

CC: THE OMBUDSMAN CC: ROSEN BIEN , GALVAN ADA LAWYERS

August 26, 2021

John Henry Yablonsky CDCR#AL0373
17-122 FAC-D
480 Alta rd
San Diego, ca, 92179

RE: ADA ISSUES REQUIRING ATTENTION TO CORRECT MEETING 8/24/21
OMBUDSMAN JACOBS, ROSS, ZOUHA

Mr Jacobs sir;

As you could tell when I first arrived I beleived the meting was with the ADA lawyers, which is what CDCR staff told me when they collected me from my cell.

Your inquiry which is much needed, long overdue, is still appreciated, and I find it necessary to provide an accurate account of deficiencies with regards to ADA inamtes needs, and resources that are incompatable to the ADA requirments.

I did send a minute of the meeting we had on the last Tuesday 8/24/21, to protect my interests.

First, you stated the meeting was confidential, while the meeting was held beneath a camera which recorded audio and video footage of our "CONFIDENTIAL" meeting. Lets make that very clear!

The men at this institution who are ADA members, with some disability are forced into housing with non-complimentary inmates who regularly take advantage of the elderly, disabled inmates and staff do "NOTHING" to chill or prevent this issue. This usually places the ADA class member at serious risk of extortion, abuse, and discomfort. I have personally witnessed staff knowing place an non- ADA inmate into a cell which was taking advantage of the ADA inmate, physically harming him, and making verbal threats if the ADA told. Even though staff knew this, the activity still thrived. The ADA suffered.

The men at this institution who are ADA are not being given jobs so that they can benefit fromthe rehabilitative componants necessary to meet the parole boards needs, should the inmate come up to the board. This failure to employ ADA inmates with congenial jobs that compliment the disability places the ADA inmates into a depressive state of existance, and are regularly left out when it comes time to programming oppertunities such as RDO activities where additional yard is available only to those who work!!

The men at this institution are then made to be housed with known STG members who occupy the dayrooms, yards while participating in criminal condict and activities such as drug use, sale, reactions. The act of extortion occurs all too frequently by canteen workers, laundry workers who provide to the non ADA inmates first, and then if there is time or resources left, address the ADA. Staff know who these gang members are, theya re caught red handed and on video, and still nothing is done to chill these hardships, extreme dangers.

Even though there are cameras all over the place, free staff are not made to wear these, and this places the ADA inmates into compromising situations where free staff have free rein to address ADA needs, activities at thier "DISCRETION" without an filter, or protective measures. For instance my being fired because I wrote a book which was permitted....until they read parts of it about a lawsuit against Donovan staff and members of the education department. I was not fired for writing a non fiction book, it was because of the lawsuit I filed against education staff for attacking my protected rights.which you are very well aware of.

The ADA class are not afforded access to the ADA showers, even though the housing units designate fifty cells per building specifically for this very purpose to house handicapped inmates!!. The cellsa re too small for the handicapped issues which cause hardships that need to be avoided. Slipping and falling. Incapable of moving around the cell without complications, or a cellie who does not consider or respectt the ADA 's disabilities. These men are forced into having to clean their cells in such a cramped space that makes it nearly impossible for the inmates to clean themselves or the cell without some great efforts.

The hardships on regular inmates are still placed onto the ADA inmate who is regularly incapable of participating in activities such as exercise on the yard, or other complimentary programs that an ADA inmate can participate in. Everything here at RJD is for the non ADA inmates, while allowing ADA inmates to reside here. There just is not enough resources, programs for the ADA inmate to feel humanized, able to function as a human being which has difficulties getting around, seeing, hearing. Because of this deficiency, the ADA inmates are left out, while non ADA inmates have free reign to overpower the yards, cells, dayrooms etc.

I get it that prison is to house inmates, but to classfy all inmates the same without one genuine consideration as to what the ADA needs, desires, is unconscionable and certainly what The Armstrong Act considered when it became enacted.

There are no hand grips inthe ADA showers, and the ADA showers are always overran by the other inmates who "SHOULD" be tranfered to a more appropriate prison where the elderly and ADA are not available to abuse, take advantage of, and harm. By placing non ADA men into the same environment without some failsafe protective measures is an inevitable situation. Where ADA men are inevitably going to be abused, neglected, harmed, and even worst.

For instance the PANDEMIC is killing inmates from lack of care, lack of access to resources to care for ourselves and CDCR does little to nothing to compliment that issue, or even carew for that matter. The ADA inmate at least on D FAC is forced into getting his "CLEAN LAUNDRY" froma contaminate yard, which becomes "CONTAMINATED" before he can get it into his cell. We have filed CDCR 602 on this, and still the ADA needs are ignored where incontentant issues create regular access to these resources, which simply are not available....unless you get the "CONTAMINATED LAUNDRY" fromthe yard on an irregular basis. This is unconscionable treatment!!

These issues are overpowering to the ADA's processing of his thoughts, and decisions to survive these deficiencies which are required for an ADA inmate to live a healthy and meaningful life behind these walls. It is intriguing that a transgender inmate who had personal hygienic issues for their "needs" are met far before the ADA inmate can even consider any such considerations by CDCR. Regularly and usually leaving the ADA inmate far into the rears when it comes to receiving the resources they require, and only after everyone else gets theirs first.

The incontinent inmates are not given clean fresh laundry daily, and are forced into trading their soiled laundry in "PUBLIC VIEW OF OTHERS TO HUMILIATE" on a contaminate yard in SPONTANEOUS POINTS OF TIME. While a transgender inmate gets fresh new linen everytime they come to the laundry window. This seems a bit unfair, since the laundry is what preserves and protects the inmates health care needs. But to give transgenders new clean laundry everytime they come to the window and not the ADA inmate seems disproportionate to the real health and safety concerns of this facility.

ADA inmates are made to struggle with the unbalanced yard for their medications which is often located on the other side of the yard. Making getting these meds difficult, and usually fall to the less desired tasks of the ADA's day. Most if not all the ADA inmates wear these bright yellow vests which are targets, during movement times, where non ADA inmates run them over, or making access unavailable because ADA inmates regularly move quite a bit slower.

For instance this yard has five hundred cells which house at least 250 handicapped inmates, all with special needs, and are being neglected because their numbers are overpowered by the non ADA inmates who care little to nothing whether the ADA is safe, has access, or their needs met. There simply are not enough resources to assist the ADA inmates at this facility, or at least this yard.

I struggle with the concept that your office only focused on the "RETALIATION" aspect of ADA concerns, ignoring all these other issues which certainly have been appealed.

What is so disturbing is that "if" an ADA inmate comes into a need, or requires to appeal an issue which offends his needs, there simply is not a genuine appeal system in place to address these concerns. In fact these efforts to appeal which went ignored, until lawyers got involved sheds light about "YOUR TRUE INTERESTS".

Your office cared little to nothing about the rotting foods we appealed a couple years back, or the soiled linens from PIA, until the Courts forced these cameras into place. At least the cameras are in place. You have more than sufficient information, to take actions, or at the very least help facilitate change.

Respectfully

John Henry Yablonsky