and used to go out to the Moose Lodge, quite alot on Saturday nights in Lucerne Valley and occasionally the Y Cafe and the Zodiac. I asked also if Rita was known to have any preference as to her men as far as age and Drake replied, no. I asked about race and Drake replied was, to her knowledge, Rita preferred white men and preferably those closest to her age, however she was not particular about age. Drake had nothing further of investigative value and the interview was concluded at that time.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION OFFICER:

After concluding the above interviews, Det. Knapp and myself were requested by Sgt. Baker and Det. Woods to make contact with a Betty Ball in Apple Valley for purposes of interview. Further information refer to supplemental interview by Det. Knapp. After completing the interview with Betty Ball, Det. Knapp and myself returned to the Victorville station to await the arrival of the Sheriff's Homicide units. While at the station I ran through CNI and CLETS the name of Fred Bedard who is mentioned as a former "lover" of the victim Rita Cobb. Through CNI, I received a response showing Fred Bedard as a male 42 years of age, DOB 8-10-42, 5'7", 180 lbs, gray hair and eyes are blue. Mr. Bedard has had contact with the Victorville Sheriff's office on two occasions, once as a suspect in 1970 of a battery and once in 1983 as a suspect in a petty theft. Bedard's last address shows as of 2-83, 16702 McKinney Way in Victorville California. Contact through CLETS shows no warrants or warrants outstanding for Mr. Bedard and it shows a manual CNI number of MO 1431025, refer to attached teletypes.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION: BACKHOFF, WILLIAM ROGER Tall Trees Trailer Park Lucerne Valley, Calif.


On 9-25-85 at approx. 0740 hrs., I was contacted by telephone by Mr. Backhoff. Mr. Backhoff stated he had received word that the Sheriff's dept., was looking for him in connection with Rita Cobb's death investigation. I told him I was not familiar with anyone looking for him however, I would talk with him at this point. Backhoff told me, he'd received word yesterday afternoon someone from the Sheriff's office was trying to contact him to talk to him about the death of Rita Cobb. He said he didn't know anything about her death, but said that he did know her and he talked to her on the day she got back from Canada, approx. 2 weeks ago, and related this incident.

REPORTING OFFICER IS G TITTLE T1183	DATE 9-26-85	REVIEWED BY	TYPIST fj	ROUTED BY	DATE 9/28
ACTION: <input type="checkbox"/> NO	COPIES TO: <input type="checkbox"/> Detective	<input type="checkbox"/> SD/PO <input type="checkbox"/> CII	<input type="checkbox"/> Other <input type="checkbox"/> Other	REMARKS	

16-2 E4 (28)

4 HOURS

P.O. BOX 1296
RANCHO CUCAMONGA, CA 91730-1296
(714) 987-5005 Business Office



ADVISE WE T.I.P. OF ARRESTS / CONVICTIONS / DISPOSITIONS

AGENCY SBSO-Central Dispatch CASE # MC104476
ADDRESS _____ PHONE () _____
COUNTY _____ STATE _____ ZIP _____

ADJUDICATING AGENCIES SBSO-
CALL RECEIVED 8/6/88 TIME 1435 PDT REFERRAL MEDIA KABC/TV SU 4:20
8/6/88 TIME 1500 PDT REFERRAL MEDIA 714 387 8313
AGENCY CALLED Deputy Carr TIME _____ PHONE () SBSO Central Dispatch
AGENCY _____

SUSPECT #1 NAME William Backoff AKA Unk
ADDRESS 9190 Kickasaw Trail (Trailer) PHONE () Unk
Lucerne Valley COUNTY San Bern. STATE CA ZIP Unk
M W RACE W AGE 52 DOB Unk HAIR Gry EYES Unk HGT 5'5 WGT 120
KS Small appr. USER Unk DRUG _____
YR Unk MAKE Ford MODEL P/U Truck COLOR Brn LIC # Unk
EQUIP/OTHER VEH Blk tool box on back/Unk
WORK/SCHOOL/HANGOUTS Unk/Unk/Unk

ARREST DATES Unk CHARGE _____ WHERE _____
OFFENSE: HOMICIDE QUANTITIES N/A
LOCATION LUCERNE VALLEY, CA DATE/TIME APPROX 3 yrs ago.
PROPERTY TAKEN N/A STASHED N/A

SPECIAL INFORMATION Suspect was bragging that he strangled, raped and mutilated a victim by name of Rita Cobb approx 3 yrs ago. Was laughing, and bragging about crime at a party over week-end. Said he had picked up the victim at a bar called Zodiac, and when victim indicated that she was turned off sexually to suspect, he bragged he strangled her until victim "turned black." Then he described other crimes against victim after victim was dead. NFD
RELATED Unk WEAPONS/DOGS Unk/Unk STASHED _____

SUSPECT #2 NAME _____ AKA _____
ADDRESS _____ PHONE () _____
COUNTY _____ STATE _____ ZIP _____
RACE _____ AGE _____ DOB _____ HAIR _____ EYES _____ HGT _____ WGT _____
KS _____ USER _____ DRUG _____


INVOLVED W/ SUSP #1 & CRIME _____
TESTED TIME/METHOD OF APPROACH Unk/Unk K1
CALL BACK YES CALLED BEFORE NO REFERRAL # _____ REWARD NO
INFORMATION HAND FROM INFORMANT XX HEARSAY _____

ABOVE INFORMATION MAY BE INCOMPLETE, BE ADVISED THAT ALL QUESTIONS HAVE BEEN ASKED. IT IS WE TIP'S POLICY TO FORWARD ALL AVAILABLE INFORMATION WITHOUT SCREENING. WE TIP, INC. OPERATOR #50

APPENDIX 40 (16-3) (28)

INTEROFFICE MEMO

1853



County of San Bernardino

DATE August 10, 1988 PHONE
FROM WILLIAM BAIRD, Director
Scientific Investigations Bureau
TO MICHAEL CARDWELL, Captain
Specialized Detective Division

SUBJECT REQUEST FOR PRIORITY RUSH ANALYSIS

In July and September of 1985 the Sheriff's Specialized Detective Division, Homicide Detail, investigated two murder cases which were situated in the Victorville area.

The two murder investigations, the first being dated July 4, 1985 under DR 1331036-07, victim's name was HELEN BROOKS, and the second murder investigation dated September 20, 1985 listed under DR 1331490-07, victim's name was RITA COBB, presented sufficient evidence to cause homicide investigators to believe that both cases were perpetrated by the same suspect.

Serological examination of semen stains swabbed from both victim's showed the suspect to have a blood group category of ABO type B non-secretor which significantly narrowed the field of possible suspects.

For the past three years there have been no significant leads resulting in the identification or arrest of the suspect, however, recent information received by homicide investigators indicates a possible suspect involvement of an individual by the name of WILLIAM BACKHOFF a local resident of the Lucerne Valley area.

As a result of this information an interview was conducted with this individual. As a result of the interview conducted at the Lucerne Valley Sheriff's Office, three cigarette butts were obtained at the conclusion of the investigation. These are being submitted to the Sheriff's Crime Lab for possible comparison and serological examination.

Due to the seriousness of the crime and the multiple victim's involved and the extended length of time the suspect has remained outstanding. It is our request that a rush analysis be performed on the evidence being submitted to determine whether or not the individual WILLIAM BACKHOFF should be considered as a primary suspect lead.

Respectfully submitted.

MC/mb

28
K2

16-4

LR # 44452
LR # 44659

SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY
SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT
CRIMINALISTICS LABORATORY

REQUEST FOR PHYSICAL EVIDENCE EXAMINATION

DIRECTIONS: Please TYPE or PRINT CLEARLY ALL information on both sides of this form PRIOR to submitting evidence to the Laboratory. ALL physical evidence submitted to the Laboratory for examination must be accompanied by a completed form with the EXCEPTION of (1) narcotics evidence, (2) Blood/Urine Alcohol Kits, and (3) Marijuana Citation Kits.

Please telephone the Laboratory if there is a change in the status of the case or there is additional information pertaining to the case that should be made known to the Laboratory.

Requesting Agency SBSD / HOMICIDE Agency Case No. 1331036-07

Date of Request 8-10-88 Date of Offense 7-4-85 Offense 1331490-07
9-20-85 PC 187

Date/Time of Evidence Collection 8-10-88 1320 Collected By BRUCE MOONAN M1860

Victim(s) (Last Name First)	No. 1 <u>BROOKS, HELEN</u>	Suspect(s): (Last Name First)	No. 1 <u>BACKHOFF, WILLIAM</u>
	No. 2 <u>COBB, RITA</u>		No. 2 _____
	No. 3 _____		No. 3 _____
	No. 4 _____		No. 4 _____

Brief Summary of Case Circumstances (Indicate where evidence was found and/or collected):

TWO (2) FEMALE VICTIMS WHO LIVED IN THE APPLE VALLEY
AND LUCERNE VALLEY AREAS WERE FOUND MURDERED
IN THEIR RESIDENCES TWO (2) MONTHS APART. BOTH
W/ WERE KILLED BY LIGATURE STRANGULATION, SEMEN SAMPLES
FROM BOTH W/ SHOWS S/ POSSIBLY AN ABO TYPE B NON SECRETOR.

Description of Evidence:

Item Designation
(A, B, C, etc.)

Brief Description (Package and Contents)

H-1, 2, 3 cigarette butts

KS

16-5

REGIONAL FORENSIC SCIENCE LABORATORY
 County of San Bernardino — Sheriff's Department

OVERVIEW

ORDER OF VERBAL REPORTS BY LABORATORY:

1. Date _____ Time _____
 To _____
 How _____
 Initialed _____

2. Date _____ Time _____
 To _____
 How _____
 Initialed _____

3. Date _____ Time _____
 To _____
 How _____
 Initialed _____

REGIONAL FORENSIC SCIENCE LABORATORY
 County of San Bernardino — Sheriff's Department
 200 S. Lena Rd.
 San Bernardino, CA 92415
 Phone: (714) 387-2200

EVIDENCE

(H) 3 slide boxes
 cig butts

DATE	TIME	HRS	DR. NO.
8/10/88	11:40		1331036-07
Cobb, Rita		unk.	
THE FOLLOWING WAS RECEIVED			
in lab			
RECEIVED BY: Det. B. McPhail			
THIS DATE			
LAB: BCS			
DEPT: 13 MPD M1860			
7/659	01 Horn		

16-6
 FILE COPY

KAW
 ONT OF A-500

44659
 11-6-97
 DV

OPT B68404 → styler removed from tray in order to remove inner contents
 sealed 9x12 env - 5 - 6x9 env → all five have loosened gun scales & typed sealed by DV on 11-6-97

"44659 A-1, 2, 3" c³ boxes → [NE]

"44659" c² round metal containers → [NE] → (A-23, A-24)

"44659 A-21 cigarette butts from ashtray in living room
 DC 9-23-85 1908" → [NE]


"44659 A-20 cigarette butts from ashtray on deck in dining area
 DC 9-23-85 1907" → [NE]

"44659 A-17 A-18"


└ c⁹ sealed 3x5 env - A-18a → A-18g
 [A-17 & control] → [NE]

NOTE env A-18f → "sample consumed" → [NE]

A-18a piece of tan felt ~ 2x5cm B+W photo w/ scale



A-18b piece of tan felt ~ 6x2.5cm B+W photo w/ scale



16-7

16-99 B 85704

Scale: 9x12" case "44659 A17, A18, A21, A20, A22, A24, H1-43
C. 6x9" case

"44659 A-17 A-18" [NE]

"44659 A-20 cigarette butts from ashtray on desk in dining area DCS 9-23-85 1907" [NE]

"44659 A-21 cigarette butts from ashtray in dining room DCS 9-23-85 1908" [NE]

"44659 H-1, 2, 3" [NE]

"44659" C 2 metal tins

Case V-Rod reagent

+	blue green
-	no color

"44659 A-23 blowout on seat chair frame to hall DCS 1914 9-23-85" C glassine bundle C chips of white

paint (blue backing) of some small amount B+W photo of scale → etal ⊕

2 chips washed w/ 5% NH4OH to remove stain (try to avoid possible inhibition) → [DNA]

"44659 A-24 blowout on seat chair frame bedroom DCS 9-23-85 1918" C glassine bundle C chips of white paint and red film plates → etal ⊕

B+W photo of scale loose platinum → [DNA]

12-10-85
[Signature]

16-8

K6

DATE STARTED 8-11-88

CRIMINALIST *PCA*

R ~~44629~~ 44629

ITEM DESCRIPTION	APS	APQ	AMY	P30	SMEAR	AB-IN	Gp 1	PGM's	OTHER	COMMENTS
A-20: sealed man env 2 3 cigarette butts							ESD PGM PepA			
a: Virginia slim in lipstick - smuffed			1/10			A, H	ESD PGM PepA			
b: Marlboro - smuffed			6-11-88 ~ 1/200			8-11-88 A	ESD PGM PepA			
c: Benson & Hedges (appears unsmuffed)			8-11-88 ~ 1/100			8-11-88 H?	ESD PGM PepA			
A-21: sealed man env 2 1 Benson & Hedges cig butt - smuffed			8-11-88 1/100 & 1/100			8-11-88 H	ESD PGM PepA			

16-9

Handwritten mark

LR

44659

CRIMINALIST

PC

DATE STARTED

8-10-88

ITEM DESCRIPTION	AFs	AFq	AMY	P30	SMEAR	AB-IN	Gp I	PGM's	OTHER	CONTENTS
H-1: slide box labeled #2 from BACHHOFF c 1 B&H cig butt							ESD PGM PepA			
H-2: as above, #1, c 1 B&H cig butt			> 1/10			A, H	ESD PGM PepA			
H-3: as above, #3, c 1 B&H cig butt			> 1/10			8-11-88 A, H	ESD PGM PepA			
			8-11-88			5-11-88	ESD PGM PepA			
							ESD PGM PepA			

1.6-10

K 8

CIG BUNTS AB-EL

Red
8-11-88

H20a

	A/A	B/B	A/O	B/AC
	+12	14	+2+3	+12
A20a cont	0	0	0	0
H20b	+4	0	0	+3

	A/A	B/B	A/O	B/AB
	0	0	+4	0
A-21 cont	0	0	0	0
H-2	+2+3	+4	+3	

A20b cont

	0	0	0	0
A-20c	+12	0	+3	0
A-20c cont	0	0	0	0

	+2+3	0	0	0
H-2 cont				
H-3	+2	+3	+3	+1
H-3 cont	0+1	0	0	0

A

	+4	0	+1	+3
B	0	+3	+2	+4
0	0	0	+4	0

	+4	0	0	+4
A				
B	0	+4	+3+4	+4
0	0	0	+4	0

(16-11) KA

	1/2	1/4	1/8	1/16	1/32	ε	1/2	1/4	1/8	1/16	1/32
M-3	0	0	0	0	0		0	+2	+4		
A	0	0	0	0	0		0	+1	+4		
	+4						+4				
	0	0	0	0	0						
B	0	0	0	0	0		+4				

	Asec	Tjsec	Ogsec	Arsec	bl	bl						
	0											1/400
	0	+4	+4	+4	+4	+4						1/100
	+4	0	+4	+4	+4	+4						1/100
	0	0	0		+3	+3						1/100
	0	0	0	+4	+3	+3						1/100

(16-12) K10

10-11

2-11
5-11

	1/2	1/4	1/8	1/16	1/32	cont.	1/4	1/8	1/16	1/32
A-20a	0	0	0	0	0		+3	+3	+4	
B	0	0	0	0	0		+2	+3	+4	
C	+4						+4			
	0	0	0	0	0		+4			
D	0	0	0	0	0		+2	+4		

8-11

A-20b	0	0	0	0	0		+3			
	0	0	0	0	0	1	+4			
	+4						+4			
	+4						+4			

10-11
B-11

A-20c	+4						+4			
	+4						+4			
	+2	0	0	+3	+4		+4			
	+1	0	0	+1	+3		+4			

A-21	+4						+4			
	+4						+4			
	0	0	0	+1	+2		+4			
	0	0	0	+1	+2		+4			

A-2	0	0	0	0	0		0+1	0	+2	+4
	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	+1	+4
	+4						+4			
	0	0	0	0	0		+4			

16-13

A-11
K-11

SHIFF'S DEPARTMENT

County of San Bernardino
California
CA 03600

CASE NO. DR 1331036-07
REPORT AREA H 100-85

CODE SECTION PC 187	CRIME MURDER	CLASSIFICATION
VICTIM'S NAME - LAST NAME COBB,	FIRST NAME RITA	MIDDLE NAME (FIRM NAME IF BUSINESS) MARBEL
ADDRESS	<input type="checkbox"/> RESIDENCE <input type="checkbox"/> BUSINESS	PHONE ()

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION:

On Tuesday, August 9, 1988, approximately 1500 hrs., I interviewed William Rodger BACKHOFF at his trailer located in the back of 9190 Chickasaw, Lucerne Valley, California.

Accompanying me in the interview was Det. Bruce MC PHAIL, of the San Bernardino Sheriff's Department, Homicide Detail.

As I walked up to the trailer, Mr. BACKHOFF was sitting in the doorway with the door open, drinking a bottle of beer. I introduced myself to him and he introduced himself to me as William BACKHOFF.

Mr. BACKHOFF questioned the reason we were there, and I told him Det. MC PHAIL and I were investigating the Rita COBB homicide that occurred several years ago.

I asked Mr. BACKHOFF if we could step inside his trailer to talk and he complied. As I sat down in the kitchen area, I asked Mr. BACKHOFF to relax and be seated also, and he became very angry and yelled at me, "Why the fuck should I have to sit down in my own trailer? I don't have to sit down."

He was drinking a bottle of beer when I was talking to him when I informed Mr. BACKHOFF that we were investigating the Rita COBB homicide and asked him to sit down. He started pacing back and forth in the trailer, and then took a drink out of a full bottle of Jose Cuervo liquor. Mr. BACKHOFF seemed angry and then he started to calm down. Then at one point, Mr. BACKHOFF became very happy and started laughing.

I asked Mr. BACKHOFF if he knew Rita COBB, and he told me, "Yeah, that's that girl that got killed. Man, that really beats the fuck out of me. She was killed in her house."

I asked Mr. BACKHOFF how he knew of Rita COBB, and he said he had a girlfriend by the name of Geraldine FERDELL. He said that Geraldine FERDELL knew Rita COBB, and that's how he became acquainted with Rita was through Geraldine. He said that his girlfriend died of cancer a couple years ago.

REPORTING OFFICERS ACIOS, Det. P-0108	DATE 8/11/88	REVIEWED BY [Signature]	TYPED BY ck K-1179	ROUTED BY [Signature]	DATE 10-14
ACTION: <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO	COPIES TO: <input type="checkbox"/> Detective <input type="checkbox"/> Dist. Atty.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SD/PO <input type="checkbox"/> CII <input type="checkbox"/> Patrol	<input type="checkbox"/> Other <input type="checkbox"/> Other	REMARKS [Signature] K12	

H# 6-99

RIVERSIDE/SAN BERNARDINO
CAL-DNA LABORATORY

AGENCY FILE NO.

LABORATORY NO.

DR 9900714-17
cf DR 1331490-07
cf DR 1331036-07

LR 71635 ✓
cf LR 44452
cf LR 44659

TO: MICHAEL INGRAM, CAPTAIN
Specialized Investigations Division
655 East Third Street
San Bernardino, CA 92415

This report is made with the understanding that the information will be used for official purposes only.

LARRY SMITH and GARY PENROD, Sheriffs

By:

Glenn Lightfoot 6/1/99

Review Date:

TYPE OF INVESTIGATIONS:

Death Investigation (38)

VICTIMS:

RANDOLF, Gregory

SUSPECTS:

CASE AGENT:

Boldt B1611

INVESTIGATING AGENCY:

SBSD - Homicide Detail

TYPE OF REPORT:

DNA-PCR (41)

DATE OF REPORT:

June 1, 1999

(28)

RT4#

16-15

SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT
 COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO
 CALIFORNIA
 CA 03600

CASE NO
 1331036-07
 REPORT AREA

Page 1

SECTION PC 187	CRIME Murder	CLASSIFICATION
VICTIM'S NAME - LAST NAME Cobb,	FIRST NAME Rita	MIDDLE NAME (FIRM NAME IF BUSINESS)
ADDRESS	RESIDENCE	PHONE

ASSIGNMENT:

On 05/02/05, Lt. Mike Lenihan advised me he had received a telephone call from Mike Safarik from the FBI's Criminal Profiling Unit (phone 703 632-4342) Safarik was inquiring about the murder of Rita Cobb and Helen Brooks. Both women were murdered in 1985, in the high desert (refer DR# 1331036-07, H# 100-85-Cobb and DR# 1331490-07, H# 68-85 Brooks). Safarik had read the VICAP profile on the two cases that was done in 1987 and discovered that a person named William Backoff had been identified as a potential suspect.

He further discovered there was semen located at both crime scenes and wanted to know whether or not a match had ever been made on the suspect. I read some of the lab reports (LR# 44659 -Cobb and LR# 44452 - Brooks) and a genetic DNA profile was obtained on both of these cases from semen left by the suspect. I was unable to locate a document indicating wheatear or not there were two different suspects or the same DNA profile was found at both murder scenes.

I contacted Don Jones at the Sheriff's Crime Lab. Jones was the DNA analyst who did the work on both of these cases and was familiar with them. Jones told me the genetic profiles on the murder cases were from two separate suspects, therefore eliminating the possibility that the same suspect killed both women.

I asked Jones about William Backoff and his DNA profile. There was a DNA profile that was done on Backoff from some cigarette butts brought to the crime lab by Sergeant Brian English. It was at that time I discovered that William Backoff was a fictitious name for Gregory Randolph. Randolph was a Deputy Coroner for the San Bernardino County Coroner's office and raised suspicion by English about his possible involvement in this murder because Randolph was rumored to have several photographs of elderly murdered homicide victims at his residence.

REPORTING OFFICER Sgt. T. Bradford B-1959	DATE 05/06/05	REVIEWED BY <i>JB</i>	TYPED BY dk	INITIATED BY	DATE
OTHER ACTION: <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO	COPIES TO: <input type="checkbox"/> Detective <input type="checkbox"/> Dist. Atty.	<input type="checkbox"/> Other <input type="checkbox"/> SD/PD <input type="checkbox"/> Other	REMARKS <i>(16-16)</i> <i>(28)</i> <i>E2</i> <i>HP</i>		

SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT
 COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO
 CALIFORNIA
 CA 03600

CASE NO.

1331036-07

REPORT AREA

Page 2

SECTION PC 187	CRIME Murder	CLASSIFICATION
VICTIM'S NAME - LAST NAME Cobb,	FIRST NAME Rita	MIDDLE NAME (FIRM NAME IF BUSINESS)
ADDRESS	RESIDENCE	PHONE

Further, Randolph at some crime scenes displayed what could best be termed as "bazaar behavior" by his actions and verbalizations to English. English observed Randolph throw away a cigarette butt at one time and English took the cigarette butt to a lab so that a profile could be taken from it and compared on these murder cases. The reason the name William Backoff was used was because Randolph was an employee of the Coroner's office and English did not want anybody to know, including the Sheriff's Department's lab that they suspected Randolph maybe a suspect in this case.

Randolph died in 1999, and a reference blood sample was taken from him at his autopsy and a genetic profile was again taken from Randolph's blood sample and compared to both Cobb and Brooks, in a lab report dated June 1, 1999 (LR# 71635). This lab report again confirms that Randolph was not the semen donor on either of these cases.

REPORTING OFFICER Sgt. T. Bradford B-1959	DATE 05/06/05	REVIEWED BY	TYPED BY dk	ROUTED BY	DATE
ACTION: <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO	COPIES TO: <input type="checkbox"/> Detective <input type="checkbox"/> Dist. Atty. <input type="checkbox"/> Other <input type="checkbox"/> CII <input type="checkbox"/> Patrol	<input type="checkbox"/> SD/PD <input type="checkbox"/> Other	REMARKS: (16-17) (28)		

E3 H6

DR 9900714-17
cf DR 1331490-07
cf DR 1331036-07

June 1, 1999

LR 71635
cf LR 44452
cf LR 44659

Table II: DQA1, LDLR, GYPA, HBGG, D7S8, GC and D1S80 alleles detected in the sperm fractions of the evidential samples examined for the Rita Cobb case.

Item and Description	DQA1	LDLR	GYPA	HBGG	D7S8	GC	D1S80
LR 44659, A-11: vaginal swabs	4.1	AB	A	BC	AB	AC	18,24
LR 44659, A-18: felt pad	4.1	AB	A	BC	AB	AC	18,24


Table III: DQA1, LDLR, GYPA, HBGG, D7S8, GC and D1S80 types for the reference blood sample from Gregory Randolph

Item and Description	DQA1	LDLR	GYPA	HBGG	D7S8	GC	D1S80
R4535 Gregory Randolph	4.1	A	A	A	AB	C	28,31

CONCLUSIONS

Gregory Randolph is eliminated as the source of the sperm fraction, PCR-DNA profiles detected in the semen stains on the bedspread (LR 44452; Item A-13d and A-13e) in the Helen Brooks case. He is also eliminated as the source of the sperm fraction, PCR-DNA profiles in the semen on the vaginal swabs (LR 44659; Item A-11) from Rita Cobb and in the semen stain on the felt pad (LR 44659; Item A-18) in the Rita Cobb case.

A search of our local DNA database (San Bernardino and Riverside counties) failed to match Randolph's PCR-DNA profile to any of our cases.


Donald T. Jones
Criminalist II

DTJ/ds

(16-18)

3166
47
36
(16)

LR # 44452
LR # 44659

SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY
SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT
CRIMINALISTICS LABORATORY

REQUEST FOR PHYSICAL EVIDENCE EXAMINATION

DIRECTIONS: Please TYPE or PRINT CLEARLY ALL information on both sides of this form PRIOR to submitting evidence to the Laboratory. ALL physical evidence submitted to the Laboratory for examination must be accompanied by a completed form with the EXCEPTION of (1) narcotics evidence, (2) Blood/Urine Alcohol Kits, and (3) Marijuana Citation Kits.

Please telephone the Laboratory if there is a change in the status of the case or there is additional information pertaining to the case that should be made known to the Laboratory.

Requesting Agency SBSD / HOMICIDE Agency Case No. 1331036-07

Date of Request 8-10-88 Date of Offense 7-4-85 Offense 1331490-07
9-20-85 PC 187

Date/Time of Evidence Collection 8-10-88 1320 Collected By BRUCE McPHAIL MIBGS

Victim(s) (Last Name First)	No. 1 <u>BROOKS, HELEN</u>	Suspect(s): (Last Name First)	No. 1 <u>BACKHOFF, WILLIAM</u>
	No. 2 <u>COBB, RITA</u>		No. 2 _____
	No. 3 _____		No. 3 _____
	No. 4 _____		No. 4 _____

Brief Summary of Case Circumstances (Indicate where evidence was found and/or collected):

TWO (2) FEMALE VICTIMS WHO LIVED IN THE APPLE VALLEY
AND LUCERNE VALLEY AREAS WERE FOUND MURDERED
IN THEIR RESIDENCES TWO (2) MONTHS APART. BOTH
W/ WERE KILLED BY LIGATURE STRANGULATION, SEMEN SAMPLES
FROM BOTH W/ SHOWS S/ POSSIBLY AN ABO TYPE B NON SECRETOR.

Description of Evidence:

Item Designation
(A, B, C, etc.)

Brief Description (Package and Contents)

H-1, 2, 3 cigarette butts

(28)

KS

(16-20)

REGIONAL FORENSIC SCIENCE LABORATORY
 County of San Bernardino — Sheriff's Department

OVERVIEW

ABC

ORDER OF VERBAL REPORTS BY LABORATORY:

1. Date _____ Time _____
 To _____
 How _____
 Initialed _____

2. Date _____ Time _____
 To _____
 How _____
 Initialed _____

3. Date _____ Time _____
 To _____
 How _____
 Initialed _____

REGIONAL FORENSIC SCIENCE LABORATORY
 County of San Bernardino — Sheriff's Department
 200 S. Lens Rd.
 San Bernardino, CA 92415
 Phone: (714) 387-2200

DATE	TIME	HRS	DR. NO.
8/10/88	11:40		1331036-07
Cobb, Rita		unK.	
THE FOLLOWING WAS RECEIVED: in lab			
FROM Det. B. McPhail			
RECEIVED BY: lab PCS		THIS DATE	
INITIALIZED FROM: B. McPhail M1860			
74657	01 Horn		

EVIDENCE

(H) 3 slide boxes
 cig butts

(Signature)

(Signature)

16-21

SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT
 City of San Bernardino
 California
 CA 03600

1331036-07
 H100-85

REPORT AREA

CRIME MURDER	CLASSIFICATION
NAME - LAST NAME RITA	FIRST NAME MARCEL
MIDDLE NAME (FIRM NAME IF BUSINESS)	
<input type="checkbox"/> RESIDENCE	<input type="checkbox"/> BUSINESS
PHONE ()	

SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT:

On Wednesday, August 10, 1988, at 1210 hours, myself Detective Jim Palacios and Detective Bruce Mc Phail of the San Bernardino County Sheriff's Department Homicide Division conducted a re-interview with William Rodger Backhoff at the San Bernardino Sheriff's Lucerne Substation.

QUESTION: What is your full name?
 ANSWER: William Rodger Backhoff.

QUESTION: Do you have any alias names?
 ANSWER: No.

QUESTION: Do you go by any other names such as Billy or Bill?
 ANSWER: No.

QUESTION: How old are you?
 ANSWER: Fifty-two.

QUESTION: What's your date of birth?
 ANSWER: October 22, 1935.

QUESTION: Where were you born?
 ANSWER: Illinois.

QUESTION: What's your current address?
 ANSWER: 9190 Chickasaw, Lucerne Valley, but my post office box number is 1371, Lucerne Valley, California. The zip code is 92356.

OFFICERS SOS, DET, P0108	DATE 8/17/88	REVIEWED BY	TYPED BY KS S1751	ROUTED BY	DATE
COPIES TO:	<input type="checkbox"/> SD/PD	<input type="checkbox"/> Other	REMARKS 16 1622 Q2 16		
<input type="checkbox"/> Detective	<input type="checkbox"/> CII	<input type="checkbox"/> Other			
<input type="checkbox"/> Dist. Atty.	<input type="checkbox"/> Patrol				

SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT

City of San Bernardino
California

CA 03600

1331036-07
H100-85

REPORT AREA

SECTION 87	CRIME MURDER	CLASSIFICATION	
SUSPECT NAME - LAST NAME		FIRST NAME RITA	MIDDLE NAME (FIRM NAME IF BUSINESS) MARBEL
RESIDENCE <input type="checkbox"/>		BUSINESS <input type="checkbox"/>	PHONE ()

PAGE 2

QUESTION: What's your telephone number?
ANSWER: I don't have a phone.

QUESTION: What type of education do you have?
ANSWER: I was a high school graduate back in 1954 at Chicago Vocational School or CVS.

QUESTION: What type of studies did you have? Were they general studies or what?
ANSWER: Mechanical.

QUESTION: Did you have a best class or favorite class?
ANSWER: No.

QUESTION: Mr. Backhoff, do you have any hobbies or sports?
ANSWER: No.

QUESTION: Were you married at one time?
ANSWER: Yes. I was married for 21 years, 68 days.

QUESTION: How long have you been divorced?
ANSWER: 10 years.

QUESTION: Where did this divorce take place?
ANSWER: In Chicago, Illinois.

QUESTION: What is the name of your ex-wife?
ANSWER: Susan Carol Sawyer.

OFFICERS SLOAN, DET, P0108	DATE 8/17/88	REVIEWED BY	TYPED BY NS S1751	ROUTED BY	DATE
COPIES TO: <input type="checkbox"/> NO	<input type="checkbox"/> SD/PD <input type="checkbox"/> Detective <input type="checkbox"/> Dist. Atty.	<input type="checkbox"/> Other <input type="checkbox"/> Other <input type="checkbox"/> Patrol	REMARKS <i>[Handwritten initials]</i>		

S/ F'S DEPARTMENT
 City of San Bernardino
 California
 CA 03600

1331036-07
 H100-85

REPORT AREA

SECTION B7-	CRIME MURDER	CLASSIFICATION		
SUSPECT NAME - LAST NAME RITA		FIRST NAME MAREL	MIDDLE NAME (FIRM NAME IF BUSINESS)	
RESIDENCE <input type="checkbox"/>		BUSINESS <input type="checkbox"/>		PHONE ()

PAGE 3

QUESTION: How old is Susan?
 ANSWER: Well, I don't know her date of birth but I think she's four years younger than me.
 QUESTION: Where does Susan live now?
 ANSWER: In Jolliet, Illinois.
 QUESTION: Who initiated the divorce action?
 ANSWER: She wanted the divorce from me and she started everything. She said that it was because of mental cruelty.
 QUESTION: Did you fight the divorce?
 ANSWER: No, I didn't contest it. As a matter of fact, I didn't even show up for the court dates.
 QUESTION: Do you have any children?
 ANSWER: Yes.
 QUESTION: What are their names and ages?
 ANSWER: The oldest one is William Rodger Backhoff Jr, and I don't know when he was born, I think he's thirty years old. The second one is John Robert Backhoff and I think he's twenty eight years old, and then the third one is Peggy Sue Backhoff, I can't remember how old she is.
 My son John lives down the hill in San Bernardino but we don't talk very much. William Jr. and Peggy live back with their mother.
 QUESTION: Do you still keep in contact with your children?

OFFICERS SIOB, DET, P0108	DATE 8/17/88	REVIEWED BY	TYPED BY KS S1751	ROUTED BY	DATE
COPIES TO:	<input type="checkbox"/> SD/PD	<input type="checkbox"/> Other	REMARKS 16		
<input type="checkbox"/> Detective	<input type="checkbox"/> CII	<input type="checkbox"/> Other			
<input type="checkbox"/> Dist. Atty.	<input type="checkbox"/> Patrol				

ev. 1/83

223

F 'S DEPARTMENT
 C ty of San Bernardino
 California
 CA 03600

1331036-07
 H100-85

REPORT AREA

87	CRIME MURDER	CLASSIFICATION
S NAME -- LAST NAME	FIRST NAME RITA	MIDDLE NAME (FIRM NAME IF BUSINESS) MARBEL
RESIDENCE <input type="checkbox"/>	BUSINESS <input type="checkbox"/>	PHONE ()

PAGE 4

ANSWER: Well, yes and no. I haven't seen them in a long time.

QUESTION: Are you employed presently?

ANSWER: No.

QUESTION: What kind of work did you do?

ANSWER: I was a sheet metal worker for 32 years.

QUESTION: What was the last company that you worked with?

ANSWER: I worked Scott Company Sheet Metal in San Francisco.

QUESTION: How long ago was this?

ANSWER: About two years ago.

QUESTION: Do you have any military background?

ANSWER: No. Because of my age I was in between. I didn't go to Korea, you know what I mean.

QUESTION: Have you ever been arrested before?

ANSWER: Yes.

QUESTION: When was this?

ANSWER: I was arrested for drunk driving out here (Lucerne Valley) about two or three years back. It was because of Rita Cobb.

QUESTION: What do you mean by that?

OFFICERS SOS, DET, P0108	DATE 8/17/88	REVIEWED BY	TYPED BY RS S1751	ROUTED BY	DATE
COPIES TO:	<input type="checkbox"/> SD/PD	<input type="checkbox"/> Other	REMARKS 18 02		
<input type="checkbox"/> Detective	<input type="checkbox"/> CII	<input type="checkbox"/> Other			
<input type="checkbox"/> Dist. Atty.	<input type="checkbox"/> Patrol				

v. 1/83

SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT

County of San Bernardino
California
CA 03600

1331036-07
H100-85

REPORT AREA

SECTION 17	CRIME MURDER	CLASSIFICATION		
NAME - LAST NAME		FIRST NAME	MIDDLE NAME	(FIRM NAME IF BUSINESS)
		RITA	MARBEL	
<input type="checkbox"/> RESIDENCE		<input type="checkbox"/> BUSINESS		PHONE ()

AGE 5

ANSWER: Because it's fucked up the way she died. I didn't do nothing wrong. Matter of fact, you police officers aren't doing your job. I hate to say it but it's all fucked up.

QUESTION: Aside from this arrest, have you ever been arrested before?

ANSWER: Well yeah, but I don't think it really counts.

QUESTION: What do you mean by that?

ANSWER: Well, it was when I was back east.

QUESTION: What was the arrest for?

ANSWER: It was for possession of stolen property. I had these adding machines. This was when I was about 17 years old.

QUESTION: How would you describe your current health status?

ANSWER: I'm an alcoholic. I've been one since I was 16 years old.

QUESTION: What is your current financial status?

ANSWER: Well, thats interesting because people wonder what I do. I don't accept food stamps and I don't accept welfare, I just make it on my own.

QUESTION: So when was the last time that you actually worked for a company?

ANSWER: That's when I worked for Scott Company Sheet Metal a couple years ago.

QUESTION: How about narcotics. Do you use drugs?

OFFICERS LOS, DET, P0108	DATE 8/17/88	REVIEWED BY	TYPED BY RS S1751	ROUTED BY	DATE
COPIES TO:	<input type="checkbox"/> SD/PD	<input type="checkbox"/> Other	REMARKS 19 02		
<input type="checkbox"/> Detective	<input type="checkbox"/> CII	<input type="checkbox"/> Other			
<input type="checkbox"/> Dist. Atty.	<input type="checkbox"/> Patrol				

v. 1/83

16

S' F'S DEPARTMENT
 Co / of San Bernardino
 California
 CA 03800

1331036-07
 H100-85
 REPORT AREA

STATION 7	CRIME MURDER	CLASSIFICATION		
NAME - LAST NAME	FIRST NAME RITA	MIDDLE NAME MARBEL	(FIRM NAME IF BUSINESS)	
	<input type="checkbox"/> RESIDENCE	<input type="checkbox"/> BUSINESS	PHONE ()	

AGE 7

ANSWER: I moved to Morris, Illinois.

QUESTION: Then where did you move to?

ANSWER: From Morris I moved to Sun City, California, then I moved to El Cajon, California, then to the City of Downey, California and eventually here in Lucerne Valley, California.

When I moved to Lucerne, thats when I worked at the cement factory.

QUESTION: So how long have you actually lived here in California?

ANSWER: Well, I been living in California about 6 to 7 years..

You know, you detectives better find the mother fucker that did it. (The murder of Rita Cobb).

QUESTION: Well you said that you were going to cooperate and help us didn't you?

ANSWER: Well, I'm not gonna be a stool pigeon if that's what your saying.

QUESTION: I didn't say anything about being a stool pigeon but your cooperation would be appreciated.

ANSWER: Well, I didn't do anything wrong.

QUESTION: Nobody said you did anything wrong.

ANSWER: Well, I wanna find out who the mother fucker is that did it.

OFFICERS IOS, DET, P0108	DATE 8/17/88	REVIEWED BY	TYPED BY NS S1751	ROUTED BY	DATE	
TI <input type="checkbox"/> NO	COPIES TO: <input type="checkbox"/> SD/PD <input type="checkbox"/> Detective <input type="checkbox"/> Dist. Atty.	<input type="checkbox"/> Other <input type="checkbox"/> CII <input type="checkbox"/> Patrol	REMARKS 20 QZ			

Sheriff's DEPARTMENT
 County of San Bernardino
 California
 CA 03600

1331036-07
 H100-85
 REPORT AREA

OFFENSE	CRIME MURDER	CLASSIFICATION
NAME - LAST NAME	FIRST NAME RITA	MIDDLE NAME (FIRM NAME IF BUSINESS) MARBEL
<input type="checkbox"/> RESIDENCE	<input type="checkbox"/> BUSINESS	PHONE ()

AGE 8

QUESTION: William, yesterday you told me that there were three guys that you thought may have been involved in the murder of Rita Cobb. Can you tell me more about that?

ANSWER: Yeah. As a matter of fact, I think it's three to five guys that maybe involved or who I think may have done it.

QUESTION: Lets start off with the first person who you think did it.

ANSWER: O.K. I think that two of them are Geraldine Ferdell. Geraldine Ferdell was my girlfriend and she had two son's. Geraldine was real good friends of Rita Cobb and that's how I met Rita was through Geraldine. Like I said, I don't know the name of her sons but they were both a couple of dildos and I think they may have done it.



QUESTION: O.K. So you think they may have done it. Why is that now?

ANSWER: Geraldine Ferdell had a room divider or a counter, no it was room divider, that belonged to her. Well, Geraldine gave it to Rita Cobb and her sons got pissed off about it. The sons wanted Rita Cobb to give it back to them. Rita Cobb refused and didn't want to give it back. This wasn't really a high dollar thing, ya know, it was just this room divider.

QUESTION: So, you think because of the room divider, and the two sons did not get it back from Rita Cobb that they may be responsible for the murder of Rita Cobb?

ANSWER: Yeah.

QUESTION: O.K. William. Who is the next person that you think may be suspect in the murder?

OFFICERS SIO, DET, P0108	DATE 8/17/88	REVIEWED BY	TYPED BY NS S1751	ROUTED BY	DATE
COPIES TO: <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/> SD/PD <input type="checkbox"/> Other <input type="checkbox"/> Detective <input type="checkbox"/> CII <input type="checkbox"/> Other <input type="checkbox"/> Dist. Atty. <input type="checkbox"/> Patrol	REMARKS <div style="text-align: right;">   </div>				

SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT

County of San Bernardino
California

CA 03600

1331036-07

H100-85

REPORT AREA

SECTION	CRIME MURDER	CLASSIFICATION
NAME - LAST NAME	FIRST NAME RITA	MIDDLE NAME (FIRM NAME IF BUSINESS) MARBYL
<input type="checkbox"/> RESIDENCE	<input type="checkbox"/> BUSINESS	PHONE ()

PAGE 9

ANSWER: A guy by the name of Art Bishop. He's a local dude and he may have been involved.

QUESTION: That's interesting. Why do you think he may have been involved?

ANSWER: Why he's involved? I don't know.

(Between that question and his answer there was a long pause and he eventually stated):

Well because he's a phony mother fucker.

QUESTION: Is Art Bishop a white male?

ANSWER: Yes.

QUESTION: About how old is he?

ANSWER: He's about 60 years old.

QUESTION: How tall is Art Bishop?

ANSWER: Ah, he's a short dude like me. He's about 5'5 inches.

QUESTION: O.K. Who is the next person that you think may be responsible or involved?

ANSWER: Well, theres this Mexican dude, I don't know his name, but he lives here in Lucerne Valley. He's an ex-iron worker.

QUESTION: How old is this Mexican guy?

ANSWER: He's about 68 years old.

QUESTION: Where does he work?

OFFICERS SOS, DET, P0108	DATE 8/17/88	REVIEWED BY	TYPED BY RS S1751	ROUTED BY	DATE
COPIES TO: <input type="checkbox"/> NO	<input type="checkbox"/> SD/PD <input type="checkbox"/> Detective <input type="checkbox"/> Dist. Atty.	<input type="checkbox"/> Other <input type="checkbox"/> Other	REMARKS 		

POLICE DEPARTMENT

County of San Bernardino
California

CA 03600

1331036-07
H100-85

REPORT AREA

SECTION 87	CRIME MURDER	CLASSIFICATION		
SUSPECT NAME - LAST NAME		FIRST NAME	MIDDLE NAME	(FIRM NAME IF BUSINESS)
		RITA	MARCEL	
RESIDENCE <input type="checkbox"/>		BUSINESS <input type="checkbox"/>		PHONE ()

AGE 10

ANSWER: He works at Lucerne Valley Equipment Rental. This guy could be involved. But you know what? I think you guys are fucking up. I can't understand why this mother fucker hasn't been found yet.

QUESTION: O.K. Why do you feel that this Mexican guy is involved?

ANSWER: Well, Rita wanted to put him in a bad place, ya know, well, I really can't say why.

QUESTION: I'm confused. I don't understand what you mean.

ANSWER: Well, he came over and monkey played with Rita, if you know what I mean.

QUESTION: O.K. Who else do you feel may be involved?

ANSWER: There's a guy by the name of Fred. I don't know his last name, but he was living with Rita Cobb.

QUESTION: Because he was living with her?

ANSWER: Well I think that's her boyfriend. That's why he could have done it. That's why I think he could have done it.

Do you know that there's this girl writing a book about Rita Cobb's death?

QUESTION: No I didn't know about that. What's that all about?

ANSWER: Well, it's just something that I heard since I've been out here. Some girl about 32 or 34 years old.

QUESTION: Do you know the name of this girl?

ANSWER: No this is just a bunch of hearsay shit that I've heard around.

OFFICERS SIOG, DET, P0108	DATE 8/17/88	REVIEWED BY	TYPED BY RS S1751	ROUTED BY	DATE
COPIES TO:	<input type="checkbox"/> SD/PD	<input type="checkbox"/> Other	REMARKS 23 Q2 76		
<input type="checkbox"/> Detective	<input type="checkbox"/> CII	<input type="checkbox"/> Other			
<input type="checkbox"/> Dist. Atty.	<input type="checkbox"/> Patrol				

Rev. 1/83

POLICE DEPARTMENT

City of San Bernardino
California
CA 03800

1331036-07
H100-85

REPORT AREA

SECTION 7-	CRIME MURDER	CLASSIFICATION	
NAME - LAST NAME	FIRST NAME RITA	MIDDLE NAME MAREEL	(FIRM NAME IF BUSINESS)
<input type="checkbox"/> RESIDENCE		<input type="checkbox"/> BUSINESS	PHONE ()

AGE 11

QUESTION: How long have you know Rita?
 ANSWER: I've known her for two years. I knew her through my girlfriend Geraldine. Geraldine died a couple years ago. She died of cancer. She died in Loma Linda.
 QUESTION: Did you ever date Rita?
 ANSWER: No man. I was dating Geraldine. I mean I can see it. I'm out playing with her girlfriend. No way. But I do remember that Rita was a drinker.
 QUESTION: Tell me about Rita Cobb.
 ANSWER: Well, she was different, you know what I mean. Her sexual thing. Do you know what I mean?
 QUESTION: No. I'm not clear about what you mean.
 ANSWER: Well, you know she was different in sex. You know, she may have liked the same person.
 QUESTION: Are you saying that she's a lesbian?
 ANSWER: No. You know what I mean. When she goes both ways.
 QUESTION: Your saying that she was bisexual?
 ANSWER: Yeah, that's it.
 QUESTION: Well, how did you find out that she may have been bisexual?

OFFICERS SOS, DET, P0108	DATE 8/17/88	REVIEWED BY	TYPED BY NS S1751	ROUTED BY	DATE
COPIES TO:	<input type="checkbox"/> SD/PD	<input type="checkbox"/> Other	REMARKS <i>[Handwritten initials]</i>		
<input type="checkbox"/> Detective	<input type="checkbox"/> CII	<input type="checkbox"/> Other			
<input type="checkbox"/> Dist. Atty.	<input type="checkbox"/> Patrol				

SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT
 Col of San Bernardino
 California
 CA 03600

1331036-07
 E100-85

REPORT AREA

SECTION 17	CRIME MURDER	CLASSIFICATION	
NAME - LAST NAME	FIRST NAME	MIDDLE NAME	(FIRM NAME IF BUSINESS)
	RITA	MARBEL	
	<input type="checkbox"/> RESIDENCE	<input type="checkbox"/> BUSINESS	PHONE ()

AGE 12

ANSWER: Through conversation with my girlfriend Geraldine.

(At this point in the conversation, Mr. Backhoff was seated across from me and he was talking to me in a softer tone of voice. After the previous questioning, he stood up and started yelling and pointing his finger at me stating the following):

I want to find that mother fucker that did it. You know what, I hate to say this, but in general, your police department is all fucked up. I'd get that mother fucker even if I had to stay up all night. But I would get him. You know, I've been sleeping on this and to tell you the truth theres this mother fucker walking around thats a degenerate and I want him caught. I'll go out of my way to get him. I have no love for Rita Cobb. I didn't have no sexual relations with her. I'll tell you what, this mother fucker should get fucked. You know what, this is a sick dude that murdered her. You know what I mean? I 'd like to find out the mother fucker who did the act.

QUESTION: Yes, I can understand that. Why don't you go ahead and sit down. We'd like to find the person who did this also.

ANSWER: I'm just saying somebody better get caught. It's a mother fucker, you know what I mean.

QUESTION: What was the age difference between you and Rita?

ANSWER: Rita was probably eight years older than me.

You know what? I wanna see that prick mother fucker caught whoever he is, if he's a male or female.

QUESTION: Tell me a little bit more about Geraldine. What was she like?

OFFICERS SHERIFFS, DET, P0108	DATE 8/17/88	REVIEWED BY	TYPED BY RS S1751	ROUTED BY	DATE
<input type="checkbox"/> NO. <input type="checkbox"/> Detactive <input type="checkbox"/> Dist. Atty.	COPIES TO: <input type="checkbox"/> SD/PO <input type="checkbox"/> CII <input type="checkbox"/> Patrol	<input type="checkbox"/> Other <input type="checkbox"/> Other	REMARKS <div style="text-align: right; font-size: 2em;"> 25 Q2 </div>		

OFFICE DEPARTMENT
 City of San Bernardino
 California
 CA 03600

1331036-07
 E100-85

REPORT AREA

SECTION 17	CRIME MURDER	CLASSIFICATION	
NAME - LAST NAME RITA		FIRST NAME MARBEL	MIDDLE NAME (FIRM NAME IF BUSINESS)
<input type="checkbox"/> RESIDENCE <input type="checkbox"/> BUSINESS		PHONE ()	

AGE 13

ANSWER: Geraldine had money and she was clean and free and she cooked. What a good women.

As a matter of fact she gave me a place to live. We had sex like two times a day. What more could a man want. If you had something like that, you don't need to look anywhere else. You know what I mean?

QUESTION: How do you think Geraldine and Rita knew each other?

ANSWER: I think they met each other through drinking at bars. Maybe the Zodiac Bar.

QUESTION: How did you find out about the death of Rita Cobb?

ANSWER: Well, I think was it was through Nellie Rhor. She's the one that told me. I was gone awhile, and when I came back, and then I found out that the police were looking for me.

QUESTION: Where were you at?

ANSWER: Oh, I think I was in Van Nuys. You know what I mean? But I had my trailer here and it was no big deal because I paid my rent. And I paid up on it, but I was down there. I'll tell you one thing, I didn't do nothing wrong.

QUESTION: Well who is the one saying that you did?

ANSWER: You know, personal people. People down here that said I was involved. Well, you know what, no fucking way.

QUESTION: Who are these people that said you were involved? What are the names of some of your personal friends?

OFFICERS SIOSS, DET, P0108	DATE 8/17/88	REVIEWED BY	TYPED BY KS S1751	ROUTED BY	DATE	
<input type="checkbox"/> NO COPIES TO:		<input type="checkbox"/> SD/PD <input type="checkbox"/> CII <input type="checkbox"/> Dist. Atty.	<input type="checkbox"/> Other <input type="checkbox"/> Other <input type="checkbox"/> Patrol	REMARKS <div style="text-align: right;"> <p>20</p> <p>02</p> </div>		

P.P.S. DEPARTMENT
 County of San Bernardino
 California
 CA 03600

1331036-07
 H100-85

REPORT AREA

SECTION 17	CRIME MURDER	CLASSIFICATION		
NAME - LAST NAME	FIRST NAME RITA	MIDDLE NAME MARCEL	(FIRM NAME IF BUSINESS)	
<input type="checkbox"/> RESIDENCE		<input type="checkbox"/> BUSINESS		PHONE ()

AGE 14

ANSWER: Well fuck. Pickup your hand. How many fingers do you have on your hand? You know what I mean?

QUESTION: Do you know of Rita's personal habits?

ANSWER: She had like a little cabin, but I'll tell you what, her house wasn't really too sharp. You know, she was not my kind of girl.

QUESTION: What was she like?

ANSWER: Well, she was very outspoken. She was the type of girl to tell you to get fucked. When I talked to Rita, or when we were in each other's company, my girlfriend was with me.

QUESTION: How about her drinking habits?

ANSWER: Well I couldn't say whether she was a real drunk or not. Ya know, let me tell you something, it's a shame, it's a sick fucking deal. Isn't it? I mean this is really sick. It's a sick situation. You know, heres some prick wandering around who may kill another women, ya know what I mean?

DE TWO OF TAPE:

QUESTION: You mentioned earlier that this girl by the name of Nellie Rhor told you that the police were looking for you but when was the first time that you heard about Rita Cobb's death?

OFFICERS AGIOS, DET, P0108	DATE 8/17/88	REVIEWED BY	TYPED BY KS S1751	ROUTED BY	DATE	
<input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO	COPIES TO: <input type="checkbox"/> SD/PO <input type="checkbox"/> Detective <input type="checkbox"/> Dst. Atty.	<input type="checkbox"/> Other <input type="checkbox"/> Other	REMARKS 27 Q2			

SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT

County of San Bernardino
California
CA 03600

1331036-07
H100-85

REPORT AREA

TITLE	CRIME MURDER	CLASSIFICATION
NAME - LAST NAME	FIRST NAME RITA	MIDDLE NAME (FIRM NAME IF BUSINESS) MARBEL
<input type="checkbox"/> RESIDENCE	<input type="checkbox"/> BUSINESS	PHONE ()

PAGE 15

ANSWER: Well, thats a fucking interesting question. Let me see.
(At this point there was a long pause).

Well, I think it was at the Zodiac Bar. Yeah, it was conversation that was going around. I got talking to the bartender and the bartender told me about it. I talked to Rita three to four days before she passed away. I think she just got back from Canada. It's an amazing story isn't it. But I'll tell you what. I know I didn't do anything wrong.

Backhoff could add nothing further and the interview was then concluded.

OFFICERS OS, DET, P0108	DATE 8/17/88	REVIEWED BY	TYPED BY NS S1751	ROUTED BY	DATE
COPIES TO: <input type="checkbox"/> SD/PD <input type="checkbox"/> Other <input type="checkbox"/> Detective <input type="checkbox"/> CII <input type="checkbox"/> Other <input type="checkbox"/> Dist. Atty. <input type="checkbox"/> Patrol			REMARKS <i>28</i> <i>Q2</i> <i>I</i> <i>66</i>		

EXHIBIT COVER PAGE

17
EXHIBIT

Description of this exhibit:

Number of Pages to this exhibit: _____ Pages.

JURISDICTION: (Check One Only)

- MUNICIPAL COURT
- SUPERIOR COURT
- APPELLATE COURT
- STATE SUPREME COURT
- UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
- STATE CIRCUIT COURT
- UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT
- GRAND JURY

RAN MLPH FILE
 ONT ON ATSC

44659
 11-6-97
 DV

OPT B68404 → stuffs removed from tray in order to remove wire contents

sealed 9x12 env = 5-6x9 env → all files have loosened
 your seals & taped
 sealed by DV
 on 11-6-97

- "44659 A-1, 2, 3" = 3 boxes → [NE]

- "44659" = 2 round metal containers → [NE] → (A-21? A-24?)

- "44659 A-21 cigarette bottle from ashtray in living room
 DC 9-23-85 1908" → [NE]


- "44659 "A-20" cigarette bottle from ashtray on desk
 in dining area DC 9-27-85 1907" → [NE]

- "44659 A-17 A-18"

↳ = 9 sealed 3x5 envs - A-18a → A-18g
 [A-17 & control] → [NE]

NOTE env A-18f → "sample consumed" → [NE]

A-18a piece of tan felt ~ 2x5cm B+W photo w/ scale



A-18b piece of tan felt ~ 6x2.5cm B+W photo w/ scale



(17-1) (A) 28

Reference and Source:

See previous reports dated September 30, 1985, November 18, 1985 and July 3, 1987 for a description of Items A through G.

On August 10, 1988 Detective B. McPhail, Sheriff's Special Detective Division, delivered the following items to the Laboratory:

Item H: Three cigarettes (H-1, H-2, and H-3) identified as having been smoked by William BACKHOFF

RESULTS AND CONCLUSIONS:

The cigarette butts from BACKHOFF, as well as the cigarette butts from the crime scene were examined in an attempt to determine ABO/secretor status. The following table lists the results of that examination:

Item & Description	Amylase level	Soluble ABH antigens	Result
A-20a: "Virginia Slim" cigarette butt with lipstick	High	A, H	A secretor
A-20b: "Marlboro" cigarette	Moderate	A	A secretor
A-20c: unsmoked "Benson and Hedges" cigarette	Moderate	Inconclusive	Inconclusive
A-21: "Benson & Hedges" cigarette	High	H	O secretor
H-2: "Benson & Hedges" cigarette from BACKHOFF	High	A, H	A secretor
H-3: "Benson & Hedges" cigarette from BACKHOFF	High	A, H	A secretor

Conclusions:

- 1) BACKHOFF, as an ABO A secretor, does not match the semen donor profile developed from the semen stains on the felt pad (Item A-18) from the crime scene.
- 2) Comparison of the ABO/secretor type of the cigarette butts at the crime scene to the blood types of the individuals in this investigation can be broken down as follows:

(172) E5 (28)

Item & Description	Consistent with
A-20a: Virginia Slim (note: lipstick)	KRAEMER, BACKHOFF
A-20b: Marlboro	KRAEMER, BACKHOFF
A-20c: Benson & Hedges (not smoked)	no information
A-21 : Benson & Hedges	Cobb SANDERS (unknown secretor status)

David C Stockwell
David C. Stockwell
Criminalist

/jkg

c. Det. Div. (Det. B. McPhail) -1
-1
-1

(17-3) 28
EG

PSYCHOLOGICAL PROFILE

ALL OF
THIS MATCHES
BACKOFF //

AGE: 50's (Maybe mid 40 range)

SEX: Male

RACE: Caucasian

MARITAL STATUS: Single

EDUCATIONAL/INTELLIGENCE LEVEL: High school graduate, maybe dropout,
Normal intelligence.

SOCIAL/ECONOMIC STATUS: Middle class

RESIDENCE: Will be some distance from scene, neat and clean appearance.

PHYSICAL CHARACTERISTICS: Well-groomed, muscular, well built, takes
care of self.

AUTOMOBILE: "Muscle Car" (Trans Am, Camaro), Red or Black in color.
If suspect older, conservative "clean" sedan (red or black)

EMPLOYMENT: Macho type job [Bouncer, fireman, etc.]

MENTAL HEALTH HISTORY: None

MILITARY HISTORY: Army or Marines, volunteered for Airborne, Special
Forces, etc. Discharge other than honorable.

LAW ENFORCEMENT HISTORY: Interpersonal violence, poor driving record

GENERAL BEHAVIOR CHARACTERISTICS: Good talker, ladies' man, frequents
bars. dates frequently and many different women, flashy dresser,
athletic, "hits" on all the women, police buff, gun nut, self-
centered, does not like criticism; when irritated is mean/hateful
person, many times homosexual tendencies.

RP:lm

18-753

SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT
 COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO
 CALIFORNIA
 CA 03600

1001000-11
 H #100-85
 REPORT AREA

Page 1

TITLE		CRIME		CLASSIFICATION	
		MURDER			
PERSON'S NAME - LAST NAME		FIRST NAME		MIDDLE NAME	
DEB,		RITA		MARBEL	
ADDRESS		RESIDENCE		PHONE	

CONTACT FROM FBI/VICAP: (703) 632-4163

On 11/27/02, I received a phone call from FBI VICAP Analyst Ken Witlow, phone number (703) 632-4163, regarding the following SBSD homicide investigations:

DR # 1331490-07/H #68-85

Victim: Brooks, Helen Margaret
 WFA-63, DOB: 07/11/22
 Found: Friday, 07/05/85
 Location: 19001 Highway 18, Apt. #2, Apple Valley, CA
 Suspect: Unknown

DR # 1331036-07/H #100-85

Victim: Cobb, Rita Marbel
 WFA-55, DOB: 02/23/30
 Found: Monday, 09/23/85
 Location: 35435 Highway 18, Lucerne Valley, CA
 Suspect: Unknown

Witlow said through their review of the two SBSD cases, they found (4) similar murder cases from (4) jurisdictions that occurred from 1986-1989. Witlow said he contacted the other agencies regarding the similarities in each case. The following cases were given:

LOS ALAMITOS PD CASE #860764

Victim: Deeble, Majorie Elaine
 Found: May 12, 1986
 Suspect: Edwards, Robert Mark WMA-41, DOB: 06/14/61, (Arrested/In-Custody since 1997)
 Contact: Sgt. Travis/Los Alamitos Homicide, (562) 431-2255

Edwards was later convicted for this murder in 1998 and sentenced to death

REPORTING OFFICER ET. CARLOS A. ESPINOZA E-0802	DATE 12/02/02	REVIEWER Y	TYPED BY CBB	ROUTED BY	DATE
ACTION: <input type="checkbox"/> NO		COPIES TO: <input type="checkbox"/> Detective <input type="checkbox"/> Dist. Atty.		REMARKS <input type="checkbox"/> Other <input type="checkbox"/> SD/PA <input type="checkbox"/> Other <input type="checkbox"/> Patrol	

18-2
 12/2/02
 FZ

SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT
 COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO
 CALIFORNIA
 CA. 03600

CASE NO
 1331036-17
 H #100-85
 REPORT AREA

Page 2

TITLE	CRIME MURDER	CLASSIFICATION
TIM'S NAME - LAST NAME	FIRST NAME	MIDDLE NAME (FIRM NAME IF BUSINESS)
BB, DRESS	RITA	MARBEL
ADDRESS	RESIDENCE	PHONE

CONTACT FROM FBI/VICAP: (continued)

LOS ANGELES COUNTY SHERIFF CASE #086074941617

Victim: Belcher, Rhonda
 Found: June 26, 1986
 Suspect: Unknown
 Contact: Sgt. Joe Hartshorne/LASO Homicide, (323) 890-5641

COSTA MESA PD CASE # 88-59459

Victim: Gibbons, Malinda
 Found: July 18, 1988
 Suspect: Unknown
 Contact: Sgt. Archer/Costa Mesa PD Homicide, (714) 754-5395

LOS ANGELES PD CASE # 89-1123392

Victim: Kreismanis, Brigita
 Found: August 5, 1989
 Suspect: Unknown
 Contact: Det. Berdin/LAPD Homicide, (213) 847-4261

9/23/89

I ran a records check regarding the Los Alamitos suspect (Robert Mark Edwards/CII #A06751443). Edward's records showed he was arrested/in-custody at CDC on 12/10/85, until he was paroled on 12/12/85. On 11/27/02, I contacted the CDC Statewide Parolee Locator Service/OBIS (916-358-1847) and spoke to Record's Clerk Scott Johnson. Upon review of their records, Johnson confirmed Edwards was incarcerated from 12/85-12/86.

Based on this information, Edwards could not be a suspect in our murder investigation because he was incarcerated at the time of the murders.

REPORTING OFFICER T. CARLOS A. ESPINOZA E-0802	DATE 12/02/02	REVIEWED BY	TYPED BY cae	ROUTED BY	DATE
ACTION: <input type="checkbox"/> NO	COPIES TO: <input type="checkbox"/> Detective <input type="checkbox"/> Dist Atty.	<input type="checkbox"/> Other <input type="checkbox"/> CII <input type="checkbox"/> Patrol	<input type="checkbox"/> SD/PO <input type="checkbox"/> Other	REMARKS 18-3 F3 (20)	

N OF ADULT INSTITUTIONS

KERN STATE PRISON

2727 W Cecil Avenue

P O Box 567

Delano California 93216



August 9, 2012

John Yablonsky, CDCR #AL0373
Facility D, Building 5-214L
North Kern State Prison

Inmate Yablonsky:

This response is in regard to your correspondence dated July 22, 2012. You request authorization to correspond with Inmate Robert Edwards, California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation (CDCR) number P11700, who is currently housed at San Quentin State Prison.

If Inmate Edwards is in agreement with your request, a CDCR Form 1074, Request for Correspondence Approval, is required. A CDCR Form 1074 has been forwarded to Inmate Edwards for completion. A copy of your letter will be placed in your central file.

I hope this information is of assistance. If you have any other concerns regarding this or any other matter, please contact your assigned counselor, O. Quiroz, Correctional Counselor I, via a CDCR Form 22, Inmate/Parolee Request for Interview, Item or Service.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "P.L. Vazquez".


P.L. VAZQUEZ
Warden (A)

Enclosure

Cc: C-File, Inmate Yablonsky, AL0373

184
S/3

EXHIBIT COVER PAGE



Description of this exhibit:

Number of Pages to this exhibit: _____ Pages.

JURISDICTION: (Check One Only)

- MUNICIPAL COURT
- SUPERIOR COURT
- APPELLATE COURT
- STATE SUPREME COURT
- UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
- STATE CIRCUIT COURT
- UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT
- GRAND JURY



U.S. Department of Justice

Federal Bureau of Investigation

FBI Academy
Quantico, Virginia 22135

April 30, 1987

UNSUB;
HELEN MARGARET BROOKS - VICTIM (DECEASED);
SAN BERNARDINO SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT,
SAN BERNARDINO, CALIFORNIA;
7/4-5/85;
NCAVC/VICAP - HOMICIDE (CRIMINAL PROFILING)
OO: LA

UNSUB;
RITA MAREEL COBB - VICTIM (DECEASED);
SAN BERNARDINO SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT,
SAN BERNARDINO, CALIFORNIA;
9/20-23/85;
NCAVC/VICAP - HOMICIDE (CRIMINAL PROFILING)
OO: LA

The following criminal personality profile was prepared by Special Agent R. Stephen Mardigian, in consultation with Special Agent Ronald P. Walker and other members of the National Center for the Analysis of Violent Crime (NCAVC). This profile is based upon a review of the materials submitted and the conclusions are the result of knowledge drawn from the personal investigative experience, educational background and research conducted by these profilers as well as by other NCAVC members. It is not a substitute for a thorough and well-planned investigation and should not be considered all-inclusive. The final analysis is based upon probabilities noting, however, that no two criminal acts or criminal personalities are exactly alike and therefore the offender at times may not fit the profile in every category.

This profile contains information of a confidential and sensitive nature. It is provided exclusively for your investigative assistance and should not be disseminated except to other criminal justice agencies with a legitimate investigative or prosecutorial interest in this matter.

Over and above the forensic evidence linking the Brooks and Cobb homicides, our analysis of materials submitted reveals

19-1

26

F4

19



U.S. Department of Justice

Federal Bureau of Investigation

FBI Academy
Quantico, Virginia 22135

April 30, 1987

UNSUB;
HELEN MARGARET BROOKS - VICTIM (DECEASED);
SAN BERNARDINO SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT,
SAN BERNARDINO, CALIFORNIA;
7/4-5/85;
NCAVC/VICAP - HOMICIDE (CRIMINAL PROFILING)
OO: LA

UNSUB;
RITA MARBEL COBB - VICTIM (DECEASED);
SAN BERNARDINO SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT,
SAN BERNARDINO, CALIFORNIA;
9/20-23/85;
NCAVC/VICAP - HOMICIDE (CRIMINAL PROFILING)
OO: LA

The following criminal personality profile was prepared by Special Agent R. Stephen Mardigian, in consultation with Special Agent Ronald P. Walker and other members of the National Center for the Analysis of Violent Crime (NCAVC). This profile is based upon a review of the materials submitted and the conclusions are the result of knowledge drawn from the personal investigative experience, educational background and research conducted by these profilers as well as by other NCAVC members. It is not a substitute for a thorough and well-planned investigation and should not be considered all-inclusive. The final analysis is based upon probabilities noting, however, that no two criminal acts or criminal personalities are exactly alike and therefore the offender at times may not fit the profile in every category.

This profile contains information of a confidential and sensitive nature. It is provided exclusively for your investigative assistance and should not be disseminated except to other criminal justice agencies with a legitimate investigative or prosecutorial interest in this matter.

Over and above the forensic evidence linking the Brooks and Cobb homicides, our analysis of materials submitted reveals

19-2

S4

marked similarities in behavior exhibited by the offender at each crime scene. Separate and detailed review of each of these cases has led us to conclude that these homicides were committed by the same perpetrator; therefore, we are submitting our assessment of each of these cases within the format of a single profile.

VICTIMOLOGY

Analysis of the victims is a significant aspect of the profiling process. The vulnerability of both Helen Brooks and Rita Cobb toward a violent incident should be examined in conjunction with a review of their lifestyles, reputations, behavior, social interactions and environment. Specifically, at what risk were these women to becoming victims?

Upon examination, we find striking similarities of background between each victim. They were each white females, five feet seven inches tall, 123-134 pounds, between the ages of 55 and 63, who lived alone, being either divorced or widowed. Each had children who lived elsewhere. Brooks was a resident manager of a desert community apartment complex located north of San Bernardino, California, and just 12 miles west from Cobb, who was residing in a single and relatively secluded family residence. Their residences were situated off State Highway 18. Brooks also worked at a dress shop and did some bookkeeping at a bowling alley. Cobb was employed as a receptionist/secretary at a country club. Their employments, located locally to their residences, brought them into daily contact with the general public. Investigation, however, did not develop any information to suggest that these women had ever been personal or social acquaintances.

Both these women were reportedly sexually active with several different men.

Brooks, according to comments from her associates, frequented many of the local bars around town and was very "free" with men, to the point that she was known to pick men up and even bring total strangers home with her. She was said to have bragged to friends about the number of men with whom she had been sexually associated. Moreover, she dated men close to her own age as well as younger men from their twenties on up. According to her former husband, Brooks was very demanding in regards to affection and in sexual relationships could become very jealous. This attitude was corroborated by a former boyfriend who added that she not only demanded sex a lot, but would sometimes in private belittle a man who wouldn't or couldn't perform. In line with her boyfriend's statements, another associate advised that Brooks was known to pick up men, allow them to live with her for a week or so, get tired of them and go for someone else. She was said to enjoy being argumentative with men.

Similarly, Rita Cobb frequented local bars and was known to pick up men of varying ages and take them home with her. She was described by her associates as being an alcoholic who could exhibit a very mean and demeaning attitude toward men and become difficult to relate with when drinking. Her own son described her as having a "Jeckyl and Hyde" personality when intoxicated. Friends characterized her, when she was in an intoxicated state, as being very caustic, argumentative and debative, to the point that she enjoyed baiting people into heated discussions.

These victim's general lifestyles, reputations and behaviors would increase their potential vulnerability. Although they were attacked in their own homes, in view of their general accessibility to a large age range of men, we would characterize them from moderate to high-risk of becoming victims of violence.

MEDICAL EXAMINER'S REPORTS

Upon consideration of the medical examiner's reports, the Behavioral Science Investigative Support Unit (BSISU) primarily focuses attention on the information which would suggest the kind of personality capable of committing these types of crimes. The following points concerning the examiner's reports are felt to be significant in relation to the offender profile:

Each victim's cause of death was listed as ligature strangulation, utilizing a coathanger in one case and women's pantyhose in the other. One of the victims sustained additional ligature marks on her wrists which were found bound behind her back. The apparent excessive wrapping of the bindings will be addressed in more detail in a later section of the profile.

There was evidence of vaginal and oral sexual assault on each victim. Moreover, it appears likely that the assailant ejaculated over at least one and possibly both of the victims. Deposits of semen were found on one victim's body and on bed clothing of the other victim.

Analysis of samples of semen taken from the Cobb crime reflects type B, non-secretor blood type which directly corresponds to the samples of semen recovered from the Brooks crime scene and which is found in approximately two percent of the white male population.

There was no evidence of blunt-force trauma or defensive wounds found in conjunction with postmortem examination of either victim.

CRIME SCENE ANALYSES

The best results evolve in the profiling process when we are in a position to analyze the assailant's verbal, physical and sexual behavior, as well as his methodology in terms of the area of the victim selection and his manner of control over them. As there is no verbal behavior to address, we consider the assailant's physical and sexual behavior and present the following aspects of each crime scene as significant:

Each victim was attacked during the evening hours in her own home. There was no sign of forced entry, nor was any evidence uncovered to suggest that either victim struggled with the assailant. This leads us to conclude that each victim was well enough acquainted with the assailant so as not to be concerned about allowing him to enter her respective residence.

There was no evidence to indicate the assailant brought any weapon to the crime scene. In fact, each weapon utilized at both crime scenes was secured on the premises. We usually would suggest such a person is ill-prepared and, therefore, somewhat disorganized. However, the fact that an assailant uses weapons of opportunity does not always connote a disordered personality. We suggest that this assailant did not come to either crime scene with the intention of killing, but once he made his decision, he made use of whatever was available to him. In both these cases, we find an assailant who was able to secure total control over the victims to such an extent that little, if any, signs of struggle were discernible. He exhibited this control through utilization of restraint devices.

BACKGROUNDS
DESCRIPTION T.C.
TEG

It appears unlikely this assailant was significantly motivated or interested in ransacking either victim's residence for valuables. The assailant obviously left various items of value located in plain view at both crime scenes, such as jewelry on a vanity and even on one of the victim's person. Given the amount of time that was spent with each victim, he would have had ample opportunity to steal valuables if he so intended. Our research in similar cases leads us to conclude that the fact a gold chain was discovered missing from one of the victim's residences does not suggest burglary, but rather the assailant's desire for a souvenir to remember or relive for himself what he had done.

Moreover, it is significant to note that the assailant left each victim nude and lying on her back across her bed with legs spread apart in what appears to be a displayed fashion, with articles of clothing or pillow covering only the victims' faces. This display of their bodies was an attempt to leave a message about his opinion of the victims themselves and women in general.

The assailant in this manner depersonalized the victims, not necessarily for who they are, but for what they are and what they represent for him. An additional consideration or purpose for leaving the bodies in this state relates to the assailant's possible bondage fantasies.

Each victim reportedly was sexually assaulted vaginally and orally and was likely in the assailant's company for some time. The fact that there was no evidence of forced entry, struggle or even defense-type wounds on either victim suggests the assailant was known by the victims and was allowed to enter their residences. Once inside, one must evaluate the possibility that the victims, given their lifestyles, willingly engaged in sexual relations with the assailant, at least in the early stages of their interactions. Support of this can be found from review of their backgrounds and because of the lack of signs of struggle throughout either residence or bedroom. Neither victim was known to sleep in the nude and, when the bodies were found, their clothing was neatly laid on a chair alongside the bed.

These victims died as a result of ligature strangulation around their necks. There was no evidence of blunt-force trauma. One victim was found with pantyhose wrapped at least four times around her neck with some of the excess hose crammed into her mouth. Her hands were bound at the wrists behind her back and she was found nude on her back across her bed and adjacent to a full-length closet mirror.

The other victim was found with an unraveled coathanger wire wrapped at least twice around her neck and twisted together. The evidence recovered reflects the assailant ejaculated over her body and also onto a dresser located near the bed where she was left displayed, all the while looking at himself and probably the victim in the mirror. The apparently excessive bindings around the victims' necks, with the gags in their mouths, hands tied behind their backs and bodies displayed adjacent to mirrors, should be viewed from the assailant's conceivable desire to fuel his own fantasies of sexual interaction with submissive and bound women, rather than solely his need to control his victims.

OFFENDER PROFILE

Empirical research suggests that crimes of violence usually occur along intra-racial lines, i.e., white on white and black on black. Absent evidence to the contrary and in light of forensic findings in these cases, we would expect your offender to be a white male.

When considering the offender's age, a number of factors pertinent to the crime are examined. Each victim's age, the amount of control exhibited by the assailant and degree of

58

WHO'S DNA
WAS FOUND ON
BC DV
DRESSER

trauma inflicted, the weapons used and evidence left behind at the scene all become important. In considering these aspects in relation to these particular crimes, we would expect your offender to be chronologically between his mid-twenties and mid-thirties.

It should be cautioned that age is a difficult category to profile since behavior is a result of emotional and mental maturity, not just physical maturity. No suspect should be eliminated based on age alone. The fact that the victims interacted sexually and socially with men of varying ages must be taken into account. Nevertheless, actions exhibited (such as ejaculation in front of a mirror) do indicate a more youthful offender.

Due to the offender's age, you could expect that he may have served in the military and even received a general or honorable discharge, although we would not expect that he would have been officer material.

The offender was not a total stranger to either victim; however, he could have had only a casual or fleeting-type relationship with them.

It does not appear likely that the offender would be married and he probably resides alone. He may live or work in the general area of the homicides. The late hours of the attacks suggest that the offender does not seem to have to answer to anyone for his time. If married, however, we would expect him to be having marital difficulties. This type of individual should be viewed as an inadequate-type person, especially with women in his own age group. He would not be successful in his interpersonal relationships with women.

We would expect that this offender may have had some training beyond high school and could be found to have average to above-average intelligence.

Others who know the offender would characterize him as somewhat of a loner, impulsive and reacting spontaneously to situations, yet he can be adaptive.

The offender is likely employed in an occupation requiring a semi-skilled to skilled worker, yet he would not be one of the employees that necessarily would stick out. The fact that he did not feel it necessary to steal valuables from either victim suggests he is employed and not in need of money.

Additionally, we would expect to find this offender in a similar socio-economic level as other individuals who frequented the same bars to which the victims came. This was conceivably the offender's original point of access to these victims.

19-6-

SA

BAC KAGE
SPID HE MES
AT BAR THAT
NITE. HIS WUS TO
SOMEHOW
HAVE KNOWN BAC KAGE
100

If the offender has a criminal record, it would include petit crime-type offenses, such as voyeurism/peeping, panty theft, etc. His interests may include bondage-type pornography of the soft-core variety, not the heavy materials, such as whips, leather or chains.

Investigation subsequent to the homicides indicated that the offender likely came to and left the scenes in a vehicle. Given the location of the crime sites, it appears probable that the offender did travel by vehicle. We would generally characterize this offender as neat and well-groomed. Consistent with his means, we would expect that he would be found driving a sedan or utilitarian-type vehicle, rather than a flashy-type auto.

Overall, when considering his relationship with others, this offender would be characterized as the type who would find it easier to deal with women significantly older or younger than he, as each would appreciate his age. He would not involve himself in any long-term relationships and would be seen having difficulty maintaining close relationships, especially with peer age women. Sexually, he would be immature and inadequate, as evidenced by his apparent masturbation over the victim. His sexual inadequacy could have played a role in precipitating his actions towards the victims, especially if they ridiculed, belittled or demeaned him.

The offender is likely a recreational user of alcohol, may use drugs occasionally in a social setting and could have had his inhibitions lowered during commission of the homicides as a result of such use.

POST-OFFENSE BEHAVIOR

One of the more interesting aspects of the profiling process and indeed one which could generate new direction for the investigation is the subject's post-offense behavior. What did the subject do following the crime? Who did he talk to and what noticeable behavioral changes about him have taken place?

Based on research data, in conjunction with an analysis of the information presented in these cases, we suggest the subject responded in the following ways after completion of these crimes:

The offender departed the scene of these homicides in a very nervous and agitated state, not because of any deep-seated feelings of guilt or remorse for what he had done, but rather out of fear of possibly being caught. His primary goal upon leaving the victims was to distance himself from them, get to a safe place, probably his home, and collect his thoughts. He would

have then attempted to establish an alibi or develop some story which he could relate if he was questioned by the police. In the offender's mind, the victims deserved to be killed because of what they were or what they represented to him. He rather quickly was able to rationalize and cope with what he had done.

His main concern was the possible discovery of his involvement.

If the offender came into contact with anyone shortly after leaving the crime scenes, his nervous and agitated state would have been obvious. They would also have noticed his desire to be left alone; but, because he is usually thought to be a loner, no real significance would have been attached to his behavior.

We would expect that your offender would have acquired and consumed more alcohol or drugs following the crimes in an effort to calm himself so he could begin to cope with his fears of being linked with the victims' deaths.

Considering that each homicide occurred in close proximity to a weekend, the offender may not have had to face going to work the day following his crimes. If he was scheduled to work, he would consider it might look suspicious not to go and would anticipate regular work attendance could bolster any alibi on which he had decided.

In view of the fact that the offender likely lives or works near the crime scenes, coupled with his acquaintance with both victims, it is possible the police have already interviewed him. Investigators would not have necessarily focused on him as a suspect, but rather as an individual who because of his relationship with the victims, might be in a position to provide information concerning their activities. If interviewed, we would not have expected the offender to abruptly offer an alibi. He merely would attempt to claim no knowledge of the victims' recent activities; however, if accused he would have been prepared with an alibi.

This offender would not likely interject himself into the police investigation but would attempt to keep abreast of any developments from newspaper articles and general gossip in the community.

Early on, the offender would have considered whether he might have to leave town. If the police investigation indicated to him that he was being considered suspect in the homicides, he would then attempt to find a reason for leaving town. If the investigation did not appear to be centering on him, he likely would remain in the area. Considering the passage of time since

EXHIBIT COVER PAGE

20

EXHIBIT

Description of this exhibit:

Number of pages to this exhibit: _____ pages

JURISDICTION: (Check One Only)

- MUNICIPAL COURT
- SUPERIOR COURT
- APPELLATE COURT
- STATE SUPREME COURT
- UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
- STATE CIRCUIT COURT
- UNITES STATES SUPREME COURT
- GRAND JURY



SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY PUBLIC DEFENDER
BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
Desert Division

REPORT OF INVESTIGATION

DEFENDANT/MINOR:	John Yablonsky	CASE NO:	FVI900518
CHARGE(S):	PC 187		
REPORT TYPE:	Interview / Contact		
DATE(S) OF INVESTIGATION:	01/13/2010	INVESTIGATOR:	Mapula
DATE REPORT PREPARED:	01/14/2010	ASSIGNED DPD:	Sanders
		SUP. INVESTIGATOR:	Hernandez

(Handwritten initials: S, U, B)

W - Witness | RP - Reporting Person | V - Victim | C - Complainant | S - Suspect | NP - Named Person | INF - Informant | OTH - Other

W	NAME: Cynthia Hooper AKA: DOB: DL: PHYS:	ADD 1: 9560 Palomar Trail Lucerne Valley, Calif. ADD 2: PHN 1: 760-248-6745 PHN 2:
	NAME: AKA: DOB: DL: PHYS:	ADD 1: ADD 2: PHN 1: PHN 2:
	NAME: AKA: DOB: DL: PHYS:	ADD 1: ADD 2: PHN 1: PHN 2:
	NAME: AKA: DOB: DL: PHYS:	ADD 1: ADD 2: PHN 1: PHN 2:
	NAME: AKA: DOB: DL: PHYS:	ADD 1: ADD 2: PHN 1: PHN 2:
	NAME: AKA: DOB: DL: PHYS:	ADD 1: ADD 2: PHN 1: PHN 2:

(Handwritten: 613, u3)

(Handwritten: 20-1)

NARRATIVE

I talked with Cynthia Hooper at her residence in Lucerne Valley on 1-13-10. I identified myself to her as a San Bernardino County Public Defender Investigator and asked if she would talk with me about this case involving the death of Rita Cobb. She agreed to talk with me.

Hooper told me she remembers being at a party or gathering at John Sullivan's house one evening shortly before Rita Cobb was found dead. She said Cobb was also at that party. She said she wasn't sure but thought someone either took her home or someone checked on her to make sure she arrived home safely. She didn't know who that may have been. She said she thought Cobb's son found her dead the next morning. Hooper also told me that Cobb and her son had been estranged "for some time". She said she thought it very strange that Cobb's son showed up and found his mother dead after being estranged for a long time. She said she did not know Cobb well at all but recalls she was a drinker.

I asked Hooper if she knew anything about who Cobb might have been dating just before her death. She said she wouldn't know anything about that. Hooper said her opinion of Cobb was that she seemed "loose" and seemed to be the type that would go home with men she met at bars. She had no opinion about whether or not Cobb was considered a "mean drunk". She could not remember seeing anything outside or around Cobb's residence that might have been considered suspicious or out of place around the time of her death.

I asked Hooper if the name Helen Brooks meant anything to her and she replied that it did not.

AUDIO/VIDEO RECORDING(S) GENERATED IN CONNECTION WITH THIS REPORT: Yes No

PHYSICAL EVIDENCE COLLECTED IN CONNECTION WITH THIS REPORT: Yes No

EVIDENCE CONTROL NUMBER(S):

This report was prepared from my notes and independent recollection of these contacts and events. As this report incorporates and replaces my original notes in their entirety, they were destroyed on: 01/14/2010.

<< NOTHING FOLLOWS >>

2-2
G14
u3

EXHIBIT COVER PAGE

21
EX F

Description of this exhibit:

Number of pages to this exhibit: _____ pages

JURISDICTION: (Check One Only)

- MUNICIPAL COURT
- SUPERIOR COURT
- APPELLATE COURT
- STATE SUPREME COURT
- UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
- STATE CIRCUIT COURT
- UNITES STATES SUPREME COURT
- GRAND JURY

**SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT
COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO
CALIFORNIA
CA 03600**

Page 1

CASE NO.

DR #1331306-07
H #100-85

REPORT AREA

Lucerne Valley, Ca.

CODE SECTION PC 187	CRIME MURDER	CLASSIFICATION Stabbing
VICTIM'S NAME - LAST NAME Cobb	FIRST NAME Rita	MIDDLE NAME (FIRM NAME IF BUSINESS)
ADDRESS	RESIDENCE	PHONE

INTERVIEW: Doris Evelyn Jackson

Information: During the investigation I was told Doris Jackson was best friends with Rita Cobb. I contacted Doris to learn about Rita and her personal life such as what Rita did, who she associated with and if she was dating anyone when she was murdered.

Interview: On April 09, 2009, at approximately 1825 hours, I spoke with Doris Jackson via telephone and digitally recorded the contact. The following will be a summary of our conversation.

Doris met Rita and her husband (unknown name) in 1977 when they first moved to Lucerne Valley. At the time, there was a group of 50-60 year-old's who frequently socialized together. Rita and her husband were friendly within the group and often met at the Moose Lodge and "Y" Saloon to gather, talk and socialize. After living in Lucerne Valley for a few years, Rita's husband died. Rita was always faithful to her husband and did not see other men while married.

Doris and Rita were not good friends because Rita was a very private person. Doris does not drink alcohol and only went to the Moose Lodge and "Y" Saloon to socialize with people who lived in Lucerne Valley. After Rita's husband died they (Rita and Doris) would see each other about once a month to have lunch. They were not best friends and Rita did not talk about her private life. Doris does not know who Rita dated or if she was even seeing anyone when she was murdered. They only had a casual friendship and were not that close.

Doris recalls Rita lived on Highway 18 in a small house just off the highway. Doris enjoyed fishing in Big Bear and would occasionally stop to visit Rita on the way home from her fishing-trips.

In 1985, Doris was living in La Quinta California and received a telephone call from Rita who invited her to go to Canada. Rita asked Doris if she wanted to help her by driving part of the time. Doris agreed to go and they were gone about one week.

REPORTING OFFICER R. ALEXANDER	#A1672	DATE 04-09-2009	REVIEWED BY FI	TYPED BY	ROUTED BY	DATE
ACTION: <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO	COPIES TO: <input type="checkbox"/> Detective <input type="checkbox"/> Dist. Atty.	<input type="checkbox"/> Other <input type="checkbox"/> CI <input type="checkbox"/> Patrol	<input type="checkbox"/> SD/PD <input type="checkbox"/> Other	REMARKS (21-1) #2 # # #		

APERTIAV

FI

45-21

SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT
 COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO
 CALIFORNIA
 CA 03600

Page 2

CASE NO.

DR #1331306-07
 H #100-85

REPORT AREA

Lucerne Valley, Ca.

SECTION PC 187	CRIME MURDER	CLASSIFICATION Stabbing
VICTIM'S NAME - LAST NAME Cobb	FIRST NAME Rita	MIDDLE NAME (FIRM NAME IF BUSINESS)
ADDRESS	RESIDENCE	PHONE

Doris recalls hearing rumors that Rita was killed on a Friday and was seen at the Moose Lodge and at the "Y" Saloon the night she was killed. Doris does not know if this was fact and said she only heard various rumors.

Doris said Rita was an alcoholic and could be mean when she was drinking.

I asked Doris if she knew whether Rita was dating anyone when was murdered, if she dated men older or men significantly younger than herself. Doris recalls Rita's husband might have been older than she was but she never saw Rita with a boyfriend. Rita did socialize and talk with men while at the Moose Lodge and "Y" Saloon. Doris states she knows nothing about Rita's dating habits because Rita was a very private person.

Doris only knew of one person who lived in the guest house located behind Rita's main residence. She recalls Rita's brother stayed in the guest house for a few days while visiting.

Doris was a real-estate agent who sold property in the Lucerne Valley area. Rita would often stop to talk with her concerning re-estate and would socialize. Rita never asked Doris about renting the guest house and as far as Doris knew no one lived or rented the guest house from Rita.

- Doris Jackson could provide no additional information
- I ended the conversion at 1850 hours

(28)

REPORTING OFFICER R. ALEXANDER	#A1672	DATE 04-09-2009	REVIEWED BY [Signature]	TYPED BY	ROUTED BY	DATE
OTHER ACTION: <input type="checkbox"/> NO	COPIES TO:	REMARKS				
<input type="checkbox"/> NO	<input type="checkbox"/> CP-01 <input type="checkbox"/> Detective <input type="checkbox"/> Dist. Atty.	<input type="checkbox"/> SD/PD <input type="checkbox"/> CII <input type="checkbox"/> Patrol	21-2 [Signature] D3 [Signature]			

EXHIBIT COVER PAGE 22

EXHIBIT

Description of this exhibit.

Number of pages to this exhibit: _____ pages.

JURISDICTION: (Check One Only)

- MUNICIPAL COURT
- SUPERIOR COURT
- APPELLATE COURT
- STATE SUPREME COURT
- UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
- STATE CIRCUIT COURT
- UNITES STATES SUPREME COURT
- GRAND JURY



SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY PUBLIC DEFENDER
BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
Desert Division

REPORT OF INVESTIGATION

DEFENDANT/MINOR: JOHN YABLONSKY

CASE No: FVI900518

CHARGE(S): PC187

REPORT TYPE: Incident Report

DATE(S) OF INVESTIGATION: 12/28/2010 01/04/2011

INVESTIGATOR: HOLCOMBE

ASSIGNED DPD: DAVID SANDERS

DATE REPORT PREPARED: 01/05/2011

SUP. INVESTIGATOR: TERRY GIBSON

C - Complainant | D - Defendant | INF - Informant | IP - Involved Party | O - Other | S - Suspect | V - Victim | W - Witness

0	NAME: Sheryl Broaddus AKA: DOB: 11/10/48 DL: PHYS:	ADD 1: 30404 Exeter St Lucerne Valley Ca 92356 ADD 2: PH#1: (760) 248-6207 H PH#2:
0	NAME: Glenn Hull AKA: Deceased DOB: DL: PHYS:	ADD 1: 12627 Waverly Ave Lucerne Valley Ca 92356 ADD 2: PH#1: PH#2:
0	NAME: Ron Campbell AKA: Deceased DOB: DL: PHYS:	ADD 1: ADD 2: PH#1: PH#2:
	NAME: AKA: DOB: DL: PHYS:	ADD 1: ADD 2: PH#1: PH#2:
	NAME: AKA: DOB: DL: PHYS:	ADD 1: ADD 2: PH#1: PH#2:
	NAME: AKA: DOB: DL: PHYS:	ADD 1: ADD 2: PH#1: PH#2:
	NAME: AKA: DOB: DL: PHYS:	ADD 1: ADD 2: PH#1: PH#2:

22-1

H7
u1

NARRATIVE

On 12/28/10 at about 9:50 a.m. I served Ms. Broaddus with a subpoena regarding this case at her residence in Lucerne Valley. At that time she told me that she and a friend she identified as Ron Campbell suspected a neighbor of hers as the person who committed this homicide. She identified the neighbor as Glenn Hull and she identified the residence across the street from her house as Mr. Hull's residence. She advised that Mr. Hull has been deceased for about fifteen years and his address was later found to be 12627 Waverly Ave. I didn't question Ms. Broaddus further regarding the information she relayed to me at that time.

On 1/4/11 at about 2:15 p.m., Public Defender Investigator Rudy Garcia and I re-contacted Ms. Broaddus to question her further regarding her suspicions of Mr. Hull's involvement. I reminded her of my identity and I gave her my business card. I informed Ms. Broaddus I wanted to discuss what she told me regarding Mr. Hull and she said that she didn't suspect Mr. Hull of this crime and was told by Mr. Campbell of his suspicions of Mr. Hull committing the crime. She continued that Mr. Campbell suspected Mr. Hull because Mr. Hull was a former Green Beret and had very strong hands. She "heard" that the victim had been strangled with her own pantyhose and surmised that someone would have to have strong hands to commit that type of act. She said she has no personal knowledge or information regarding this incident nor has she heard any rumors or theories about it at the Moose Lodge.

Ms. Broaddus said she also heard that Mr. Hull dated the victim and she was unaware of that. Ms. Broaddus is the bartender at the Moose Lodge in Lucerne Valley and Mr. Hull was a daily patron of the lodge. Mr. Hull never mentioned any involvement in this incident nor did he mention that he dated the victim.

I asked Ms. Broaddus if she knew Mr. Campbell's address or where he could be located and she said he died approximately four weeks ago in the first part of December 2010. Ms. Broaddus had nothing further to add and the interview was concluded.

AUDIO/VIDEO RECORDING(S) GENERATED IN CONNECTION WITH THIS REPORT: Yes No

PHYSICAL EVIDENCE COLLECTED IN CONNECTION WITH THIS REPORT: Yes No

EVIDENCE CONTROL NUMBER(S): N/A

This report was prepared from my notes and independent recollection of these contacts and events. As this report incorporates and replaces my original notes in their entirety, they were destroyed on: 1/5/2011

<< NOTHING FOLLOWS >>

22-2

H8
u2



SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY PUBLIC DEFENDER
BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
Desert Division

REPORT OF INVESTIGATION

DEFENDANT/MINOR: JOHN YABLONSKY

CASE No: FVI900518

CHARGES(s): PC187

REPORT TYPE: Incident Report

DATE(s) OF INVESTIGATION: 12/28/2010 01/04/2011

INVESTIGATOR: HOLCOMBE

ASSIGNED DPD: DAVID SANDERS

DATE REPORT PREPARED: 01/05/2011

SUP. INVESTIGATOR: TERRY GIBSON

C - Complainant | D - Defendant | INF - Informant | IP - Involved Party | O - Other | S - Suspect | V - Victim | W - Witness

0	NAME: Sheryl Broaddus AKA: DOB: 11/10/48 DL: PHYS:	ADD 1: 30404 Exeter St Lucerne Valley Ca 92356 ADD 2: PH#1: (760) 248-6207 H PH#2:
0	NAME: Glenn Hull AKA: Deceased DOB: DL: PHYS:	ADD 1: 12627 Waverly Ave Lucerne Valley Ca 92356 ADD 2: PH#1: PH#2:
0	NAME: Ron Campbell AKA: Deceased DOB: DL: PHYS:	ADD 1: ADD 2: PH#1: PH#2:
	NAME: AKA: DOB: DL: PHYS:	ADD 1: ADD 2: PH#1: PH#2:
	NAME: AKA: DOB: DL: PHYS:	ADD 1: ADD 2: PH#1: PH#2:
	NAME: AKA: DOB: DL: PHYS:	ADD 1: ADD 2: PH#1: PH#2:

22-3

NARRATIVE

On 12/28/10 at about 9:50 a.m. I served Ms. Broaddus with a subpoena regarding this case at her residence in Lucerne Valley. At that time she told me that she and a friend she identified as Ron Campbell suspected a neighbor of hers as the person who committed this homicide. She identified the neighbor as Glenn Hull and she identified the residence across the street from her house as Mr. Hull's residence. She advised that Mr. Hull has been deceased for about fifteen years and his address was later found to be 12627 Waverly Ave. I didn't question Ms. Broaddus further regarding the information she relayed to me at that time.

On 1/4/11 at about 2:15 p.m., Public Defender Investigator Rudy Garcia and I re-contacted Ms. Broaddus to question her further regarding her suspicions of Mr. Hull's involvement. I reminded her of my identity and I gave her my business card. I informed Ms. Broaddus I wanted to discuss what she told me regarding Mr. Hull and she said that she didn't suspect Mr. Hull of this crime and was told by Mr. Campbell of his suspicions of Mr. Hull committing the crime. She continued that Mr. Campbell suspected Mr. Hull because Mr. Hull was a former Green Beret and had very strong hands. She "heard" that the victim had been strangled with her own pantyhose and surmised that someone would have to have strong hands to commit that type of act. She said she has no personal knowledge or information regarding this incident nor has she heard any rumors or theories about it at the Moose Lodge.

Ms. Broaddus said she also heard that Mr. Hull dated the victim and she was unaware of that. Ms. Broaddus is the bartender at the Moose Lodge in Lucerne Valley and Mr. Hull was a daily patron of the lodge. Mr. Hull never mentioned any involvement in this incident nor did he mention that he dated the victim.

I asked Ms. Broaddus if she knew Mr. Campbell's address or where he could be located and she said he died approximately four weeks ago in the first part of December 2010. Ms. Broaddus had nothing further to add and the interview was concluded.

AUDIO/VIDEO RECORDING(S) GENERATED IN CONNECTION WITH THIS REPORT: Yes No

PHYSICAL EVIDENCE COLLECTED IN CONNECTION WITH THIS REPORT: Yes No

EVIDENCE CONTROL NUMBER(S): N/A

This report was prepared from my notes and independent recollection of these contacts and events. As this report incorporates and replaces my original notes in their entirety, they were destroyed on: 1/5/2011

<< NOTHING FOLLOWS >>

22-54

EXHIBIT COVER PAGE

23
EX F

Description of this exhibit:

Number of pages to this exhibit: _____ pages

JURISDICTION: (Check One Only)

- MUNICIPAL COURT
- SUPERIOR COURT
- APPELLATE COURT
- STATE SUPREME COURT
- UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
- STATE CIRCUIT COURT
- UNITES STATES SUPREME COURT
- GRAND JURY

EXHIBIT COVER PAGE



Description of this exhibit:

Number of Pages to this exhibit: _____ Pages.

JURISDICTION: (Check One Only)

- MUNICIPAL COURT
- SUPERIOR COURT
- APPELATE COURT
- STATE SUPREME COURT
- UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
- STATE CIRCUIT COURT
- UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT
- GRAND JURY

FRIFF'S DEPARTMENT
 County of San Bernardino
 California
 CA 03600

DR 1331036-07

REPORT AREA

CODE SECTION P.C. 187	CRIME MURDER	CLASSIFICATION
VICTIM'S NAME - LAST NAME COBB,	FIRST NAME RITA	MIDDLE NAME (FIRM NAME IF BUSINESS)
ADDRESS 35435 Hwy. 18, Lucerne Valley	<input type="checkbox"/> RESIDENCE <input type="checkbox"/> BUSINESS	PHONE ()

INTERVIEW:

SMITH, Rene
 10225 Custer Street
 Lucerne, Ca. Residence phone: 248-6335

At 1335 hrs., on 9/26/85, I contacted Ms. Rene Smith at her residence. At that time, Ms. Smith told me that on Sunday night, 9/22/85, she was at the Zodiac bar when a man attempted to pick her up, and that when she refused him, he told her that it was alright because he had a date with an older lady, and that during their conversation, he had told her that he was a singer with the band that had entertained at the Moose Lodge during their luau. Ms. Smith could not remember his name positively, but believed it was something like "Gaylord," and described him as being WMA, 6' tall, 180 lbs., with short brown hair. I asked Ms. Smith whether or not Ms. Cobb was at the Zodiac bar when this occurred, and she stated that she was not.

24-1

REPORTING OFFICERS MC COY, Det. M-1384	DATE 9/27/85	REVIEWED BY	TYPED BY pk K-1179	ROUTED BY	DATE	
ACTION: <input type="checkbox"/> NO	COPIES TO: <input type="checkbox"/> Detective <input type="checkbox"/> Dist. Atty.	<input type="checkbox"/> SD/PA <input type="checkbox"/> CII <input type="checkbox"/> Patrol	<input type="checkbox"/> Other <input type="checkbox"/> Other	REMARKS 4458		

EXHIBIT COVER PAGE



Description of this exhibit:

Number of Pages to this exhibit: _____ Pages.

JURISDICTION: (Check One Only)

- MUNICIPAL COURT
- SUPERIOR COURT
- APPELLATE COURT
- STATE SUPREME COURT
- UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
- STATE CIRCUIT COURT
- UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT
- GRAND JURY

EXHIBIT COVER PAGE



Description if this exhibit:

Number of Pages to this exhibit: _____ Pages.

JURISDICTION: (Check One Only)

- MUNICIPAL COURT
- SUPERIOR COURT
- APPELATE COURT
- STATE SUPREME COURT
- UNITED STATES DISCTRICT COURT
- STATE CIRCUIT COURT
- UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT
- GRAND JURY

- A-16: vacuum sweepings of bedding
- A-17: white shorts from mouth
- A-18: clothing and cloth items, foot of bed
- A-19: bedding
- A-20: cigarette butts from ashtray in dining area
- A-21: cigarette butts from ashtray in living room
- A-22: standard carpet from bedroom
- A-23: bloodstain on east door jamb to hall
- A-24: bloodstain on south door jamb of bedroom

On September 24, 1985, the Laboratory (Criminalist D. Jones) collected the following at the county morgue:

Item B: Physical evidence from autopsy of Cobb:

- B-1: hairs from torso
- B-2: tissue paper from chin
- B-3: wire clothes hanger ligature
- B-4: sex offense kit (#05974), received from L. Brown:
 - B-4a: vaginal swabs
 - B-4b: smear of vaginal swabs
 - B-4c: pubic combings
 - B-4d: standard pubic hair
 - B-4e: EDTA blood sample
 - B-4f: standard head hair
- B-5: upper and lower dentures
- B-6: body bag


RESULTS AND CONCLUSIONS:

No tests or examinations have been completed at this time.

DISPOSITION OF EVIDENCE:

All evidence (Items A and B) will remain on file for one year, after which it will be destroyed unless the Laboratory is otherwise notified.

DTJ/tjs
Copies:
SBSB/Spec. Det. Div. (Sgt. D. Baker) -1
File -1
Lab -1



Donald T. Jones
Criminalist

26-1
32

SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT.
 COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO
 CALIFORNIA
 CA 03600

CASE NO.
 Dr# 1331036-07
 H# 100-85
 REPORT AREA

Page 1

SECTION Pc 187	CRIME Murder	CLASSIFICATION Felony
VICTIM'S NAME - LAST NAME	FIRST NAME	MIDDLE NAME
ADDRESS		PHONE
RESIDENCE		(FIRM NAME IF BUSINESS)

EVIDENCE VIEWED AND PHOTOGRAPHED ON 01/14/2009:

On Wednesday, January 14th, 2009, at approximately 1510 hours, I viewed and photographed the evidence for this case in a conference room at the San Bernardino County Sheriff's Department's Property Division.

TAG # B22559:

- (1) Felt pad
- (1) Bed sheet

TAG # B68345:

Item #A2

- (1) Blue long sleeve "Wrangler" shirt size large
- (1) yellow/white striped cotton towel "Cannon" brand
- (1) White cotton towel
- (2) White kitchen towels with apples and water spout designs
- (1) White and yellow face towel
- (1) Kitchen towel with fruit designs
- (1) Multi colored dish towel
- (1) Blue towel "Sears" brand
- (2) Tan bras "Equisite" brand size 36B
- (1) Blue "Sassoon" jeans size 9 JR with a red belt
- (1) White short sleeve t-shirt with red stars size medium.
- (1) Peach colored sweat pants "Summit Sport Wear" size 6
- (1) Tan colored sandals "Candie" brand size 10
- (1) Blue flip flops with rainbow colors
- (1) Blue cotton towel

TAG # B68345

Item #A13

- (1) Blue pillow
- (1) Blue pillow (from beneath the victim's head - vacuumed)

REPORTING OFFICER Greg Myler	DATE 01/15/2009	REVIEWED BY	TYPED BY	ROUTED BY	DATE
OTHER ACTION: 3 <input type="checkbox"/> NO	COPIES TO:	REMARKS			
<input type="checkbox"/> Detective	<input type="checkbox"/> Other	26-2			
<input type="checkbox"/> Dist. Atty.	<input type="checkbox"/> SD/PD				
<input type="checkbox"/> Patrol	<input type="checkbox"/> Other				

(31)

**SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT
COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO
CALIFORNIA
CA 03600**

CASE NO.
Dr# 1331036-07
H# 100-85
REPORT AREA

Page 2

SECTION Pc 187	CRIME Murder	CLASSIFICATION Felony
VICTIM'S NAME - LAST NAME	FIRST NAME	MIDDLE NAME
ADDRESS		PHONE
RESIDENCE		
(FIRM NAME IF BUSINESS)		

TAG #B67999: (From east corner of bed beneath comforter)

Microscopic Slide Box

- Item B4F - Standard head hair
- Item B4D - Standard pubic hair
- Item A18 - Possible pubic hair from felt pad
- Item A17 - Hair from gag
- Item A16 - 1, 2, 3 hair
- Item A5 - 1-8 and 1 hair with root
- Item A4 - 7 slides with hair
- Item A1 - 8 slides, 1 with root

- Item A17 - (1) White pant used as a gag
- Item A18 - (1) Brown and tan felt desk pad
 - (1) Tan bra "Equisite" form in group 384 size 36B
 - (1) Red pair of shorts "Lady La Mode" active sports wear size 8
 - (1) Blue blazer button up "Phil Carry" size 12
 - (2) White pillow cases with colored flower designs

- Item B3 - (1) Metal coat hanger
- Item A3 - (1) Panty
- Item B2 - (1) Tissue from victim's chin
- Item B5 - Victim's dentures

- Item A15 - Watch band keeper (west of victim's head beneath pillow)
- Item B1 - Loose Hair(s) from victim's torso
- Item A22 - Standard from carpet
- Item A4 - Vacuum sweepings from area east of bed
- Item A16 - Vacuum sweepings from bedding
- Item A1 - Vacuum sweepings of carpet inside doorway and hair from vacuum
- Item A5 - Vacuum sweepings south and west side of bed
- Item A-6 thru A-10 - Tape lift of victim's torso

REPORTING OFFICER Greg Myler	DATE 01/15/2009	REVIEWED BY	TYPED BY	ROUTED BY	DATE
OTHER ACTION: <input type="checkbox"/> NO	COPIES TO:	<input type="checkbox"/> Other	<input type="checkbox"/> SD/PO	REMARKS (26-3) (31)	
	<input type="checkbox"/> Detective	<input type="checkbox"/> CII	<input type="checkbox"/> Other		
	<input type="checkbox"/> Dist. Atty.	<input type="checkbox"/> Patrol			

SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT
 COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO
 CALIFORNIA
 CA 03600

CASE NO.
 Dr# 1331036-07
 H# 100-85
 REPORT AREA

Page 3

SECTION Pc 187	CRIME Murder	CLASSIFICATION Felony
VICTIM'S NAME - LAST NAME	FIRST NAME	(MIDDLE NAME) (FIRM NAME IF BUSINESS)
ADDRESS	RESIDENCE	PHONE

Item A18 - Debris from felt pad
 Item A17 - Debris from gag
 Item A18 - Debris from other items
 Item A14 - Debris from pillow underneath head
 Item A13 - Debris from A13
 Item A2 - Debris from A-Z

At the completion of viewing the evidence I repackaged the evidence and turned it back into the custody of the Property Division.

REPORTING OFFICER Greg Myler	DATE 01/15/2009	REVIEWED BY	TYPED BY	ROUTED BY	DATE
OTHER ACTION: <input type="checkbox"/> NO	COPIES TO:		REMARKS		
5184-401 Rev. 1/83	<input type="checkbox"/> Delective	<input type="checkbox"/> CII	<input type="checkbox"/> SD/PD	<div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; font-size: 2em;"> (26-4) (31) </div>	
	<input type="checkbox"/> Dist. Atty.	<input type="checkbox"/> Patrol	<input type="checkbox"/> Other		

1331036 - 07

SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT
CRIME LABORATORY

April 3, 1987

Laboration No.

LR 44659

70

145

REPORT ON THE EXAMINATION OF PHYSICAL EVIDENCE

SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT #2

Gene Rogers, Captain
Specialized Detective Division
San Bernardino Sheriff's Dept.

Attn: Lieutenant D. Baker

Following is the report of the Crime Laboratory giving the results of the examinations conducted on evidence received from your office. This examination has been made with the understanding that the report will be used for official purposes only.

DYD TIDWELL, Sheriff

by P. Longhetti
Laboratory Director
4-8-87

Requesting Agency: <u>Specialized SBSD/Detective Division</u>	Victim: <u>Cobb, Rita</u>
Type of Investigation: <u>Homicide (01)</u>	Suspect(s): <u>-----</u>

Evidence and Source:

See previous reports dated September 30, 1985 and November 18, 1985 for a description of Items A and B.

On February 5, 1986, Detective R. McCoy delivered the following evidence to the Laboratory:

Item C: one blood vial from SANDERS, Joe

DID THEY COLLECT HAIR?

On September 16, 1986, Detective R. McCoy delivered the following evidence to the Laboratory:

Item D: saliva samples from POPE, Bill

Item E: one blood vial from POPE, Bill

On September 17, 1986, Detective R. Knapp delivered the following evidence to the Laboratory:

Item F: one sex offense kit (#5619) from KRAEMER

F-1: EDTA blood sample

F-2: saliva swabs

ANY HAIR TAKEN?

26-5

59

21
146

On September 24, 1986, Detective R. Knapp delivered the following evidence to the Laboratory:

- Item G: one sex offense kit (#6616) from WHITESIDES
- G-1: EDTA blood sample
- G-2: saliva swabs

ULTS AND CONCLUSIONS:

The standard blood samples were grouped as follows:

Item and Description	ABO	Secretor	EsD	PGM ₁ sub	Pep A
C: NaF blood from SANDERS	O	NR	NR	NR	NR
E-1: EDTA blood from POPE	B	non secretor	1	1 ⁺ 1 ⁻	1
F-1: EDTA blood from KRAEMER	A	secretor	5-1	1 ⁺	1
G-1: EDTA blood from WHITESIDES	AB	secretor	1	1 ⁺	NR

NR = NOT RUN

these samples the blood from POPE (Item E-1) is the only sample which matches the semen donor profile developed from the semen stains on the felt pad (Item A-18). It should be noted that POPE was originally interviewed because the Department of Justice sex offender file indicated his blood type as an ABO B non-secretor PGM₁ type 1⁺1⁻.

POSITION OF EVIDENCE:

On March 18, 1987, Item B was released to Jeff Smink, Identification Division. All other evidence (Items A, C through G) will remain on file for one year, after which it will be destroyed unless the Laboratory is otherwise notified.

David C Stockwell
David C. Stockwell
Criminalist

- kg
- es:
- Spec. Det. Div. (Lt. D. Baker) -1
- 1
- 1

26-6

60

VICTIM MEDICAL IDENTIFICATION

82
157

Doctor or medical facility that has the victim's medical records.
Name: _____ Medical Facility: _____
SS: _____ City: _____ State: _____

Doctor or dental facility that has the victim's dental records/x-rays.
Name: _____ Medical Facility: _____
SS: _____ City: _____ State: _____

X. SUMMARY

Space below is provided for a narrative summary of this incident. Please include a general overview, details, unusual characteristics, and the sequence of events. Also include any other pertinent information re: victim(s), suspect(s), evidence, etc. that was not captured elsewhere in this form:

Victim of 2 homicides w/ common suspect. Victim lived in a room with a window and known as an easy going person. No forced entry to residence. Nothing known to be in from residence. Victim found nude in her bedroom with a tied behind back with a nightgown, pants loose and neck, and the knot of the hose stuffed in her mouth. Also had a pillow over her face. Approx 50 man was interviewed as ID's no knowning her. Suspect was determined to be a B non-secretary. The DNA or blood work was done at the time of the murder. Cross ref to H# 100-85 COBB, Rita DR 1331036-07. Lines killed within 90 days of each other, all lived approximately 10 miles apart on 1/18. Both dated a variety of men in age and social standing.

26-7

36

obtain the following information:

Doctor or medical facility that has the victim's medical records.

Name: _____ Medical Facility: _____
Address: _____ City: _____ State: _____

158

Doctor or dental facility that has the victim's dental records/x-rays.

Name: _____ Medical Facility: _____
Address: _____ City: _____ State: _____

X. SUMMARY

space below is provided for a narrative summary of this incident. Please include a general overview, details, unusual characteristics, and the sequence of events. Also include any other pertinent information re: victim(s), suspect(s), evidence, etc. that was not captured elsewhere in this form.

involvement involving same suspect. Victim lived in a house, was actually promiscuous and known as an easy girl. No forced entry, and nothing is known to her. Victim found nude in her bedroom. Lock posed. She had a coat hanger around her neck and a pair of white shorts over her face. Suspect is a 30 year old man. No other blood work or DNA had been done. Cross Ref w/ # 68-65 1331490-07 victim Brooks.

Both victims lived approx 10 miles apart on 4/18, and were killed within 90 days of each other.

Both victims dated a variety of men, of various ages and social standing.

(26-8)

BOTH DADS WERE IN PETITIONERS DISCOVERY




Comparison between 44452 Q hairs and
44659 Q hairs

RED HAIR

44452

A-5
(1 of 2 mounts)


A-6
(2 mounts)


- reddish brown hair ~5cm long
- roots 
- pigment - none at roots, gradually increases to between light medium and medium, diminishes at tip
- medulla - very characteristic 
- dia visibly > than 44659 A1 

abrupt cut-off (all 3 hairs)

44659

A-1
(1)

- reddish brown hair ~4cm long
- root 
- pigment - none at root, gradually increases to medium density, diminishes at tip
- medulla - continuous ~~throughout~~, fragmented, extends to tip



- can not link these; may just need a larger population of hairs

26-9 33

COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO
SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT
CRIME LABORATORY

LF 44659

1331C36-07

September 30, 1985
REPORT ON THE EXAMINATION OF PHYSICAL EVIDENCE

To: Gene Rogers, Captain
Specialized Detective Division
San Bernardino Sheriff's Department

Attention: Sergeant D. Baker

Following is the report of the Crime Laboratory giving the results of the examinations conducted on evidence received from your office. This examination has been made with the understanding that the report will be used for official purposes only.

FRANK BLAND, Sheriff

by *Anthony Longhetti*
Anthony Longhetti / 10-7-85
Laboratory Director

Requesting Agency	SBSD/Specialized Detective Division	Victim	Cobb, Rita
Type of Investigation	Homicide (01)	Suspect(s)	----

Evidence and Source:

On September 23, 1985, the Laboratory (Criminalist D. Jones and D. Stockwell) collected the following:

Item A: Physical evidence from 35435 Highway 18, Lucerne Valley:

- A-1: vacuum sweepings from entry to bedroom
- A-2: clothing and towels, east side of bed
- A-3: panties, east side of bed
- A-4: vacuum sweepings, east side of bed
- A-5: vacuum sweepings, south and west side of bed
- A-6: tapelift, left arm
- A-7: tapelift, left leg
- A-8: tapelift, right leg
- A-9: tapelift, right arm
- A-10: tapelift, torso
- A-11: vaginal swabs
- A-12: smear of vaginal swabs
- A-13: blue pillow, north corner of bed
- A-14: blue pillow, under head
- A-15: watch band pin on bed

26-10

32

SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT

County of San Bernardino
California
CA 03600

DR#1331036-07
REPORT AREA

121

196

SECTION 187		CRIME MURDER	CLASSIFICATION STRANGULATION
VICTIM'S NAME - LAST NAME COBB, RITA		FIRST NAME	MIDDLE NAME (FIRM NAME IF BUSINESS)
ADDRESS	<input type="checkbox"/> RESIDENCE	<input type="checkbox"/> BUSINESS	PHONE ()

S U P P L E M E N T A L

CONTACT WITH DARRYL KRAEMER: (son of victim)

At approximately 1500 hours, Monday, 9-15-86, I made telephone contact with Mr. DARRYL KRAEMER, son of the victim RITA COBB. I asked Mr. KRAEMER if he would be available to come to the Victorville Station where I could process a set of latent fingerprints from him for further investigative leads and for elimination purposes.

At approximately 1000 hours, Tuesday, 9-16-86, Mr. KRAEMER came to the Victorville Sheriff's Station. At that time I took two latent print cards and one palm card from Mr. KRAEMER'S hands. I then asked Mr. KRAEMER if he had any objections to giving me a blood sample for further evidentiary value. He stated he did not and we then proceeded to St. Mary's Urgent Care Center where Sex Kit #006619 was used to obtain a blood sample, drawn by LVN SERRRY FONHAM at approximately 1135 hours, this date.

IT SHOULD ALSO BE NOTED that during the period we were at St. Mary's Urgent Care Center and just prior to the blood being drawn by LVN FONHAM, I took a saliva sample using two cotton swabs found in the Sex Kit #006619 to attain a saliva sample from Mr. KRAEMER'S mouth.

It was during the collection of this evidence that we, again, asked Mr. KRAEMER what he had heard about his mother's death. He stated the following.

GIBBS HAD RELATIONSHIP WITH COBB AND KILLED HIS WIFE

INTERVIEW WITH DARRYL KRAEMER:

EXACTLY AS COBB AND GIBBS WAS PRESUMABLY

Mr. KRAEMER said that approximately June of 1986 he had heard from PHYLLIS, a bartender at the Zodiac Lounge, that a white, male known only as PETERSON, had come in and told her that another individual, known only as GIBBS, believed to be MERYL GIBBS, had told him (PETERSON) that he had killed a woman approximately two to three months prior to GIBBS' death. PETERSON then told Phyllis that GIBBS had committed suicide after he had killed his wife and possible GIBBS was responsible for the death of RITA COBB. He also stated that perhaps the other items ought to be checked out and we asked what he was


speaking of and he stated the residence directly across the street from his mother's home, on Highway 18 in Lucerne Valley, is occupied by a family identified as the STONES. The owner, DAN STONE, had a son described as a white, male adult, 32 years of age, 200 pounds living at that residence at the time of his mother's death and within a couple of days after the death Mr. STONES son left the area. Mr. KRAEMER thought this was unusual and asked that this be investigated also.

27-1

REPORTING OFFICERS P. DEPUTY, K-0822	DATE	REVIEWED BY	TYPED BY JEANIE/10-16-86	ROUTED BY	DATE
ACTION: YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>	COPIES TO: <input type="checkbox"/> Detective <input type="checkbox"/> Dist. Atty.	<input type="checkbox"/> SD/PD <input type="checkbox"/> CII <input type="checkbox"/> Patrol	<input type="checkbox"/> Other <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other	REMARKS HII 3 +57	

KRAMER SUSPECT AFTER 1 YEAR

EXHIBIT COVER PAGE



Description of this exhibit:

Number of Pages to this exhibit: _____ Pages.

JURISDICTION: (Check One Only)

- MUNICIPAL COURT
- SUPERIOR COURT
- APPELATE COURT
- STATE SUPREME COURT
- UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
- STATE CIRCUIT COURT
- UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT
- GRAND JURY

SHIFF'S DEPARTMENT
 County of San Bernardino
 California
 CA 03600

DR 1331036-07

REPORT AREA

SECTION P.C. 187	CRIME MURDER	CLASSIFICATION	
VICTIM'S NAME - LAST NAME COBB,		FIRST NAME RITA	MIDDLE NAME (FIRM NAME IF BUSINESS)
ADDRESS 35435 Hwy. 18, Lucerne Valley		<input type="checkbox"/> RESIDENCE <input type="checkbox"/> BUSINESS	PHONE ()

NEIGHBORHOOD CONTACT:

FLAGG, Diane
 DOB: 10/13/58
 Residence address: 7570 Fairlane Ave., Lucerne
 Residence phone: 248-7818

Upon contact with Ms. Flagg at her residence, on 9/26/85, at approximately 1410 hrs., Ms. Flagg told me that on the previous Friday (9/20/85), between the hours of 5:00 and 6:00 p.m., she observed a WMA, described as being approximately 5'10-6" tall, average build, dark hair, with a beard and mustache and a large tattoo on his left arm, wearing blue jeans, hitchhiking along Highway 18 in the direction of Big Bear. Ms. Flagg said when she had seen the subject, he was in the general vicinity of Ms. Cobb's house. Ms. Flagg stated that the only other information she would have would be on either Friday or Saturday, 9/20 or 9/21, she had observed several cars parked in front of Ms. Cobb's house and the only cars that she remembers was a silver Pinto and Ms. Cobb's Cadillac.

Ms. Flagg could offer no further information and the interview was concluded.

REPORTING OFFICERS MC COY, Det. M-1384	DATE 9/27/85	REVIEWED BY	TYPED BY pk K-1179	ROUTED BY	DATE
ACTION: <input type="checkbox"/> NO	COPIES TO: <input type="checkbox"/> Detective <input type="checkbox"/> Dist. Atty.	<input type="checkbox"/> SD/PD <input type="checkbox"/> CII <input type="checkbox"/> Patrol	<input type="checkbox"/> Other <input type="checkbox"/> Other	REMARKS (28-1) 27	

SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT
 COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO
 CALIFORNIA
 CA 03600

Page 1

CASE NO.

DR #1331036-07

REPORT AREA

H #100-85

SECTION PC 187		CRIME MURDER	CLASSIFICATION FELONY
VICTIM'S NAME - LAST NAME COBB	FIRST NAME Rita	MIDDLE NAME	(FIRM NAME IF BUSINESS)
ADDRESS	RESIDENCE	PHONE	

FORD PINTO: Possible suspect vehicle

Information: On September 26, 1985, Det. McCoy spoke with Diane Flagg. Diane told McCoy she recalled seeing a silver colored Ford Pinto parked at Rita Cobb's home on Friday (09-20-85) or Saturday (09-21-85). There was no additional information in the supplemental.

- Refer to Neighborhood Contact dated 09-27-1985 by Det. McCoy

On January 29, 2009, Det. Myler queried DMV Records on vehicles registered to John H. Yablonsky. DMV Records have John H. Yablonsky as owning a 1978 Ford Pinto with California license plate #2DYD884 and VIN #8T11Y139413 assigned to the vehicle.

On February 02, 2009, I contacted SanCat, Auto Theft Task force, and requested they run the VIN through NCIB (Insurance data base) for information regarding the vehicle. The VIN was entered into the NCIB Data Base with the following result:

- 1978 Ford Pinto, 2-door, Hatchback unknown color. Additionally, there was no record of the vehicle being involved in a collision or being salvaged/scraped.

I contacted California DMA and asked for a Certified History of VIN #8T11Y139413. I spoke with "Kathy" who told me the vehicle was last registered in 1988 to John Yablonsky and there was no further record(s) for this VIN. She told me the records were too old and there was no additional information.

I contacted Dep. Sahagun of the Lucerne Valley Station and asked that he drive to 9884 Trade Post Rd. in Lucerne Valley. This address is the last known address of George Yablonsky the father of John Yablonsky. Sahagun did as requested and confirmed George still lives at that residence. Sahagun also told me he did not see a Ford Pinto on the property but there was shed and a barn on the property. It is possible the Ford Pinto is in the shed or barn.

REPORTING OFFICER DET. R. ALEXANDER A-1672	DATE 02-02-2009	REVIEWED BY <i>[Signature]</i>	TYPED BY	ROUTED BY	DATE
OTHER ACTION: <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO	COPIES TO: <input type="checkbox"/> Detective <input type="checkbox"/> Dist. Ally.	<input type="checkbox"/> Other <input type="checkbox"/> CII <input type="checkbox"/> Patrol	<input type="checkbox"/> SD/PD <input type="checkbox"/> Other	REMARKS <i>(28-2)</i> <i>27</i>	

5184-401 Rev. 1/83

INTERVIEW WITH JOHN YABLONSKY

DR #1331036-07 / H #1985-100

(v) Rita Cobb

- 1 GM: Cause I think there is something in there about a Pinto?
2 JY: Yeah, I did as a matter of fact I had bought a Pinto from (inaudible).
3 GM: What kinda, what year, what color. . . .
4 JY: It was a blue Pinto. Yeah, it was a so she could a have transportation.
5 RA: Light blue? Dark blue?
6 JY: Blue, dark blue.
7 RA: Dark blue? Good car? Bad car?
8 JY: Well she kept the car you know but she didn't have a license she didn't know how
9 to drive you know it was. . . .
10 RA: I don't think I've seen a Pinto in years.
11 JY: Me neither you know it's been a long time.
12 RA: Their not around anymore.
13 JY: I don't know we split up and I sold it.
14 RA: She didn't take it with her.
15 JY: No she still didn't have a license.
16 RA: So you get, you still have that vehicle when you guys split up?
17 JY: Uh-huh.
18 RA: Wow, that's a long time. So are you, are you a mechanic you
19 JY: Yeah.
20 RA: work on cars too? (overlapping conversation) Let me throw a couple of names uh,
21 do you know Terry Radar (sp?)? She is someone that we should picked up we
22 could go talk to?
23 JY: Is it a lady?
24 RA: I don't know. How about Carol Tevass (sp?)? No? Ok, Daniel Cook?
25 GM: Wanna show him the photo's maybe, are you a face person or name person?
26 JY: I'm a face person.
27
28

28-3

27

EXHIBIT COVER PAGE



Description of this exhibit:

Number of Pages to this exhibit: _____ Pages.

JURISDICTION: (Check One Only)

- MUNICIPAL COURT
- SUPERIOR COURT
- APPELLATE COURT
- STATE SUPREME COURT
- UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
- STATE CIRCUIT COURT
- UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT
- GRAND JURY

San Bernardino Sheriff's Department
 Identification/Latent Print Section

68 SEP 25 PM 3:51

Case Number: 1331036-07

Agency: VICTORVILLE
 Result Date: 09-09-88
 Agent:
 Victim: COBB, RITA
 Offense: PC 187
 Suspect: MALLAN, LLOYD J. 10/26/43
 Assigned: CORNS

08/09/88 Assigned L. G. Corns

At the request of case agents McPhail and Palacios, this case was reviewed and the results are listed below:

There are twenty-nine photographs of latent prints. Twenty-eight are not suitable for comparison. One is a palm latent and is not computer quality. This latent was compared to the submitted palm prints of the below listed subjects with negative results.

There are fourteen latent lifts. The results are listed below:

<u>PERSONS COMPARED</u>	<u>ROLLED BY</u>	<u>DATE</u>	<u>DOB</u>
1. COBB, Rita	Moody (SBSB)	Victim	N/A
2. SAUNDERS, Joe	Baty (SBSB)	09/24/85	N/A
3. MALLAN, Lloyd	N/A	03/15/83	10/26/43
4. SIMBACH, Richard D.	Bellnap(SBSB)	09/25/85	N/A
5. GAY, Howard A.	Hawaii PD	05/09/86	01/01/43
6. BACKHOFF, William R.	Rercaff	09/26/85	N/A

<u>BOOKING #</u>	<u>CAL-ID #</u>	<u>PALMS</u>
1. N/A	N/A	N/A
2. N/A	N/A	N/A
3. N/A	N/A	N/A
4. 722173	N/A	YES
5. N/A	N/A	YES
6. N/A	36147584	N/A

LATENT #1: This latent was lifted by Deputy Moody on 09/23/85, from "Tall, clear drinking glass, left side of counter kitchen". This latent was compared to the #1 or right thumb of victim COBB and was a positive comparison.

LATENT #2&3: These latents were not suitable for comparison.

LATENT #4: This latent was lifted by Deputy Moody on

29-1
 C-1
 25

09/23/85, from "Tall drinking glass, clear, left side of counter top". This latent was compared to the #1 or right thumb of victim COEB and was a positive comparison.

LATENT #5: This latent was lifted by Deputy Moody on 09/23/85, from "Red flowered drinking glass, left side of counter top, kitchen". This latent contained three images marked A, B, & C.

IMAGE A: This image was compared to the #2 or right index finger of victim COEB and was a positive comparison.

IMAGE B: This image was compared to the #8 or the left middle finger of subject SAUNDERS and was a positive comparison.

IMAGE C: This image does not contain enough minute ridge characteristics in order to make an identification.

LATENT #6: This latent was lifted by Deputy Moody on 09/23/85, from "Red flowered drinking glass, left side of counter top, kitchen". This latent contained three images marked A, B, & C.

IMAGE A: This image was compared to the #6 or left thumb of subject SAUNDERS and was a positive comparison.

IMAGE B&C: These images do not contain enough minute ridge characteristics in order to make an identification.

LATENTS 7-13: These latents were lifted by Deputy Moody on 09/23/85 and (glasses) on 09/27/85. These latents do not contain enough minute ridge characteristics in order to make an identification.

LATENT #14: This latent was lifted by Deputy Moody on 09/23/85, from "Red flowered drinking glass, left side counter top, kitchen". This latent was compared to the #7 or left index finger of subject SAUNDERS, Joe, and was a positive comparison.

All comparisons were checked and verified by Forensic Specialist R. Luna. Evidence retained in Identification/Latent section files.

LGC/kc

330

APPENDIX 19

29-2

C2

25

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT DISTRICT, DESERT DIVISION

COUNTY of SAN BERNARDINO, STATE of CALIFORNIA

ARREST WARRANT

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, TO ANY PEACE OFFICER OF SAID STATE: proof by accompanying and incorporated affidavit having been made before me by Robert B. Alexander, I find probable cause to believe that the therein described criminal offense(s) was/were committed by the below named and described person. Wherefore, you are commanded forthwith to arrest said person and bring said person before any magistrate in San Bernardino County, or in lieu thereof, you may release said person from custody prior to the time limitations of Penal Codes Section 825 without bail or appearance before a magistrate. The arrestee may also be released in bail in the amount of:

\$1,000,00

THIS WARRANT MAY BE EXECUTED ANYTIME OF THE DAY OR NIGHT, AS APPROVED BY MY INITIALS:

W

PERSON TO BE ARRESTED

Name John Henry Yablonsky DOB 09-30-1963

Sex M Race Wht Hgt 6'00 Wgt 200 Hair Blnd Eye Hzl

Scar/marks/tattoos: TT on right shoulder / arm of a devil with flames

Aka(s): John H. Tablonsky, Joseph A. Yablonsky, Timothy M. Lange,

Other information:: _____

Residence: 1700 E. Silva St. Long Beach, Ca. 90807


Vehicle: Lisc Plates: 6T36088, 2JMY214, 4YQV126, 3SQG777, 3F32651

AT (30-2) Q1 (K2)

The affidavit in support of this arrest warrant is incorporated herein and was sworn to and subscribed before me this 04th day of March, 2009 at 9:40 am/pm.

Wherefore, I find probable cause to the issuance of this warrant and do so issue it.

(signature of Judge)



ERIC M. ABAKATA

(printed name of Judge)

Issued pursuant to People vs. Ramey (1976) 16C3d263, 127CR629; People vs. Case (1980) 105CA3d826, 164CR662; also see People vs. Bittaker (1989) 48c3d1045, June 1989.

WAS INTERROGATED
MARCH 8, 2009 3 1/2 HOURS
WITHOUT MIRANDA

AZ (30-3)

2
Q1

K3

**SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT
COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO
CALIFORNIA
CA 03600**

CASE NO.
DR #1331036-07

H #100-85

REPORT AREA

Page 1

SECTION PC 187(a)		CRIME MURDER	CLASSIFICATION
VICTIM'S NAME - LAST NAME		FIRST NAME	MIDDLE NAME
		(FIRM NAME IF BUSINESS)	
ADDRESS	RESIDENCE		PHONE

SEARCH WARRANT

On March 8, 2009 I assisted Detectives MYLER and ALEXANDER with the service of a search warrant at 1700 East Silva Street in Long Beach.

INVOLVED PERSONNEL

- ① DET BRIAN ZEIGLER
- ② DET SCOTT CANNON
- ③ DET JASON REDELEFF
- ④ DET TREVIS NEWPORT

THIS IS WRONG I MET THEM ON DRIVE WAY

SERVICE OF SEARCH WARRANT

On March 8, 2009 at about 1109 hours, we served a search warrant at the location. The initial contact was digitally recorded and downloaded to the case file.

We knocked on the front door at the residence. Melody WITTENBERG, John YABLONSKI'S wife answered the door and allowed us inside. I gave WITTENBERG a copy of the search warrant and asked her, her elderly mother and WITTENBERG'S daughter, Helena WITTENBERG to sit at the kitchen table while we searched the location. At the conclusion, I gave a search warrant receipt

SEARCH

I assigned each detective a room to search and instructed them to identify each item of evidence to me so I could collect it. Detective CANNON photographed the items collected. I assigned each room a "series number" to assist with identifying the items we collected. I collected the items and later turned them over to Detectives MYLER and ALEXANDER.

SERIES 100- LOVING ROOM/FAMILY ROOM

Detective RADELEFF searched this room and found nothing of evidentiary value.

REPORTING OFFICER DET B. ZEIGLER #Z0096	DATE 030909	REVIEWED BY <i>FM</i>	TYPED BY	ROUTED BY	DATE
OTHER ACTION: <input type="checkbox"/> NO	COPIES TO: <input type="checkbox"/> Detective <input type="checkbox"/> Dist. Atty.	<input type="checkbox"/> Other <input type="checkbox"/> SD/PD <input type="checkbox"/> Other <input type="checkbox"/> Patrol	REMARKS		

34-401 Rev. 1/83

A4 (30-4) - 8 @ 1 (K4)

**SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT
COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO
CALIFORNIA
CA 03600**

CASE NO.
DR #1331036-07

H #100-85

REPORT AREA

Page 2

SECTION PC 187(a)	CRIME MURDER	CLASSIFICATION	
VICTIM'S NAME - LAST NAME	FIRST NAME	MIDDLE NAME	(FIRM NAME IF BUSINESS)
ADDRESS	RESIDENCE	PHONE	

SERIES 200- SOUTHEAST BEDROOM

This room belonged to WITTENBERG'S elderly mother (owner of the house) and Sara WITTENBERG. Detective NEWPORT searched this room and found nothing of evidentiary value.

SERIES 300- GUEST BATHROOM, EAST SIDE OF RESIDENCE

I searched this room and found nothing of evidentiary value.

SERIES 400- KITCHEN

This room was not searched.

SERIES 500- COMPUTER ROOM LOCATED ON THE EAST SIDE OF THE RESIDENCE

Detective CANNON searched this room.

501- Dell computer hard drive (serial # 00043467574835) located on top of a desk on the west wall.

SERIES 600- MASTER BEDROOM, LOCATED ON THE SOUTHWEST PORTION OF THE RESIDENCE

The master bedroom belongs to John YABLONSKI and Melody WITTENBERG. Detective CANNON and I searched this room.

601- Marriage license for YABLONSKI and WITTENBERG (photographed but not collected), located in the top, left hand dresser drawer on the west wall of the room.

602- (4) Compact discs- "My pictures", "Dec 2007", "Photos from Alicia and Nicole" and a blank "TDK" brand. I located these items in the top left hand dresser drawer on the west wall of the room.

REPORTING OFFICER DET B. ZEIGLER #Z0096	DATE 030909	REVIEWED BY FM	TYPED BY	ROUTED BY	DATE
OTHER ACTION: <input type="checkbox"/> NO	COPIES TO: <input type="checkbox"/> Detective <input type="checkbox"/> Dist Atty.	<input type="checkbox"/> Other <input type="checkbox"/> SD/PD <input type="checkbox"/> Other <input type="checkbox"/> Patrol	REMARKS 9		

401 Rev. 1/83

AS (30-501) (25)

**SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT
COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO
CALIFORNIA
CA 03600**

CASE NO.
DR #1331036-07

H #100-85

REPORT AREA

Page 3

ACTION PC 187(a)		CRIME MURDER	CLASSIFICATION	
VICTIM'S NAME - LAST NAME		FIRST NAME	MIDDLE NAME	(FIRM NAME IF BUSINESS)
ADDRESS			RESIDENCE	PHONE

SERIES 600- MASTER BEDROOM, LOCATED ON THE SOUTHWEST PORTION OF THE RESIDENCE, CONTINUED

603- Sony MP120 video cassette. I located the tape in the bottom drawer of a nightstand on the northeast area of the room, near the closet.

604- Marriott Long Beach bill for John YABLONSKI dated 111608. I located this item in the bottom drawer of a nightstand on the northeast area of the room, near the closet.

605- "Dragon Fire" handheld taser. Detective CANNON located this item in the right hand side in the bottom drawer of a dresser located on the northeast wall.

606- (2) compact discs- "Gipsy Kings" and an "imation" brand. Detective CANNON located these items inside a wicker basket on the floor on the northeast corner of the room, next to the dresser.

SERIES 700- UPSTAIRS BEDROOMS/TV ROOM

The room upstairs on the south side belonged to Helena WITTENBERG and the room on the east side belonged to Brianna YABLONSKI. Detective NEWPORT searched these rooms and found nothing of evidentiary value.

SERIES 800- GARAGE

Detectives NEWPORT and RADELEFF searched the garage and found nothing of evidentiary value.

SERIES 900-TAN SHED IN THE BACK YARD

Detectives RADELEFF and NEWPORT searched the shed and found nothing of evidentiary value.

REPORTING OFFICER DET B. ZEIGLER #Z0096	DATE 030909	REVIEWED BY EM	TYPED BY	ROUTED BY	DATE
FURTHER ACTION: <input type="checkbox"/> NO		COPIES TO: <input type="checkbox"/> Detective <input type="checkbox"/> Dist. Atty.	<input type="checkbox"/> Other <input type="checkbox"/> SD/PD <input type="checkbox"/> Other	REMARKS TO PH K6	

94-401 Rev. 1/83

AG (30-6) PH K6

SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT
 COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO
 CALIFORNIA
 CA 03600

CASE NO.
 DR #1331036-07

H.#100-85

REPORT AREA

Page 4

SECTION PC 187(a)		CRIME MURDER		CLASSIFICATION	
VICTIM'S NAME - LAST NAME		FIRST NAME	MIDDLE NAME	(FIRM NAME IF BUSINESS)	
ADDRESS			RESIDENCE	PHONE	

SERIES 1000- WHITE SHED IN THE BACK YARD

Detectives RADELEFF and NEWPORT searched the shed and found nothing of evidentiary value. 3 4

SERIES 1100- RED/WHITE CHEVROLET PANEL TRUCK 6F64737

The vehicle belonged to John YABLONSKI. Detective RADELEFF searched the truck. 3

1101- Yellow Kodak brand disposable camera located inside a file folder on the floorboard behind the passenger seat.

SERIES 1200-WHITE FORD EXPEDITION 4YQV126

I searched this vehicle and found nothing of evidentiary value.

REPORTING OFFICER DET B. ZEIGLER #Z0096	DATE 030909	REVIEWED BY [Signature]	TYPED BY	ROUTED BY	DATE
FURTHER ACTION: <input type="checkbox"/> NO	COPIES TO: <input type="checkbox"/> Detective <input type="checkbox"/> Disl. Atty.	<input type="checkbox"/> Other <input type="checkbox"/> CII <input type="checkbox"/> Patrol	<input type="checkbox"/> SD/PPD <input type="checkbox"/> Other	REMARKS	

34-401 Rev. 1/83

A7 (30-7) (K)

EXHIBIT

L

13 PAGES

MARKED
BEFORE + AFTER

COMPARISON
STATEMENTS
RELEASED
BEFORE TRIAL
V.
AFTER TRIAL

EXHIBIT COVER PAGE

31

EXHIBIT

Description of this exhibit:

Number of Pages to this exhibit: _____ Pages.

JURISDICTION: (Check One Only)

- MUNICIPAL COURT
- SUPERIOR COURT
- APPELLATE COURT
- STATE SUPREME COURT
- UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
- STATE CIRCUIT COURT
- UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT
- GRAND JURY

SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT
 COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO
 CALIFORNIA
 CA 03600

Page 1

CASE NO.
 DR #1331306-07
 H #100-85
 REPORT AREA
 Lucerne Valley, Ca.

112
 187

CODE SECTION PC 187	CRIME MURDER	CLASSIFICATION Stabbing
VICTIM'S NAME - LAST NAME Cobb	FIRST NAME Rita	MIDDLE NAME (FIRM NAME IF BUSINESS)
ADDRESS	RESIDENCE	PHONE

CONTACT: Victim #1 El Paso Texas Report #01-21045L

Information: During the investigation I ran a criminal history on John Yablonski and found he had two prior arrests for rape. The first arrest occurred in 1982, in El Paso Texas. I contacted the El Paso Police Department and obtained a copy of the police report. The second arrest occurred in 1996, in Long Beach California. I contacted the Long Beach Police Department and obtained a copy of the police report.

John was arrested for other crimes such as drugs and burglary and I attempted to obtain a copy of the burglary reports but found the reporting agency(s) had purged the reports.

Contact: After obtaining the rape reports I used police computer programs to search and locate the victims listed in each report.

ALEXANDER DOCTORED EVIDENCE AND USED ON STAND

On November 17, 2010, I spoke with Victim #1 via telephone and did not record the conversation. I explained I worked for the San Bernardino County Sheriff's Department, Cold Case Unit and was trying to locate Victim #1 who lived in El Paso, Texas in 1982. Additionally, I was looking for someone who reported to the El Paso Police Department she was the victim of a sexual crime.

Victim #1 confirmed she was the person I was looking for. She worked at a bar in El Paso, Texas and was raped by a man while at work. We did not discuss the rape report or the facts of the rape report.

I explained to Victim #1 the person who sexually violated her had been arrested for committing a murder in Lucerne Valley and was currently in jail awaiting trial. We talked for a few minutes then Victim #1 told me she had to go to work and wanted me to contact her later. She requested I have a Korean interpreter with me the next time I called her. She said many years had passed since the incident she was involved in and would feel more comfortable talking to me in her native language.

At approximately 1430 hours, I receive a telephone call from Det. Richard Kim of the Federal Way Police Department who is fluent in Korean. Richard said Victim #1 was in his

WARRS ARE AGENCY TRANSCRIPTS DET. ALEXANDER HAS PROVEN HISTORY OF ALTERING EVIDENCE AND LYING ON STAND ABOUT EVIDENCE, ALL CALLS ARE RECORDED THROUGH AGENCY PHONE SYSTEM, WARRS ARE THEIR TRANSCRIPTS IT IS BELIEVED THIS DOCUMENT LIKS OTHERS BY ALEXANDER WAS BOLSTARED FOR INFORMATION

REPORTING OFFICER ALEXANDER	#A1672	DATE 11-18-2010	REVIEWED BY	TYPED BY	ROUTED BY	DATE
OTHER ACTION: <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO	COPIES TO: <input type="checkbox"/> Detective <input type="checkbox"/> Dist. Atty.	<input type="checkbox"/> Other <input type="checkbox"/> CII <input type="checkbox"/> Patrol	<input type="checkbox"/> SD/PD <input type="checkbox"/> Other	REMARKS (31-1)		

THE LADY THAT CAME OUT OF BAR CRYING, SAYING I COMMITTED THIS ACT WAS NOT THE HOOKER I PAID THE \$20 TO, WHILE HER PIMP WANTED 40

113
188

SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT
COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO
CALIFORNIA
CA 03600

Page 2

CASE NO.
DR #1331306-07
H #100-85
REPORT AREA
Lucerne Valley, Ca.

CODE SECTION PC 187	CRIME MURDER	CLASSIFICATION Stabbing
VICTIM'S NAME - LAST NAME Cobb	FIRST NAME Rita	MIDDLE NAME (FIRM NAME IF BUSINESS)
ADDRESS	RESIDENCE	PHONE

office and wanted him to contact me on her behalf. Richard placed the telephone on speaker phone then translated to Victim #1 was we spoke. I could hear the conversation but could not understand Korean.

I told Richard during trial we would like to have victims of other sexual crimes committed by her assailant testify in court regarding what happened to them. Richard translated to Victim #1 who said she understood and was willing to assist us if needed. I told Richard the trial could happen soon or be many months away.

After obtaining Victim #1's current contact information, I ended the conversation.

DET. ALEXANDER HAS HISTORY OF ALTERING EVIDENCE IN THIS CASE AND LYING ON STAND ABOVE EVIDENCE.

REPORTING OFFICER ALEXANDER	#A1672	DATE 11-18-2010	REVIEWED BY	TYPED BY	ROUTED BY	DATE
OTHER ACTION: <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO	COPIES TO: <input type="checkbox"/> Detective <input type="checkbox"/> Disl. Atty.	<input type="checkbox"/> Other <input type="checkbox"/> CII <input type="checkbox"/> Patrol	<input type="checkbox"/> SD/PA <input type="checkbox"/> Other	REMARKS (31-2)		

EL PASO POLICE DEPARTMENT

SHERIFF'S OFFICE WARRANT REFILE NOTICE

TO: EL PASO COUNTY SHERIFF'S OFFICE
Attn: Warrant Division

DATE: _____

FROM: _____

CASE NO: _____

THE ATTACHED ARREST WARRANT, NO. _____ ISSUED BY JUSTICE OF THE PEACE
_____ CHARGING THE BELOW NAMED DEFENDANT WITH THE OFFENSE
LISTED BELOW HAS BEEN REVIEWED AND ACCEPTED BY THE INDICATED PROSECUTING AGENCY, AND IS
A REFILE CASE. THIS ARREST WARRANT IS TO REPLACE THE WARRANT PREVIOUSLY ISSUED AND
DETAILED IN THE MAGISTRATE WARRANT CANCELLATION NOTICE BELOW. PLEASE TAKE THE APPROPRIATE
ACTION.

DEFENDANT: _____

DATE FILED: _____

CHARGE: _____

PROSECUTING AGENCY: _____

MAGISTRATE WARRANT CANCELLATION NOTICE

TO JUDGE: Millard

DATE: November 3, 1982

FROM: Det. E. Placencia, Transfer Officer, #17

CASE NO: 01-21045L

THE INDIVIDUAL LISTED BELOW WAS CHARGED IN YOUR COURT ON THE DATE SHOWN FOR THE
CHARGE INDICATED BELOW. SINCE THEN ACTION HAS BEEN TAKEN ON THE CASE INVOLVING THIS
INDIVIDUAL. AT THIS TIME IT IS NECESSARY TO DISMISS YOUR WARRANT FOR THE REASON LISTED
BELOW. PLEASE INFORM THE COUNTY JAIL OF YOUR CANCELLATION SO THEY CAN CLEAR THEIR RECORDS.

DEFENDANT: John Henry Yablonsky

DATE FILED: July 16, 1982

YOUR WARRANT NO. M82-3985

PROSECUTING AGENCY: DA

CHARGE: Aggravated Rape

REASON FOR DISMISSAL: DECLINED

(Declined or Refiled)

Original - TO LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCY ONLY
Yellow - CERTAIN ELEMENTS OF THIS CASE ARE SUBJECT
TO NON-PROSECUTION IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE
Goldenrod - TEXAS SHERIFF'S OFFICE
Pink - Case

Sgt. S. Apodaca
DETAIL SUPERVISOR

541-4120

PHONE NUMBER: _____

EP/mb #C-132

11.9.82
(31-3)

H10

(38)

EL PASO POLICE DEPARTMENT

MULTI-PURPOSE

class F

SUPPLEMENTARY REPORT I.D. & R. Offense Aggravated Rape Case No. 01-21045L
 SWORN SUPPLEMENT -5 NOV 1982 10 41 Complainant Kye Sun Delgado
 WITNESS STATEMENT 1501 Avalon #G Address

This STATEMENT was given voluntarily to _____
 of the EL PASO POLICE DEPARTMENT by _____
 Address _____ D.O.B. _____ Phone No. _____
 TIME 11:20 AM DATE November 3, 1982 PAGE 1 OF 1 PAGES

JOHN HENRY YABLONSKY
 DOB: 9-30-63
 DOCKET #11117
 WARRANT #M32-3985 (Judge Millard)
 IN CUSTODY

Case was presented to Lane Reedman, (DA's Office) and was DECLINED.

REASON:

Code 1
 See case information sheet.

Original warrant was dismissed.

Case was returned to Sgt. S. Apodaca, for final disposition.

Det. E. Placencia, #17

EP/mb #C-132

THIS OFFENSE IS DECLARED
 UNFOUNDED
 CLEARED BY ARREST
 EXCEPTIONALLY CLEARED
 INACTIVE (NOT CLEARED)

Subscribed and sworn to before me,
 the undersigned authority, on this _____
 day of _____ 19 _____

TO LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCY ONLY
 CERTAIN ELEMENTS OF THIS CASE ARE SUBJECT
 TO NONDISCLOSURE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE
 TEXAS OPEN RECORDS ACT.
 RECORDS CONFIDENTIAL BY LAW.
 DATE: _____ BY: _____ TO: _____

38
 31-4
 AA
 E.P.P.D. #36-11-031

SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT
 COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO
 CALIFORNIA
 CA 03600

Page 1

CASE NO.
 DR #1331306-07
 H #100-85
 REPORT AREA
 Lucerne Valley, Ca.

CODE SECTION PC 187	CRIME MURDER	CLASSIFICATION Stabbing
VICTIM'S NAME - LAST NAME Cobb	FIRST NAME Rita	MIDDLE NAME (FIRM NAME IF BUSINESS)
ADDRESS	RESIDENCE	PHONE

CONTACT: Victim #1 Long Beach Police Department, report #960239715

Information: During the investigation I ran a criminal history on John Yablonski and found he had two prior arrests for rape. The first arrest occurred in 1982, in El Paso Texas. I contacted the El Paso Police Department and obtained a copy of the police report. The second arrest occurred in 1996, in Long Beach California. I contacted the Long Beach Police Department and obtained a copy of the police report.

John was arrested for other crimes such as drugs and burglary and I attempted to obtain a copy of the burglary reports but found the reporting agency(s) had purged the reports.

Contact: After obtaining the rape reports I used police computer programs to search and locate the victims listed in each report.

On November 17, 2010, I spoke with Victim #1 via telephone and did not record the conversation. I explained I worked for the San Bernardino County Sheriff's Department, Cold Case Unit and was trying to locate Victim #1 who lived in Long Beach in the mid 1990's. Additionally, I was looking for someone who reported to the Long Beach Police Department she was the victim of a sexual crime.

Victim #1 confirmed she was the person I was looking for. She briefly dated a man named John Yablonski and broke off the relationship because he was violent and using drugs. We did not discuss the rape report or the facts of the rape report. I explained John Yablonski had been arrested for committing a murder in Lucerne Valley and was currently in jail awaiting trial.

I told Victim #1 during trial we would like to have victims of other sexual crimes committed by Yablonski, testify in court regarding what happened to them. Victim #1 said she understood and was willing to assist us if needed. I told her the trial could happen soon or be many months away.

After obtaining her current contact information, I ended the conversation.

WHERE ARE RECORDED TRANSCRIPTS
 DET. ALEXANDER HAS HISTORY OF ALTERING EVIDENCE AND LYING

REPORTING OFFICER ALEXANDER	#A1672	DATE 11-18-2010	REVIEWED BY	TYPED BY	ROUTED BY	DATE
HER ACTION: <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO	COPIES TO: <input type="checkbox"/> Detective <input type="checkbox"/> Dist. Atty.	<input type="checkbox"/> Other <input type="checkbox"/> CII <input type="checkbox"/> Patrol	<input type="checkbox"/> SD/PD <input type="checkbox"/> Other	REMARKS (31-5)		

ON THE STAND, ALL AGENCY CALLS ARE RECORDED THROUGH AGENCY PHONE SYSTEM, WHERE ARE THESE RECORDS. IT IS BELIEVED THAT THIS DOCUMENT WAS

THIS WAS MY EX FINANCE, I JUST MOVED OUT THAT DAY BEFORE

THIS WAS NEVER FILED, DISMISSED BY INVESTIGATOR AND A PERMANENT RESTRAINING ORDER WAS GIVEN TO YABLONSKI AGAINST HIM AND THROUGH COURTS, NO ATTEMPT TO RETRIEVE THIS DATA WAS MADE BY SANDERS. I TOLD HIM THEY DON'T

109

BOOKING NO. 012593	LOC BKD. 1000	DR LIC. NO. 111012007	STATE CA	Investigator - forward to Records			
ARRESTEE'S NAME (LAST, FIRST, MIDDLE) HENRY JOHN HENRY				ADDITIONAL AKA			
ADDRESS 3131 E 7TH				CITY LONG BEACH	STATE CA	ZIP 90800	
SEX M	DESC. M	HAIR BLN	EYES HAZ	HEIGHT 500	WEIGHT 175	BIRTHDATE 08-30-43	AGE 374
VEH. LIC. NO.		STATE	RPT. DIST.	AKA/POKNAME		ADDITIONAL CRS TO BE CLEARED	
BIRTHPLACE CALIF		D.R. NO. 9A03K9715		AD. CHG.		VICTIM	
AG'Y. OR DETAIL ARRESTING 1041		DATE & TIME ARRESTED 10-24-96 2030		TIME BKD. 2200			
LOCATION OF ARREST 5081 CHERRY				TOTAL BAIL 50000.00			
CHARGE 261(A)(2)PC F RAPE BY FORCE/FE				WARR. COMM. NO.			

LAW ENFORCEMENT ARREST DISPOSITION CODES

COMPLAINT FILED	CASE # _____	DATE ISSUED _____	BY DEPUTY _____
10 FELONY FILED	CHGS.: #1) _____		<input type="checkbox"/>
20 MISDEMEANOR FILED BY DEPARTMENT; ARRESTEE ORIGINALLY ARRESTED FOR FELONY	#2) _____		<input type="checkbox"/>
21 MISDEMEANOR FILED, DISTRICT ATTORNEY DENIED FELONY COMPLAINT.	#3) _____		<input type="checkbox"/>
22 MISDEMEANOR FILED.			
RELEASED DATE	10-25-96 RNF		
DISTRICT ATTORNEY DENIED COMPLAINT			
70 DIMINUS (Insufficient) QUANTITY OF EVIDENCE	61 ARRESTEE EXONERATED.		
71 LACK OF CORPUS.	62 INSUFFICIENT EVIDENCE		
72 DEFENDANT MENTALLY UNFIT FOR PROSECUTION	63 VICTIM OR COMPLAINANT REFUSES TO PROSECUTE		
73 LACK OF PROBABLE CAUSE	64 DEFENDANT DECEASED.		
75 INTEREST OF JUSTICE	JUVENILE CODES		
76 VICTIM UNAVAILABLE OR UNCOOPERATIVE	51 HANDLED WITHIN DEPARTMENT.		
80 WITNESS UNAVAILABLE OR UNCOOPERATIVE.	52 DIVERSION.		
84 ALLEGED VIOLATION OF RIGHTS:	53 TURNED OVER TO PROBATION DEPARTMENT.		
87 OTHER DISCRETIONARY REFUSAL TO PROSECUTE	54 NON-DETAINED OR RELEASED PETITION FILED.		
88 DEFENDANT SERVING SENTENCE ON PREVIOUS CONVICTION	55 DETAINED PETITION FILED.		
89 DEFENDANT ON TRIAL FOR MORE SERIOUS OFFENSE	57 EXONERATED & RELEASED.		
90 DEFENDANT CANNOT BE EXTRADITED.	58 RELEASED - INSUFFICIENT EVIDENCE.		
91 DEFENDANT CANNOT BE LOCATED OR IS OTHERWISE UNAVAILABLE	59 RELEASED TO ANOTHER LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCY FOR FURTHER INVESTIGATION OR ACTION.		
92 DEFENDANT NECESSARILY GRANTED IMMUNITY; TESTIMONY NECESSARY.			
PROS. REJ. BY DEPUTY _____	DATE _____		

446808

CASE RE-ASSIGNED TO _____

NARRATIVE
 VICT. REFUSES TO PROSECUTE + SIGNED A WAIVER ON 10-25-96. ABOVE SUBJ. WAS RELEASED, RNF'd m - 10-25-96.

SUSPECT _____ NO LONGER WANTED

OK TO RELEASE/DESTROY PROPERTY, TAG # _____

INVESTIGATOR: R. WATSON DETAIL: SXC DATE: 10/30/96

STATES: CLEARED BY ARREST (COMPLAINT MUST BE FILED) SUSPENDED DISPOSITION LETTER

OTHER MEANS (REJECTION/WAIVER) UNFOUNDED

ORIGINAL TO RECORDS: COPIES TO PROPERTY OFFICER Aslake. 15334

H6
37

ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name and Address):

JOHN YABLONSKY
3131 E. 7th St. #1
L.B. CA 90804

TELEPHONE NO.:

(310) 432-1940

FOR COURT USE ONLY

FILED
LOS ANGELES SUPERIOR COURT

DEC 18 1996

JOHN A. CLARKE, CLERK

K. Lane
BY K. LANE, DEPUTY
PETITIONER/PLAINTIFF

- RESPONDENT/DEFENDANT
- PETITIONER/PLAINTIFF
- RESPONDENT/DEFENDANT

ATTORNEY FOR (Name):

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF

STREET ADDRESS: 415 W. OCEAN BLVD.

MAILING ADDRESS: ROOM 401

CITY AND ZIP CODE: LONG BEACH, CA 90802

BRANCH NAME: SOUTH

PERSON TO BE PROTECTED: JOHN YABLONSKY

PERSON TO BE RESTRAINED: LORI AMARO

RESTRAINING ORDER AFTER HEARING (CLETS)
(Domestic Violence Prevention)

CASE NUMBER:

ND026697

This form may be used in conjunction with the Findings and Order After Hearing form (rule 1296.31) if the court makes additional orders.

1. This proceeding was heard on (date): 12-13-96 at (time): 8:30 AM in Dept.: R Room: by judge (name): Frederick Chamberlen Temporary Judge
2. a. Petitioner/plaintiff and respondent/defendant were personally present at the court hearing. No additional proof of service of these restraining orders is required.
- b. Petitioner/plaintiff was personally present and proof of service of the Order to Show Cause was provided.

THE COURT FINDS

3. a. The restrained person is (name): LORI AMARO

Sex: M F Hgt: 5-4 Wt: 116 Hair Color: BRN Eye Color: BRZ Race: CAUC Age: 32 Date of birth: 11-11-64

b. The protected person is (name): John Yablonsky

c. The protected family and household members are (names): ~~LORI AMARO~~ Joseph, Kenneth & Briana Yablonsky

THE COURT ORDERS

THIS ORDER, EXCEPT FOR ANY AWARD OF CHILD CUSTODY OR VISITATION, SHALL EXPIRE AT MIDNIGHT ON (date): 12-17-96 12-17-96

4. The restrained person *(?)*
 - a. shall not contact, molest, attack, strike, threaten, sexually assault, batter, telephone, or otherwise disturb the peace of the protected person and family or household members.
 - b. shall stay at least 100 yards away from the protected persons and
 - (1) protected person's residence
 - (2) protected person's workplace
 - (3) protected person's children's school or child care
 - (4) other (specify): except for AA club located at 5661 Cherry in L.B.
 - c. shall immediately move from (address): and take only personal clothing and effects.

- Taking or concealing a child in violation of this order may be a felony and punishable by confinement in state prison, a fine, or both.
- Any person subject to a restraining order is prohibited from obtaining or purchasing or attempting to purchase a firearm by Penal Code section 12027. Such conduct may be a felony and punishable by a \$1,000 fine and imprisonment.
- Other violations of this order may also be felonies punishable by fines or imprisonment, or both.

(Continued on reverse)

Form Adopted by Rule 1296.29
Judicial Council of California
1296.29 (Rev. January 1, 1994)

RESTRAINING ORDER AFTER HEARING (CLETS)
(Domestic Violence Prevention)

1296.29

Family Code, § 6200 et seq.

RD109

31-7

EXHIBIT

H7
(37)

PERSON TO BE PROTECTED (Name) JOHN VADLOVSKY

CASE NUMBER

PERSON TO BE RESTRAINED (Name) CERI AMARO

ND026697

6 a. Custody of the minor children.

Child's name	Physical custody is awarded to (Specify name of parent or "joint")	Legal custody is awarded to (Specify name of parent or "joint")
(1)		
(2)		
(3)		
(4)		
(5)		
(6)		

b. Parent without physical custody (name):

- (1) shall not have visitation
- (2) shall have visitation as follows (specify times and conditions, if any):

6. Fees for service of this order by law enforcement agencies are waived.

7. By the close of judicial business on the date of this order, a copy of this order shall be delivered by the protected person to the law enforcement agency having jurisdiction over the residence of the protected person, who shall provide information to assist in identifying the restrained person. Proof of service of this order on the restrained person shall also be provided to the law enforcement agency unless the order shows the restrained person was present in court. The law enforcement agency having jurisdiction over the plaintiff's residence is (name and address of agency):

8. A copy of this order shall be given to the additional law enforcement agencies listed below as follows:
(1) Person to be protected shall deliver. (2) Person to be protected's attorney shall deliver.
(3) The clerk of the court shall mail.

Law enforcement agency Address


Long Beach PD

9. Other orders (specify): Defendant shall permit plaintiff to claim his personal property from Defendant's home upon 3 days notice

Date: 12-18-96

JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
Frederick Chamberlen Pro Tem

This order is effective when made. It is enforceable anywhere in California by any law enforcement agency that has received the order, is shown a copy of it, or has verified its existence on the California Law Enforcement Telecommunications System (CLETS). If proof of service on the restrained person has not been received, and the restrained person was not present at the court hearing, the law enforcement agency shall advise the restrained person of the terms of the order and then shall enforce it.



CLERK'S CERTIFICATE

I certify that the foregoing Restraining Order After Hearing (CLETS) is a true and correct copy of the original on file in the court.

Date: Clerk, by Deputy

31-8
H8
EXHIBIT
(37)

EXHIBIT COVER PAGE

32

EXHIBIT

Description of this exhibit:

Number of Pages to this exhibit: _____ Pages.

JURISDICTION: (Check One Only)

- MUNICIPAL COURT
- SUPERIOR COURT
- APPELLATE COURT
- STATE SUPREME COURT
- UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
- STATE CIRCUIT COURT
- UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT
- GRAND JURY

COLD CASE: DNA matched crime

FROM PAGE 1

tion without a license and he got into it with one of his customers," said Detective Rob Alexander, who is assigned to the San Bernardino County Sheriff's Homicide Cold Case team. "Once he was arrested, they realized he was a convicted felon but had never submitted DNA, so they took a sample at that time."

Using DNA extracted from Cobb's sex kit in 2008, investigators were able to make a positive match using the Combined DNA Index System.

"I knew his father," Kraemer said of Yablonsky.

In September 1985, a couple went to Cobb's home in search of her. But they were not prepared for what they were about to discover: her nude body in a bedroom of her home.

"I didn't even realize she was murdered at first," Daryl Kraemer said in a previous interview with the Daily Press. "Then my wife — she's the one who saw that this was a murder. I blocked

out of it. When I saw it, I blocked it all out. I just went out in front of the house screaming."

At the time of Cobb's murder, DNA science was in infancy and still developing. As DNA technology progressed, it afforded investigators the opportunity to solve cases that had gone cold.

"It's always been the hope the evidence collected at the time of the crime, with assistance of new technology, will be able to bring more information to cold case investigations," Thomas said in an earlier interview.

The Kraemers feel that the arrest of Yablonsky is not only good news for them, but for others who are struggling through the unsolved death of a loved one.

"It gives them hope," Marta Kraemer said.

Yablonsky, a convicted felon, was arrested on Sunday and is to be arraigned today for murder.

BY BEATRIZ VALENZUELA
STAFF WRITER

LUCERNE VALLEY • After two painful decades of not knowing, Daryl Kraemer finally has the answers he's been searching for: the name of the man who murdered his mother 24 years ago.


"Today is a good day,"

Kraemer said more than 24 hours after receiving a call that the man who brutally sexually assaulted and murdered his mother, Rita M. Cobb, had been arrested on Sunday.

John Henry Yablonsky of Long Beach was identified as Cobb's killer when officials matched his DNA with

CRIMINALS


MURDER SUSPECT



John Henry Yablonsky

"It's a nice feeling to be able to arrest this man, especially for the family."

JOHN THOMAS • ASSISTANT DISTRICT ATTORNEY



JAMES QUIGG; DAILY PRESS
is murdered mother, Rita Cobb, in the background. John Yablonsky of Cobb.

Lucerne Valley woman's murder

DNA discovered at the murder scene, San Bernardino County Sheriff's officials said on Monday.

"It's a nice feeling to be able to arrest this man, especially for the family," said San Bernardino County Assistant District Attorney John Thomas, who is in charge of cold cases in the

High Desert.

Yablonsky, who previously rented a back house on Cobb's Lucerne Valley property, was arrested in October 2008 in Long Beach for disturbing the peace, officials said.

"He was working construc-

SEE COLD CASE • PAGE 6

to even at the time they rear because they were related to unusually long when compared "People became much

(32-1)

WZ

BEFORE TRIAL

RELIGION

JUSTICE: Detective credits original investigators' work

FROM PAGE 1

Department Homicide Cold Case team. "Once he was arrested, they realized he was a convicted felon but had never submitted DNA, so they took a sample at that time."

Using DNA extracted from Cobb's sex kit in 2003, investigators were able to make a positive match using the Combined DNA Index System.

On Monday, Sept. 23, 1985, Kraemer and his wife Marta, discovered Cobb's nude body in a bedroom of her home.

"By the positioning of the body it appeared that Rita had been sexually assaulted," said Arden Wiltshire, spokeswoman for the Sheriff's Department.

Authorities worked tirelessly to try to catch the murderer at the time, but Alexander said they just hit too many dead-ends. He estimates that dozens of people were questioned and some were made to submit blood samples, but Yablonsky was not one of them.

Yablonsky, roughly 22-years-old at the time had already moved out of then-55-year-

old Rita's home and he was never considered a suspect. Kraemer hired a private investigator at one point, Alexander said, and that man did actually interview Yablonsky about Cobb's murder.

"He must not have gotten anything he thought was substantial, because he never contacted the Sheriff's Department to let them know anything," Alexander said.

At the time of Cobb's murder, DNA science was



YABLONSKY

in infancy and still developing. As the science progressed and evolved it afforded investigators the opportunity to solve cases that had gone cold.

"They didn't have the technology to do anything with it, but they knew to save it as evidence," Alexander said about the DNA the original investigators collected. He said that of all the cold cases he's worked the original legwork was most evident and most comprehensive in this case.

The Kraemers feel that


the arrest of Yablonsky is not only good news for them, but for others who are struggling through the unsolved death of a loved one.

"It gives them hope," Marta Kraemer said.

The same cold case team solved a second 20-year-old murder, the same week.

Yablonsky, a convicted felon, was arrested on Sunday and is to be arraigned today for murder.


Katherine Rosenberg can be reached at 964-9057 or by e-mail at krosenberg@vvdailypress.com.




Daryl Kraemer is seen with a photograph of his mother. Kraemer's wife Marta said the arrest will give people hope.

32-2

W3



Rita Cobb



John Henry Yablonsky

It's always been the hope the evidence collected at the time of the crime, with assistance of new technology, will be able to bring more information to cold case investigations.

John Thomas
San Bernardino County District Attorney

1985 murder case finally in court

BY PATRICK HATCHER AND
BEATRIZ E. VALENZUELA
STAFF WRITERS

VICTORVILLE • The family of Rita Cobb is one step closer to seeing justice done, after a judge ordered the man charged with killing her in Lucerne Valley nearly a quarter-century ago to stand

John Henry Yablonsky, 46, years ago inside her Lucerne of Long Beach was arrested. Valley home. Her nude body in March after law enforcement officials matched his DNA with evidence at the murder scene, according to San Bernardino County District Attorney John Thomas. Yablonsky pleaded not guilty to the charges. Prosecutors allege that

John Henry Yablonsky (left) about gathering evidence including DNA — and preserving it. At the time of Cobb's murder, DNA science was in infancy and still developing. As DNA technology progressed, it afforded investigators the opportunity to solve cases that had gone cold.


MicroSoft to take on Google

SAN FRANCISCO • Microsoft firm, predicted, chose to surrender control of the Internet's second most popular search engine and join in a daunting battle — taking on the over-whelming dominance of Google in the online advertising market. C6

SPORTS

In the clubhouse

ADELANTO • Tommy Shimura makes a look at High School baseball. Tommy Shimura, the pitcher, is the subject of this feature. C1



FEATURES

Water exercise

There is no better place to exercise those 50 and older joints than in a swimming pool. D1

COMING TOMORROW

Beat the heat at Lake Gregory

CRESTLINE • Relax on the sandy beach or take the plunge down the water slide. C6

33-3

24

COLD CASES: DNA matched crime

FROM PAGE 1

tion without a license and he got into it with one of his customers," said Detective Rob Alexander, who is assigned to the San Bernardino County Sheriff's Homicide Cold Case team. "Once he was arrested, they realized he was a convicted felon but had never submitted DNA, so they took a sample at that time."

Using DNA extracted from Cobb's sex kit in 2003, investigators were able to make a positive match using the Combined DNA Index System.

"I knew his father," Kraemer said of Yablonsky.

In September 1985, the couple went to Cobb's home in search of her. But they were not prepared for what they were about to discover: her nude body in a bedroom of her home.

"I didn't even realize she was murdered at first," Dryle Kraemer said in a previous interview with the Daily Press. "Then my wife — she's the one who saw that this was a murder. I blacked



Rita Cobb

out of it. When I saw it, I blocked it all out. I just went out in a out of the house screaming."

At the time of Cobb's murder, DNA science was in infancy and still developing. As DNA technology

pro-gressed, it afforded investigators the tools they needed to solve cases that had gone cold.

"It's always been the hope the evidence collected as the time of the crime, with assistance of new technology, will be able to bring more information to cold case investigations," Thomas said in an earlier interview.

The Kraemers feel that the arrest of Yablonsky is not only good news for them, but for others who are struggling through the unsolved death of a loved one.

"It gives them hope," Marta Kraemer said. Yablonsky, a convicted felon, was arrested on Sunday and is to be arraigned today on a murder charge.

Greg Myler and Robert Alexander and Homicide car salesman, Thomas killing Gibson and a Reno

Washington state before the hard work past detective Long Beach, was arrested in March after law enforcement officials matched his DNA with evidence at the murder scene. The attack took place in Cobb's home in Lucerne Valley in 1985.

For the men of the team, which occasionally includes Ray Scott, Detective Ray Scott, solving these cases has become a calling.

"I have no intention or desire to stop doing what I'm doing right now," Thomas said.

Before 1985

FROM PAGE 1

GOLD CASES: Team has reviewed about 100 cases

killing his girlfriend in Washington state before the hard work past detective Long Beach, was arrested in March after law enforcement officials matched his DNA with evidence at the murder scene. The attack took place in Cobb's home in Lucerne Valley in 1985.

For the men of the team, which occasionally includes Ray Scott, Detective Ray Scott, solving these cases has become a calling.

"I have no intention or desire to stop doing what I'm doing right now," Thomas said.

Beatriz E. Valenzuela may be reached at 951-6276 or at Bva.valenzuela@VVDailyPress.com

Team finds justice years after crimes

Cold Case Unit makes a dozen arrests in 19 months

BY BEATRIZ E. VALENZUELA
STAFF WRITER

As time passes and family members begin to lose hope, four men step up and help find justice for those who've been killed years, sometimes decades ago.

In the 19 months or so since it was created, the San Bernardino County Sheriff's Department's Cold Case Unit has arrested and brought charges against people in 12 cases.

"I think it's a great thing for the victim's family to have some sense of justice," Assistant District Attorney John Thomas said. Thomas has been a part of the team since its inception in April 2008, along with homicide detectives

SEE COLD CASES • PAGE 5

2/7

10 CASES: Team has reviewed about 100 cases

FROM PAGE 1

Washington state before killing Gibson and a Reno car salesman, Thomas Reg Myler and Robert Alexander and Homicide One of the oldest cases the team has solved took place in 1981, when Tracy Dennis Gibson, 28, near Calico Ghost Town, was killed on a crime spree, Petrocelli made contact with Gibson and sentenced to life in prison. He was convicted and sentenced to life in prison in California, received death in the Reno case. Its unclear how Petrocelli was able to get Gibson to the location where he was killed or if he went willingly.

Investigators have learned Petrocelli was also responsible for Washington.

"It's a challenge to review these old cases," Montanez said. "It's really X Cobbs killer, John

Henry Yablonsky, 46, of Long Beach, was arrested in March after law enforcement officials matched his DNA with evidence at the murder scene. The attack took place in Cobbs' home in Lucerne Valley in 1985.

For the men on the team, which occasionally includes Homicide Detective Ray Scott, become a calling.

"I have no intention or desire to stop doing what I'm doing right now," Thomas said.

Beatriz E. Valenzuela may be reached at 951-6276 or at BValenzuela@VVDailyPress.com.

Team finds justice years after crimes

Cold Case Unit makes a dozen arrests in 19 months

BY BEATRIZ E. VALENZUELA
STAFF WRITER

As time passes and family members begin to lose hope, four men step up and help find justice for those who've been killed years, sometimes decades ago.

In the 19 months or so since it was created, the San Bernardino County Sheriff's Department's Cold Case Unit has arrested and brought charges against people in 12 cases.

"I think it's a great thing for the victim's family to have some sense of justice," Assistant District Attorney John Thomas said. "Thomas has been a part of the team since its inception in April 2008, along with homicide detectives

SEE COLD CASES • PAGE 5

W
6

BEFORE
TRIAL

33-5

JUSTICE AFTER 24 YEARS: COLD CASE MURDER SOLVED

Family feels justice: Son of slain woman calls it "a good day" when Long Beach man is arrested

BY KATHERINE ROSENBERG
AND
BEATRIZ E. VALENZUELA
STAFF WRITERS

LUCERNE VALLEY • After nearly 25 years of not knowing, Daryl Kraemer finally has the answers he's been searching for. He now knows who murdered his mother 24 years ago in her Lucerne Valley home.

"Today is a good day," Kraemer said less than 24 hours after receiving a call at the man who brutally and murderously assaulted and murdered his mother, Rita M. Cobb, had been arrested on

Sunday.

John Henry Yablonsky of Long Beach was identified as Cobb's killer when officials matched his DNA with DNA discovered at the murder scene, San Bernardino County Sheriff's officials said on Monday.

"It's a nice feeling to be able to arrest this man, especially for the family," Assistant District Attorney



John Thomas, who is in charge of cold cases in the High Desert region of San Bernardino County.

Yablonsky, who previously rented a back house on Cobb's property, was arrested in October 2008 in Long Beach for disturbing the peace, officials said.

"He was working construction without a license and he got into it with one of his customers," said Detective Rob Alexander, who is assigned to the San Bernardino County Sheriff's

SEE JUSTICE • RELIGION 6

LYMAN ARRESTED FOR MURDER

BY CHARLES NGUYEN
STAFF WRITER

More than two decades after John Eller was strangled to death and left on a dirt road intersection in Newberry Springs, a letter sent to county detectives led to the recent arrest of a man they believe is responsible.

SEE ARREST • EDUCATION 5

MORE NEWS

Man arrested in cold case pleads not guilty

The man arrested for the cold case murder of Rita M. Cobb 24 years ago in Lucerne Valley pleaded not guilty during his arraignment Tuesday afternoon in John Henry Yablonsky, 46, was arrested in Long Beach in October 2008 for disturbing the peace, according to sheriff's officials.

He was formally charged on Tuesday with the murder after his DNA was positively matched with DNA from the crime scene.

Deputy District Attorney John Thomas, who is in charge of the cold case unit for the High Desert, said Yablonsky currently faces one count of murder but other special circumstances could be added to the charge. Bail was set at \$1 million.

336

w7

Study: DNA collected from non-violent offenses help solve cold cases

BY TOMOYA SHIMURA
STAFF WRITER

SACRAMENTO • DNA collected in non-violent offenses is helping authorities crack cold cases involving serious crimes such as rape, murder and robbery, according to a study.

In 78 percent of the matches to an unsolved violent crime, DNA was collected from adults arrested for non-violent offenses such as fraud, drug or property crimes, the study by the California Attorney General's office revealed.

DNA testing led to the arrest of John Henry Yablonsky of Long Beach who is now charged with

the 1985 murder of Rita Cob at her Lucerne Valley home.

Los Angeles County Sheriff's deputies arrested Yablonsky for an unrelated misdemeanor, and when they realized he was a convicted felon but never submitted DNA, they took a sample. His DNA matched with evidence at the murder scene.

Since the 1990s, California law enforcement officers have collected DNA of serious felony offenses. But Proposition 69, which took effect in January 2009, expanded the database as authorities now collect DNA at the time

of the arrest for any felony offense.

The study by the Attorney General's office surveyed 69 DNA matches to violent felonies made over 15 months since Proposition 69 took place.

But it didn't prove the effectiveness of collecting samples at the time of arrest compared to doing that after conviction. Michelle Quinn, a spokeswoman for the Attorney General's office, said the study didn't analyze whether the arrestees were later convicted or not.

Tomoya Shimura may be reached at tshimura@VVDailyPress.com or (760) 955-5368.

Mon June 21, 2010

Front Page

33-7

WS

TRIAL STARTS FOR 1985 L.V. MURDER

BY TOMOYA SHIMURA
STAFF WRITER

VICTORVILLE • Daryl Kraemer walked inside his mother's Lucerne Valley home and smelled "something dead," almost like a septic tank, in an unusually hot house.

It was a quiet September morning except for the blaring music from a radio.

Kraemer looked inside a bedroom, and there he saw his 55-year-old mother, decomposing and naked on her bed. He cried and screamed and ran out of the house. Looking up at the sky, he yelled, "Why?"

Kraemer relived that moment more than 25 years later on Monday as he testified in the jury trial of John Yablonsky, who is accused

of strangling Rita M. Cobb to death with a wire coat hanger.

"I just went crazy in shock," Kraemer told jurors about discovering his dead mother on Sept. 23, 1985. Across from him in a Victorville courtroom sat the 47-year-old defendant dressed in a gray suit with a shaved head and glasses, diligently taking notes.

Yablonsky is charged with murder and special circumstances of rape or attempted rape. If convicted, he could face life in prison without parole.

Yablonsky wasn't arrested until March 2009, when San Bernardino County Sheriff's officials matched his DNA with evidence discovered at

SEE MURDER • PAGE 3

Wednesday, January 26, 2011 | PAGE 3

MURDER

FROM PAGE 1

the murder scene. The investigation took so long because DNA science was still in its infancy in 1985.

During the interview with detectives, Yablonsky recognized Cobb in a photo lineup. He and his family used to rent Cobb's back house until they moved out about a year before her death. Yablonsky, 21 years old at the time, still lived close to Cobb's house in the 35400 block of Highway 18.

But Yablonsky denied ever having an intimate

relationship with the victim. His attorney, Deputy Public Defender David Sanders, reserved his opening statement for later in the trial.

Investigators found no signs of robbery at the crime scene. Cobb had her jewelry on and her purse was in plain sight.

Kraemer said when he first saw his mother's body, he had no idea she had been murdered. He thought she killed herself because she had been so lonely and despondent.

"I don't believe I ever touched her body," Kraemer said. "I wanted to reach out to her and hold her but I just couldn't do it."

MY ATTORNEY WON'T ASK QUESTIONS

I HAD TO FORCE HIM TO ASK VIRTUALLY EVERY QUESTION AND STILL HE REFUSED TO CHALLENGE THE PERJURING WITNESSES

THE BODY WAS MOVED SETLORMENT STAYS THIS ZEVITY

PHOTOS

THE COPS STAYED IN THE A SINGLE PIC OF RITA NO LINEUP AND JUST BECAUSE I RECOGNIZED HER DOESN'T MEANS I KILLED HER

(338)

W9

EXHIBIT COVER PAGE

33

EXHIBIT

Description of this exhibit:

Number of Pages to this exhibit: _____ Pages.

JURISDICTION: (Check One Only)

- MUNICIPAL COURT
- SUPERIOR COURT
- APPELLATE COURT
- STATE SUPREME COURT
- UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
- STATE CIRCUIT COURT
- UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT
- GRAND JURY

COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO
VICTORVILLE DISTRICT
MINUTE CLERK

CASE NO: 04191818

DATE: 10/21/10

CASE TITLE: PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
vs.
JOHN HENRY YABLONSKY

DEPT: 02 04 10:11 TIME: 8:35 AM PPE-TRIAL

CHARGES: 186(A), PC-F

*FLYERS
MAILED
10-21-10*

JUDGE JOHN M. TOMBERLIN

Clerk: Vickie Lo Vasco

Certified Court Reporter: Shawna Manning; CSP# 10887

Deputy District Attorney JOHN THOMAS present.

Deputy Public Defender DAVE SANDERS present

Defendant present in custody.

PROCEEDINGS

Action case on for Pretrial

STIPULATED Motion TO SET TRIAL DATES IS GRANTED.

HEARINGS

Pretrial set for 07/12/2010 at 9:00 in Department 02;
Estimated 1 day.

Business calendar set for 07/09/2010 at 8:35 in Department 02.

Pretrial set for 08/11/2010 at 8:35 in Department 02.

Defendant ordered to appear on hearing date.

TIME WARNINGS

Set Last Date for Trial on 07/12/2010.

Time warned for trial; plus 60 days.

CRIMINAL STATUS

Case Status - In Custody

===== MINUTE CLERK END =====

APPLX 2.

Handwritten signatures and initials:
1B1
33-10
A1
10

111
116

Share Report Abuse Next Blog

Create Blog Sign In

PO Box 1577
Lucern Valley 92356

Bob Conaway for San Bernardino District Attorney -2010

PO Box 865, Barstow, CA. 92312 - FPPC 1325511

Home

Wednesday, May 19, 2010

Ramos in a political flyer (for political gain?) exploits a man the system presumes innocent!!



6:21 PM | Posted by BobConawayforDA

Today, most of San Bernardino County voters received a color flyer with John Yablonsky's name on it with the words "IT'S NEVER A COLD CASE" and on the other side "GANGBUSTER". Ramos slides to new lows by not only bragging about a conviction rate of roughly 60% (when most major counties have an 85-90% conviction rate) and bragging about his having waited almost his entire term before filing for a getting an anti-gang injunction (curiously timed to just before the election), but he now taints the jury pool (voters are typically amongst the people that serve on juries) by putting the name and face of an accused (not yet convicted) alleged killer on one of his political fliers. I hope that Yablonsky, if he guilty, does not get off due to the advertising stunt of a desperate Mike Ramos anxious to find any reason to tell voters to vote for him. Why, I ask you, if his department has sent "over 3,000 gangbangers" to prison (which is barely over 60% of the people he charged—which means rough 2,000 gangbangers who committed crimes are back on the street doing their thing) did Ramos not use the names of people he succeeded in putting away as evidence of his success? Why pick a man that has the presumption of innocence, especially where the result might be to taint the jury pool. How far does Ramos go to win? Oh yeah, I forgot, Ramos is not bothered by the law.

Labels: [Bob Conaway for DA](#), [Bob Conaway for District Attorney](#), [John Yablonski](#)

0 comments:

Post a Comment

Comment as: Select profile...
Post Comment Preview

33-11

A10

28

POSTNET 510
U.S. POSTAGE
PAID
BX

IT'S NEVER A "COLD CASE"

"A case is never cold to the family of a murder victim. That's why I have worked with the Sheriff to start the Cold Case Unit. Using DNA evidence, we have filed murder charges in 19 cold cases. Twenty five years after the crime, Rita Cobb's family will have closure."


- Mike Ram6s
District Attorney

MAY 23
2010

*****ECLRL01**C005
Philip Zwyetel
17112 Century Plant Rd
Apple Valley, CA 92307-6942

2-3-2

Committee to Re Elect Mike Ramos San Bernardino County District Attorney 2010
P.O. Box 7790
Redlands, CA 92375
ID#9909332
www.RamosforDA.com




Philip Zwyetel
charged with murder in the 1985 slaying of Lucerne Valley mother
Rita Cobb - on trial this year by Mike Ram6s, Cold Case Unit

IT'S NEVER A "COLD CASE"

"A case is never cold to the family of a murder victim. That's why I have worked with the Sheriff to start the Cold Case Unit. Using DNA evidence, we have filed murder charges in 19 cold cases. Twenty five years after the crime, Rita Cobb's family will have closure."

Mike Ramos
District Attorney

John Henry Yablonsky
Charged with murder in the 1985 slaying of Lucerne Valley mother Rita M. Cobb - on trial this year by Mike Ramos' Cold Case Unit.



3 TO EVERY ADDRESS MAILED
LAST MAY 23, 2010
TRIAL SET JUNE 11, 2010

CalVCP is proud to recognize

CRIME VICTIMS' RIGHTS

Business. dignity. respect.

ONE 57446

CRIME VICTIMS SAY RE-ELECT DISTRICT ATTORNEY MIKE RAMOS

"Mike Ramos has delivered on his promise to assist crime victims. He has earned re-election and my full support."

Eleanor Rossi
Eleanor Rossi
parent of murdered child
San Bernardino County



Eleanor Rossi and Mike Ramos at last month's National Crime Victims' Rights Week

CRIME VICTIMS & LAW ENFORCEMENT AGREE RE-ELECT DISTRICT ATTORNEY MIKE RAMOS

"Your deputy sheriffs and law enforcement professionals are working hard to re-elect District Attorney Mike Ramos. He is a tough prosecutor with the highest integrity."

William Abernathie
William Abernathie
President, SEBA

- Law Enforcement Organizations
- California Police Chiefs Assn.
 - California Narcotic Officers' Association
 - California Coalition of Law Enforcement Organizations
 - Peace Officers Research Association of California (PORAC)
 - Barstow Police Officer's Association
 - Colton Police Officers Association
 - Fontana Police Officers Association
 - Montclair Police Officer's Association
 - Ontario Police Officers' Association, Inc.
 - Redlands Police Officers Association
 - Rialto Police Benefit Association
 - San Bdo. Co. District Attorney Investigators' Assoc.
 - San Bernardino County Police Chiefs and Sheriff Association
 - San Bernardino County Safety Employees' Benefit Association (SEBA)
 - Upland Police Officers' Association
 - California Statewide Law Enforcement Association

- Law Enforcement Officials
- San Bernardino County Sheriff, Rod Hoops
 - Riverside County Sheriff, Stanley Sniff
 - United States Marshal, Adam Torres
 - San Bernardino County Sheriff, Gary Penrod (ret.)
 - California Highway Patrol Chief, Jeff Talbot
 - Chino Police Chief, Stan Stewart
 - Colton Police Chief, Bob Miller
 - Fontana Police Chief, Rod Jones
 - Montclair Police Chief, Keith Jones
 - Ontario Police Chief, Jim Doyle
 - Palm Springs Police Chief, David Dominguez
 - Redlands Police Chief, Jim Bueermann
 - Rialto Police Chief, Mark Kling
 - San Bernardino County District Attorney Chief Investigator, Michael Smith
 - Upland Police Chief, Steve Adams



- California District Attorneys
- Los Angeles County District Attorney, Steve Cooley
 - Orange County District Attorney, Tony Rackauckas
 - San Diego County District Attorney, Bonnie Dumanis
 - Imperial County District Attorney, Gilbert Otero
 - Amador County District Attorney, Todd Riche
 - Colusa County District Attorney, John Poyner
 - Contra Costa County District Attorney, Robert Kochly
 - Napa County District Attorney, Gary Lieberstein
 - Ventura County District Attorney, Greg Totten

MAY 23 2010


33-13

A2

IT'S NEVER A "COLD CASE"

"A case is never cold to the family of a murder victim. That's why I have worked with the Sheriff to start the Cold Case Unit. Using DNA evidence, we have filed murder charges on 19 cold cases. Twenty five years after the crime, Rita Cobb's family will have closure."

— Mike Ramos
District Attorney



John Henry Yablonsky

Charged with murder in the 1985 slaying of Lucerne Valley mother Rita M. Cobb — on trial this year by Mike Ramos' Cold Case Unit.

Cal VCP is proud to recognize

CRIME VICTIMS' RIGHTS


Business. dignity. respect.

Cal VCP
California Victim Compensation Program

CRIME VICTIMS SAY RE-ELECT DISTRICT ATTORNEY MIKE RAMOS

"Mike Ramos has delivered on his promise to assist crime victims. He has earned re-election and my full support."

Eleanor Rossi
Eleanor Rossi
parent of murdered child
San Bernardino County



Eleanor Rossi and Mike Ramos at last month's National Crime Victims' Rights Week

CRIME VICTIMS & LAW ENFORCEMENT AGREE RE-ELECT DISTRICT ATTORNEY MIKE RAMOS

"Your deputy sheriffs and law enforcement professionals are working hard to re-elect District Attorney Mike Ramos. He is a tough prosecutor with the highest integrity."

William Abernathie

William Abernathie
President, SEBA



Law Enforcement Officials

- San Bernardino County Sheriff, Rod Hoops
- Riverside County Sheriff, Stanley Sniff
- United States Marshal, Adam Torres
- San Bernardino County Sheriff, Gary Penrod (ret.)
- California Highway Patrol Chief, Jeff Talbott
- Chino Police Chief, Stan Stewart
- Colton Police Chief, Bob Miller
- Fontana Police Chief, Rod Jones
- Montclair Police Chief, Keith Jones
- Ontario Police Chief, Jim Doyle
- Palm Springs Police Chief, David Dominguez
- Redlands Police Chief, Jim Bueermann
- Rialto Police Chief, Mark Kling
- San Bernardino County District Attorney Chief Investigator, Michael Smith
- Upland Police Chief, Steve Adams

California District Attorneys

- Los Angeles County District Attorney, Steve Cooley
- Orange County District Attorney, Tony Rackauckas
- San Diego County District Attorney, Bonnie Dumanis
- Imperial County District Attorney, Gilbert Otero
- Amador County District Attorney, Todd Riebe
- Colusa County District Attorney, John Poyner
- Contra Costa County District Attorney, Robert Kochly
- Napa County District Attorney, Gary Lieberstein
- Ventura County District Attorney, Greg Totten

3315

99

Law Enforcement Organizations

- California Police Chiefs Assn.
- California Narcotic Officers' Association
- California Coalition of Law Enforcement Organizations
- Peace Officers Research Association of California (PORAC)
- Marston Police Officer's Association
- Colton Police Officers Association
- Ontario Police Officers Association
- Montclair Police Officer's Association
- Ontario Police Officers' Association, Inc.
- Redlands Police Officers Association
- Police Benefit Association
- San Bernardino County Police Chiefs and Sheriff Association
- San Bernardino County Safety Employees' Benefit Association (SEBA)
- Upland Police Officers' Association
- California Statewide Law Enforcement Association

IT'S NEVER A "COLD CASE"

"A case is never cold to the family of a murder victim. That's why I have worked with the Sheriff to start the Cold Case Unit. Using DNA evidence, we have filed murder charges in 19 cold cases. Twenty five years after the crime, Rita Cobb's family will have closure."

— Mike Ramos
District Attorney

John Henry Yablonsky
Charged with murder in the 1985 slaying of Lucerne Valley mother Rita M. Cobb — on trial this year by Mike Ramos' Cold Case Unit.

1 OF 3 DIFFERENT PAGES

33/K


CalVCP is proud to recognize

CRIMINE VICTIMS' RIGHTS

fairness. dignity.

CRIMINE VICTIMS' RIGHTS ATTORNEY
MIKE RAMOS

"Mike Ramos has delivered on his promise to assist crime victims."



35

...ee to Re Elect Mike Ramos San
Jino County District Attorney - 2010
JX 7790
ands, CA 92375
990932

www.RamosforDA.com

*****ECRL0T**C095
Philip Zywiciel
17112 Century Plant Rd
Apple Valley CA 92307-6942

10F2.
(XY4)

PRESORT STD
U.S. POSTAGE
PAID
BC



THE SUN

San Bernardino County's Newspaper

May 11, 2010

EDITORIAL ENDORSEMENT

Ramos pursues crooks, corruption

"No county official has done more
to put an end to corruption in
San Bernardino County than District
Attorney Michael A. Ramos.

San Bernardino County residents have
been well served by District Attorney
Michael A. Ramos. Voters should
re-elect him on June 8."

Read the full Ramos endorsement editorial at www.sbsun.com/editorial/ci_15057527



District Attorney Mike Ramos
has been recognized by the
Governor and White House
for his work to crack down
on gang violence in
San Bernardino County

33-19

FOURMAGAZINES • ENDORSED

11

DF


132

IT'S NEVER A "COLD CASE"

"A case is never cold to the family of a murder victim. That's why I have worked with the Sheriff to start the Cold Case Unit. Using DNA evidence, we have filed murder charges in 19 cold cases. Twenty five years after the crime, Rita Cobb's family will have closure."

— Mike Ramos
District Attorney

PAID
US POSTAGE
30



1-572


SEARCHED
SERIALIZED
INDEXED
FILED
MAY 20 2008
FBI - MEMPHIS

Committee to Re Elect Mike Ramos San
Bernardino County District Attorney - 2010
P.O. Box 7739
Redlands, CA 92375
ID#990932
www.RamosforDA.com

13

2232

John Henry Jablonsky
Charged with murder in the 1985 slaying of Lucerne Valley mother
Rita M. Cobb - on trial this year by Mike Ramos' Cold Case Unit.



247
15

COURAGEOUS • ENDORSED

Rec May 26, 2010

Read the full Ramos endorsement editorial at www.sbsun.com/editorial/15057527

Read the full Ramos endorsement editorial at www.sbsun.com/editorial/15057527

re-elect him on June 8." Michael A. Ramos. Voters should San Bernardino County residents have been well served by District Attorney Attorney Michael A. Ramos. "No county official has done more to put an end to corruption in San Bernardino County than District Attorney Michael A. Ramos.

Ramos pursues crooks, corruption

EDITORIAL ENDORSEMENT

May 11, 2010

THE SUN
San Bernardino County's Newspaper

District Attorney Mike Ramos has been recognized by the Governor and White House for his work to crack down on gang violence in San Bernardino County



DISTRICT ATTORNEY

Mike Ramos

CLEANING UP COUNTY CORRUPTION

THE SUN
San Bernardino County's Newspaper

February 11, 2010
Joe Nelson, Staff Writer

Prosecutors allege biggest corruption scandal in San Bernardino County history

Prosecutors charged two former county officials with conspiracy, bribery and extortion on Wednesday in what was described as the "biggest corruption scandal in San Bernardino County's history."

"What is significant here is the most appalling corruption case in decades, certainly in the history of San Bernardino County and maybe California itself," [attorney general] Edmund G. "Jerry" Brown said at a news conference. "Individuals corrupted public office and were able to grab more than \$100 million of the taxpayers' money through the scheme."

3326



The San Bernardino Sun has called Mike Ramos' decision to "tackle corruption in the Assessor's Office and elsewhere" ... 'courageous'

RE-ELECT DISTRICT ATTORNEY MIKE RAMOS HE'S GOT THE COURAGE TO DO WHAT'S RIGHT

EXHIBIT COVER PAGE

34
EXHIBIT

Description if this exhibit:

Number of Pages to this exhibit: _____ Pages.

JURISDICTION: (Check One Only)

- MUNICIPAL COURT
- SUPERIOR COURT
- APPELATE COURT
- STATE SUPREME COURT
- UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
- STATE CIRCUIT COURT
- UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT
- GRAND JURY

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO
VICTORVILLE DISTRICT
MINUTE ORDER

CASE NO: FVI900518

DATE: 06/09/10

CASE TITLE: PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
vs.
JOHN HENRY YABLONSKY

DEPT: V2 06/09/10 TIME: 2:11 pm EX PARTE HEARING: RE LETTER RECEIVED

CHARGES: 1) 187(A) PC-F

JUDGE JOHN M TOMBERLIN
Clerk: Vickie Lo Vasco
Reporter NOT REPORTED
-

PROCEEDINGS

ACTION CAME ON FOR POST DISPO HEARING
-

COURT HAS READ AND CONSIDERED LETTER SUBMITTED
BY DEFENDANT REQUESTING THAT HE BE ALLOWED
TO ATTEND COURT HEARINGS REGARDING CIVIL COMPLAINT
AGAINST THE COUNTY.
-

DEFENDANT'S Motion TO ATTEND CIVIL COURT HEARINGS is GRANTED.
DEFENDANT TO BE TRANSPORTED FOR COURT APPEARANCES
-

DEFENDANT REQUESTS AN ORDER ALLOWING HIM TO USE
THE LAW LIBRARY AT WEST VALLEY DETENTION.
-

COURT GRANTS PRO PER PRIVILEGES ACCORDING TO
JAIL POLICY.
-

Clerk's Office to notify DEFENDANT.
(AND PROVIDE DEFENDANT WITH A COPY OF THIS
MINUTE ORDER)
-

CUSTODY STATUS

Case Custody - In Custody

===== MINUTE ORDER END =====

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO
Victorville District

REQUEST FOR FURTHER ACTION

Judge Tomberlin

Date 05/14/10

CASE NUMBER: FV19C0518
VIOLATION DATE: 09/20/85
CONVICTION DATE: 00/00/00 / SENTENCE DATE: 00/00/00
DEFT NAME: JOHN HENRY YABLONSKY

Plaintiff/Defendant Requests:

Please read attached correspondence
and advise of any action to be taken?

Thank You

Signed Jennifer O 38718
Deputy Clerk

COURT ORDER

Granted

Denied

Remarks

Pro per privileges per
joil policy. Transportation
for court appearances.

Date 6-9-10

Judge [Signature]

9/99 PFL

Minute order prepared by V. LoVasco 6-9-10
Clerk's office to send copy of mino to def. [unclear]

Copy sent 6-9-10 am

(34-1)

T2