

\*  
DNOS Copy

1 JOHN HENRY YABLONSKY  
2 #AL0373-2309342444  
3 9500 ETIWANDA  
4 R.C., CA.91739  
5 IN PROPRIA PERSONA  
6

7 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA  
8 COUNTY OF SANBERNARDINO

9 JOHN HENRY YABLONSKY,  
10 PETITIONER

Case No.: FVI900518

11 NOTICE OF MOTION ENTERING OBJECTION TO  
12 THE PROSECUTORS REQUEST FOR PC1050  
CONTINUNANCE

13 vs.

DATE;SEPTEMBER 19, 2025  
DEPT; V-3  
TIME;0830

14 THE PEOPLE OF CALIFORNIA,

15 Defendant

THE HONORABLE JUDGE TAYLOR

16  
17 **TO; JASON ANDERSON AND THE COURT OF RECORD**

18 Please take notice that John Henry Yablonsky (PETITIONER) will now enter a  
19 formal objection upon the district attorney's motion pursuant to PC 1050 for sixty day  
20 continuance of these PC1172.6 proceedings. Senate bill 1437 & 775 permit parties to consider  
21 facts within the trial and appellate record, this is supported by PC1172.6(c), which the district  
22 attorney's office already did on January 26, 2024 when they submitted a striking motion  
23 outlining facts within the record, they believe relevant to their argument that petitioner is  
24 ineligible to have this conviction vacated, based on facts they feel are material to guilt. **WITHIN**  
25 **THAT MOTION, BY THOSE RECORDS THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY REVIVED THE**  
26

1 **FRAUDULENT EVIDENCE CREATED BY THE SAME OFFICE TO SECURE THE**  
2 **VERDICT ON FEBRUARY 3, 2011!**

3  
4 Petitioners motions within this briefing stages has aggressively argued the  
5 invalidity of the verdict, based on that fraudulent activity where the district attorney office  
6 deliberately submitted to the jury, the court, records that the same district attorney office created  
7 on January 26, 2011, knowing that transcript of the March 8, 2009 interrogation was in fact false.

8 **EFFECTIVELY COMMITTING FRAUD UPON THE COURT IN VIOLATION TO**  
9 **STATE AND FEDERAL LAWS!**

10  
11 The district attorney's re-use of those fraudulent arguments becomes compounded by  
12 the fact that the records submitted by the district attorney office purportedly proving guilt, are  
13 now exasperated when the district attorney now submitted an entirely different set of transcripts  
14 that the jury in this case never seen. **THIS INSTIGATED PETITIONER HIRING EXPERTS**  
15 **TO SCOUR THE RECORD AND THIS "NEW EVIDENCE" TO DETERMINE**  
16 **WHETHER THIS EVIDENCE WAS IN FACT SEEN BY MY JURY ACCORDING TO**  
17 **THE ACTUAL TRIAL TRANSCRIPT!** According to the actual recorder transcripts (RT 403,  
18 455;4, 455;22, & 408;24- 409;4) there was in fact a set of transcripts created on January 26, 2011  
19 that was shown to my jury on January 27, 2011, which played a significant role in this verdict  
20 then allegedly placed into states "EVIDENCE RECORD AS STATES EXHIBITS 49 & 49A"  
21 **NOW THE TRANSCRIPTS PLACED INTO THIS RECORD SHOW A CREATING**  
22 **DATE OF NOVEMBER 23, 2010, TRANSCRIPTS THE JURY NEVER SEEN, SO,**  
23 **WHERE DID THE JANUARY 26, 2011 TRANSCRTIPTS GO?** Therefore the district  
24 attorney has submitted a record that falls outside the trial and appellate record, while  
25  
26  
27  
28 compounding the frauds with a set of documents that prove deliberate acts identified by

\*

DADS COPY



1 petitioners experts as fraud! Now the district attorney's response on March 14, 2025, to  
 2 petitioners PC1054.9 motion to compel states exhibit 49A that was created on January 26, 2011  
 3 and then used between January 27, 2011 through February 3, 2011 has been destroyed! "IF" the  
 4 states records contain an electronic file, that included 2800 pages in a computer folder, how does  
 5 this particular section to the "TRIAL RECORD" now indicate the January 26, 2011 transcripts  
 6 have been destroyed without also destroying the November 23, 2010 transcripts?  
 7

8 **PETITIONER ENTERS A NAPUE OBJECTON AT THIS TIME**  
 9 **IN FACT THIS IS NOW CONSIDERED AS A HOLOHAN NAPUE ERROR!**

10 July 2, 2025 "GOD BLESS AMERICA" John Henry Yablonsky

11 Proof of service by an inmate

12  
 13 I John Henry Yablonsky an adult and party to this action declare  
 14 under the penalty of perjury that I mailed this objection to the following parties  
 15 by way of prisoner mailbox rule in postage fully prepaid envelopes that are  
 16 addressed as follows on July 2, 2025 according to prisoner mailbox rule that  
 17 once this is handed to the officers it is then served and filed

17 Superior court	district attorney office
18 14455 civic center dr	303 w third st
v.v., ca. 92392	s.b., ca. 92415

19  
 20 July 2, 2025 John Henry Yablonsky

21 **ISN'T AMERICA BEAUTIFL GOD BLESS DONALD TRUMP!**

DADS COPY