John Henry Yablonsky CDCR#AL0373 18-147 480 Alta rd Sandiego,ca,92179

July 31, 2021

RE: DEMAND FOR ACCESS TO DISCOVERY PURSUANT TO P.C. § 1054.9 CASE #FVI900518 DR#1331036

Dear County District Attorney Anderson;

I am an inmate who was sentenced to life without the possibility of parole for a case which I am 100% innocent of. I have sought discovery from public defenders withlittle cooperation, and piece mealed access that forced less than transparent disclosure. (GOVERNMENT CODE § 6068(m) (BRADY V MARYLAND)

*300 pages from the 5400 pages before trial 2009 *1300 pages after trial and before direct appeal 2012 *1600 pages after state bar complaint 2014

It was the deadline issues outlined by AEDPA and other statutes that forced the filing of collateral attacks, "WITHOUT" the full discovery to this case. Since my first petition filed in 2012, facts have developed "MISCONDUCTS" by your staff then, and Michael Ramos team of "COLD CASE INVESTIGATORS" prior to trial.

I am presenting your office with this demand for access to the states files, because the "LESS THAN FULL DISCLOSED" files have been removed from my possession, and I intend on attacking the integrity of this conviction. It is my belief that the demand is in proper format and intelligible. Please cooperate.

I have served what I believe are the appropriate parties for these records, and expect your office to cooperate with these requests to bring reasonable facts to the courts attention that existed prior to trial, but for some reason (MISCONDUCT) were witheld.

I am not a lawyer, nor do I have funds to hire one, and would appreciate your patience, and professionalism. Please see the attached demand for discovery which is informal at this time.

Respectfully;

John Henry Yablonsky CDCR#AL0373 AN INNOCENT MAN WRONGLY CONVICTED!

14 pag-es

26

28

John Henry yablons by CDCR#AL0373 18-147 480 Alta rd Sandiego,ca,92179

July 30, 2021

cc; SanBernardion County District Attorney

cc: SanBernardion County Coroner

cc; SanBernardion Sheriff Department (EVIDENCE AND PROPERTY)

cc: SanBernardino Sheriff Department (SCIENTIFIC INVESTIGATIONS)

cc: SanBernardino Sheriff Department (PERSONNEL RECORDS)

cc; Cal-DNA Data base labratories

cc: Riverside Criminalistic Labratories

L.W.O.P. INMATE DEMAND FOR DISCOVERY PURSUANT TO P.C. § 1054.9/ CRIMINAL DISCOVERY ACT

RE: PEOPLE V JOHN HENRY YABLONSKY CASE #FVI900518
PURSUANT TO P.C. § 187 LIFE WITHOUT POSSIBILITY OF PAROLE SENTENCE

To Whom this concerns:

I am an inmate serving a life without parole sentence for a crime which I did not commit, and choose to collaterally attack the integrity of this travesty which resulted in a miscarriage of justice in 2011 when a panel of jurists did not know the facts within this request, which indicate innocence.

I sought full dosclosure of the states discovery through appointed counsel from the Victorville public defenders office. The appointed counsel deliberately, recklessly witheld 97% of the states files before the trial (5/00 pages) sabotaging my ability to participate in my defense.

- 1) On June 2009 300 pages were released from the 5400 pages within the evidence to this case. Counsel Sanders told me that was the states entire file. (he lied)
- 2) I was then given another 1300 pages, different than the first 300 pages by Mr Sanders and again told this was the remainder of the states files. (HE LIED)
- 3) In June 2014 after being convicted for a crime which I did not commit, filed state bar complaints against public defender David sanders demanding the states entire files. In July 2014 Sanders provided 1600 more pages, different than the first two releases, and still not the entire file, telling me "that was all there was to this case". (HE LIED ONCE MORE)

THERE ARE OVER 54000 PAGES TO THIS CASE!
ALONG WITH A COMPACT DISC OF AUDIO FROM AN INTERROGATION!

4

5 6

3 3

7

77

12

17

18

19

20 21

23

24

25 26

27

231

Pursuant to the CRIMINAL DISCOVERY ACT prosecutors as well as state related persons, agencies have a duty to produce records related to defendants cases. The ACT reaches beyond mere requests;

- 1) The production of any and all materials, informations the prosecuting attorrey actualy possesses
- 2) The productions of materials and informations the investigating agencies actually possess, and/ or knows they possess in another location
- 3) The productions of materials and information they do not know they possess
- 4) The materials and informations that prosecutors and /or investigating agencies have reasonable access to

These requests reach all relevant real evidence seized, obtained as part of the investigations for the charged offense. These disclosures are covered by the Supreme Courts ruling (BRADY V MARYLAND 373 US 83[1963)(P.C. § 1054.1) The disclosure shall include evidence favorable to the defense that could hurt the prosecutions case. (PEOPLE V EARP, 20 Cal.4th 826[1999]); (PEOPLE V MACIEL 57 Cal.4th 482[2013]) Evidence is favorable to the defense even if it is only minimal. (COMSTOCK V HUMPHRIES 786 f3d 701 [9th cir. 2015]) This duty to disclose includes "impeaching materials". (TURNER V UNITED STATES, 137 S.Ct. 1885[2017]);(STRICKLER V GREEN 527 US 263[1999])(SAME) (PEOPLE V WILLIAMS 58 Cal.4th 197[2013]); (PEOPLE V URIBE 162 Cal.app). 4th 1457[2008]) Evidnece is favorable to either guilt or penalty phase of the trial. (IN RE BACIGALUPO 55 cal.4th 312[2012])

The duties to preserve include;

1) "NOT TO DESTROY" (ARIZONA V YOUNGBLOOD 488 US 51[1988]) (CALIFORNIA V TROMBETTA 467 US 479 [1984[

The ehtical duties of the prosecutor include any electronically store information. (C.R.P.C. Rule 5-220)

These ethical cannons of the prosecutor require the prevention of lawyers from supressing any evidnece the prosecutor has ethical duties to reveal and produce. (RULE 3.4) (JONES V SUPERIOR COURT, 26 Cal.app.4th 92[1994]) It also prohibits lawyers from misleading behaviors. (RULE 3.4(b))(B&P§ 6068(d), 6106) (IN RE YOUNG 49 Cal.3d 257[1989]) These duties exceed beyond the constitutional command to provide charged defendants, exceeding statutory obligations, and have broader interpretations to include a matter of ethics during habeas corpus proceedings. (PEOPLE V GARCIA 17 cal.app.4th 1169[1993] (CITY OF LOS ANGELES V SUPERIOR COURT 29 cal.4th 1[2002])("THAT BRADY MATERIALS SHALL BE DISCLOSED AFTER TRIAL")(EMPHASIS ADDED)

These ethical cannons "shall" include material evidence that is favorable regardless of materiality. (CONE V BELL 556 US 449[2009]) In 2017 california amended it's rules of professional conduct to impose upon prosecutors duty to disclose "ALL FAVORABLE EVIDENCE" whether it tends to negate the guilt of the accused, mitigates the offense sentence, regardless of materiality.(C.R.P.C.RULE 3.8(d) Pursuant to P.C. § 1054.1 the prosecutor "shall" disclose to the defendant all of the following materials, information, if it is in the possession of the prosecuting attorney or the prosecuting attorney knows it to be in the possession of the investigating agencies;

- a) The names of all persons the prosecution intended on calling at trial.
- b) Statements of the defendant (INCLUDE ALL COPIES RECORDED
- c) The existance of all seized evidnece, or obtained as part of the investigations of the charged offense.
- d) The existance of any felony convictions of states witnes
- e) Any exculpatory evidnece (OR ITS LOCATIONS)
- f) Relevant written or recorded statments of witnesses, or reports whom the prosecutor intends to call upon.

 DEMAND FOR DISCOVERY- 3

Pursuant to P.C. § 1054.9 the prosecutor has an obligation to provide discovery to defendants whom have been sentenced to life without the possibility of parole. This access "shall" include "discovery materials" in the possession of the prosecuting attorney and law enforcement agencies, to include "all" agencies who performed investigatory services for the charged offense.

THERE IS GOOD CAUSE FOR THIS DEMAND

That Rita Mabel Cobb was strangled to death in her home on or about September 20, 1985, just after she had left a friends party a few hours earlier that Friday night. There were several suspects in these investigations since the charged offense, to include;

- 1) Robert Mark Edwards (SENTENCED TO DEATH FOR TYPED SERIAL)
- 2) Joseph Saunders (who was noted as one of the last persons to have seen Mrs Cobb alive on Friday September 20, 1985 when he arrvied at her home a) uninvited b) was never told where Mrs Cobb lived c) kept a journal about his alleged relationship with Mrs Cobb d) left his fingerprint at the scene of the crime e) had access to her home f) knowledge of Mrs Cobbs habits g) had knowledge of her home floor plan h) did not have an alibi)
- 3) Gregory Randolph (who is DNA was in a location where it was not suppose to be. Two days after the nurder he appreached SBSD in the Lucenne division telling deputies he heard they were looking for his help solving the murders when the deputies had requested his help. This set interests into Mr randolphs true intentions regarding this murder. Deputies collected cigarette butts left behing by Randolph for investigations. Mr Randolph stated it had been two weeks since he was last at the Cobb residence, when his vehicle had engine troubles. Mr Randolph some three y ears later made confessions about killing Mrs Cobb. (1988)

DEMAND FOR DISCOVERY-4

Mr Randolphs confession was made at a drinking party where his confession was interpreted as fact. After the aprty these party goers called SanBernardino WE-TIP organizations with details of Mr Randolphs confession at the party which included;

- ε) That he met Mrs Cobb at the Zodiac Lounge on Friday night. (THE SAME NIGHT SHE HAD BEEN KILLED)
- b) That they went to her house and got into an argument over Mrs Cobb being sexually turned off by Mr Randolph
- c.) That he strangled her to death over this argument, until she turned black
- d) That he raped her afterwards.

The WE-TIP confession led to special investigations by Detective carr from SBSD who initiated the evidences from the Cobb murder scene to being processed (FINALLY THREE YEARS AFTER THE CRIME SCENE EVIDENCE HAD BEEN COLLECTED) As a result of this confession, detectives arrested Mr Randolph for the murder of rita Mabel Cobb in August 1988. During this arrest Mr randolph revealed that he had been employed as a SanBernardino County Cornoer. Sheriff detectives later alerted Mr Randolphs behavior around female homicide victims as peculiar.

As a result of the evidneces not being processed right after collections from the murder scene, prosecutors were forced into dismissing the complaint against Mr Randolph. Some time later when DNA science began developing, it was known that Mr Randolph committed suicide. During the investigations of the suicide scene at Mr randolphs trailer the detectives uncovered several trophies in the form of photographs. MR RANDOLPHS DNA WAS RECOURTED From Coan Carme Scene

4) Meryll Gibbs (Who committed a murder suicide crime against his wife in the exact same manner which Mrs Cobb had been killed, then killed himself)

Mr Yablonsky's DNA was found inside the cavity of Mrs Cobb,

and determined by two of the states leading experts that a) The DNA matching Mr yablonsky was older than the murder by several days.

(RT 317 - JONES - SEVERAL DAYS OLDER THAN THE MURDER)

DEMAND FOR DISCOVERY-5

as more than one and a half full days before she had been killed.

(RTYIN-SAUKEL-UP TO DUE AND HALF DAYS CLOCK THAN THE MURLED)

c) That Mr Yablonsky's DNA was not located anywhere else on the victims body.

(RT317-)CNES-SEVERAL DAYS OLDER)(RTYIN-SAUKEL-FACEE THAN 1/2 DAYS OLDER)

THAT THERE IS WAS PRECE YMBUCNSKY RAPED YMBS CORPS

The prosecutor DDA John Thomas believed the DNA to be as

many as one week older then the crime of murder. The following findings were made related to Mr yablonsky's DNA that was located inside Mrs Cobb by several parties;

- a) The trial counsel findings were that the DNA matching Mr yablonsky was older than the crime of murder
- b) The post trial conflict panelist attorney found the DNA matching Mr yablonsky was not related to the murder.
- c) The Court of appeals found during direct appeal that the DNA matching Mr yablonsky was older than the crime of murder by at least one and a half full days, adding, "that Mrs Cobb could very well have met someone other than the defendant in the parkinglot of the zodiac Lounge and taken home and killed ""That Mr yablonsky could very well have had sex with Mrs Cobb on Thursday, and been killed by someone else some time later"

The defendant yablonsky was interrogated 25 years after the crime had occured, while in front of his wife, children and mother in law, regarding his personal relationship with Mrs Cobb. As a tresult of the surrounding circumstances of the interrogation which included a) NO MIRANDA WAIVER b) The relationship between defendant and Mrs Cobb was not related to a murder c) The family surrounding the home during interrogation d) The officers were on duty and from more than one policing agency, petitioner lied about his carnal relationship when asked. The authentications process for this recording was not preserved and altered by SBSD homicide detectives.

Mr Yablonsky's DNA was not located on the following items which indicate involvement with the crime of murder;

- a) The murder weapon located around the victims neck
- b) The watchband pin located beneath the victims head
- c) The victims blood that had been smeared onto the bedroom door jambs of the crime scene
- d) No location on the victims body, outside the victims vaginal cavity
- e) Not underneath the victims body onthe bedding, sheets, or conforter
- f) (27317 "SELECAL DAYS OLDER TWAN MURDER") (F1417 "MCRE TWAN 1/2 DAYS

 OLDER TWAN MURDER")

 Mr yablonsky's fingerprints were not located inside the

 crime scene, or at the crime scene. Mr Yablonsky was in the city of

 downey california from September 18, 1985 until September 23, 1985

 with family members at the time this crime of murder occured with;
 - a) Holly Mitchell Yablonsky (WIFE)
 - b) Linda Mitchell (MOTHER IN LAW)
 - c) Joy Mitchell (SISTER IN LAW)
 - d) Thomas Mullen (WIFES GRANDFATHER)
 - e) June Mullen (WIFES GRANDMOTHER)
 - f) Holly's uncle (MOTHERS BROTHER)
 - g) Holly's cousins

CRITICAL POINTS OF INTEREST

That the records to this crime were witheld by triple.

counsel, until after direct appeal had been exhausted and state habeas petitions filed. Sealing the trial record as a direct result of acts by government bodies whose duties were to protect constitutional interests of Mr yablonsky at all critical phases of the trial, forbidding Mr yablonsky from ever participating in his defense, or help counsel during the fact development stages. The record is erroneous!

DEMAND FOR DISCOVERY-67

28

27

2

3

4

5

6

7

3

9

71

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

That as a direct result of trial counsels witholding of these vital records that are material as to "WHO COMMITTED THIS CRIME" my post trial attacks were deceptively hindered where facts that existed prior to trial, fell silent upon the trial record, during direct appeal, writ of habeas corpus collateral attacks upon the wrongful conviction. That during post trial habeas filings in state Court, DDA Eric Ferguson stated facts that did not exist in real time, from this case, into the habeas petition case#WHCSS1200311 Facts that petitioner could not at that time dispute because of the "HIDDEN RECORDS" from this trial record, due to deliberate and malicioius acts of government bodies.

JOHN HENRY YABLONSKY MAKES THIS DISCOVERY DEMAND FROM GOVERNMENT BODIES

- 1) Any and all copies of the interrogation recordings created by SBSD Detective Robert Alexander on March 8, 2009. This shall include any and all copies whether in text or captured in audio form of the "REAL TIME" original recordings. This shall include any and all text, compact discs created for trial purposes or other investigatory reasons. This shall include any copy used for trial purpose.
- 2) Any and all complaints within the SanBernardino Sheriff
 Department employment history of Detective Robert Alexander
 Greg Myler. This shall include any and all citizen complaints,
 inner department disciplinary write ups, informal complaints,
 as well as disciplinary actions upon either officer.
 This shall include any and all records of employment
 within this department that relate to infractions of
 department procedures, policies, of any misconducts.

DEMAND FOR DISCOVERY--8

- 4) Copies of all reports related to forensics evidneces collected from the crime scene of Rita Mabel Cobb whether used for trial or not. This shall include any and all request for examinations, the results of those examinations and by which agency produced those results. This shall include all forensics related evidences regarding DNA, fingerprint, blood samples collected from the crime scene, and any other related investigations for this case.
- 5) Copies of all interviews by any agent of the SBSD of SBDA offices with any witnesses regarding the murder of rita Mabel Cobb on September 23, 1985. This shall include all police reports created by any and all agents of the prosecuting office, to include multiple statements, reports created for investigatory purposes. This shall include names, dates, and contents of these interviews, and by which officer they were conducted, either in person or over the phone. This shall include any transcripts of interviews held by any agent and any witness regarding the murder of rita Mabel Cobb, and at any time.
- 6) A complete list of all witnesses on the prosecutors witness list, whether called to testify or not. This shall include Linda Mitchell, Holly Mitchell Yablonsky Brown, Lori Amaro. Kye Sun Delgado, and any other witnesses, THAT WEEK ON PROSELUTORS WITNESS LIST AT TIME OF PRETRIAL INVEST-

- 7) Any and all forensics reports generated, created by any agency for the SBSD related to this case of murder of rita Mabel Cobb from September 23, 1985 until todays date. This shall include any and all requests for examination, results from examinations by any agent of the state, and all evidences examined for this case.
- 8) The results of any and all forensics results of examinations of:
 - a) The watchband pin located beneath the victims head.
 - b) The murder weapon collected from around the victims neck
 - c) The victims blood smeared into the bedroom doorjamb
 - d) The cigarette butts collected at this scene
 - e) The desk cloth located on the victims bed(TO INCLUDE THE ENTIRE PIECE OF EVIDNECE AND ANY REPORTS WHERE THE EVIDENCE WAS DAMAGED, OR DESTROYED)
 - f) The victims clothing located on the bedroom floor
 - g) The results of the hair located on the victims body. (TO INCLUDE WHAT COLOR THE HAIR WAS)
 - h) The tape liftings from the victims body
 - i) Any and all swipes from the victims body
 - j) The contents from beneath the victims fingernails
 - k) The results of any and all fingerprints located at this scene.
 - 1) The results of any and all DNA collections from the victims body cavities.
 - m) The results of the victims clothing in her bathroom laundry hamper
 - n) The jewelry located on the victims nightstand
 - o) The gag placed into the victims mouth
 - p) THIS SHALL INCLUDE WHICH EVIDNECES WERE PLACED INTO WHICH BAGS, INCLUDING EVIDNECES THAT WERE PLACED INTO THE SAME BAGS
 - q) Any and all other evidences collected from this crime scene related to forensics examinations
 - r) Whether these evidences are still available and capable of being examined by labratories.
 - S) CHAIN OF CASTODY OF EUNENCE

- 9) Any and all notes taken by officers when they interviewed any witnesses. This shall include any note pad pages related to the investigations of the murder of rita Mabel Cobb on September 20, 1985.
- 10) Any and all requests by counsel, at any time related to discovery requests for this case, to include DPD David sanders, DPD Geoffry Canty. This shall include any and all e.mails, letters, texts, or otehr forms of communications regarding the discovery related to the murder of Rita Mabel Cobb
- 11) Any and all affidavits filed by any officer for the arrest, search for investigatory purpose in the murder of rita Mabel Cobb. This list shall include any and all affidavots whether enforced or not, to include Gregory Randolph. John Yablonsky and any others.
- 12) The records collected from states forensics specialists who were expected to testify during trial. This shall include any and all communications between the prosecutors office and the pathologist, the criminalist, DNA specialists, and any other expert who testified during this trial.
- 13) Any and all other evidneces collected by any agent related to the investigations of the murder of Rita Mabel Cobb, at any time between September 23, 1985 and todays date, whether aggrivating or mitigating this case on any level. PRICE TO HAD DURING TRIME.
- 14) A list of where these evidneces are collected from and who controls them.
- 15) The murder book created fro this case by SBSD.

Because this case carried the potential DEATH PENALTY/
LIFE WITHOUT POSSIBILITY OF PAROLE the gravity of these charges
must be supported by "SOME EVIDENCE BESIDES A PROSECUTORS
THEORY " . Because I was sentenced to life without possibility
of parole this demand for records is relevant as to who committed
this crime. I am an inmate without access to funds, or resources
which would allow me to pay for these records, and ask these records
be rpovided top me at no cost. As stated above the records piecemealed
to me by trial counsel have been compromised by CDCR storage, housing
and are not available. Because I am innocent of these charges,
and these records existed prior to the trial, should be made available to me at the earliest possible time for referencing, developing,
and war like attacks upon the miscarriage of justice which occured
in sanBernardion County Courts.

VERIFIED

I JOHN YNBLONSKY AN ADULT OVER HEE OF CONSENTS SWEAR THERE FACTE TO THE TRUE ACCORDING TO KNOWLEDGE AND BELIEF, IF CALLED TO TESTIFY WILL STATE THE SAME IN A COMET OF LAW UNDER CATHE

JULY BOLZOZI

JOHN YNGLENSKY

July 30, 2021

John Henry Yablonsky

2728

24

25

PROOF OF SERVICE BY AN INMATE

ACCORDING TO PRISONER MAILBOX RULE

THIS MAILING IS DEPED FILED AND SERVED UNDER ANTHOMY V CAPERA, 236 F. 34.563(9th cir.2000)

WHEN THIS MAILING HAS EVEN DELIVERED INTO THE CUSTOMY OF COCK STAFF

This service and mailing was conducted by a party and inmate of CDCR, and was conducted according to California Code Regulations § 3142 and P.C.§2501(b) officer, into a fully prepaid envelope to be delivered by the U.S.P.S. as

S.B. D.A. OFFICE

S.B.COUNTY CORONER OFFICE

S.B.S.D SCIENTIFIC DIVISION

303 w 3rd st s.b.ca.92415

175 s Lena rd s.b.ca.92415

711 E Rialto ave s.b.ca.92415-0056

S.B.S.D. EVIDENCE & PROPERTY MANAGEMENT 655 E Third st s.b.ca.92415

CAL-DNA DATA LARRATORY 1001 w Cutting blvd.#110 Richmond, ca.94804

RIVERSIDE CRIMINALIST LARRATORY 7425 Mission blvd Riverside, ca.,92509

This service contained the following documents;

DEMAND FOR DISCOVERY PURSUANT TO P.C.§ 1054.9

This service was conducted by an adult over the age of 18 years of age and mailed from a state institution, which will be logged by facility mailroom parties as [LEGAL] mail. This mailing was

	conducted from; parties as [LEGAL] mail. This mailing was
sandi	· · ·
	C1 TY 92179
	ZIP CODE
	This service was conducted on (DATE)
	UNDER THE PENALTY OF PERJURY THE FORGOING IS TRUTHFUL AND ACCORDING TO BELIZEF
	(NAME) John Henry yablons y (SIGNED)
1	ly address is
	480 alta rd. s.d.ca.92179