

1 JOHN HENRY YABLONSKY
#AL0373-2309342444
2 9500 ETIWANDA
R.C., CA. 91739
3 IN PROPRIA PERSONA
4
5

6 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA
7 COUNTY OF SANBERNARDINO

8 JOHN HENRY YABLONSKY,
9 PETITIONER

Case No.: FVI900518

10 vs.

11 DISTRICT ATTORNEY FOR COUNTY OF
SANBERNARDINO-JASON ANDERSON

**NOTICE OF RESOLUTION INTO POSSIBLE
DISPOSITION OF THESE MATTERS
REGARDING THEORY- FELONY- MURDER
ALLEGATIONS FOR A 1985 HOMICIDE**

12 RESPONDENT
13

14 **NOTICE; JASON ANDERSON DISTRICT ATTORNEY**
15 **FOR SANBERNARDINO COUNTY**

16 Mr. Jason Anderson, this case has consumed an abnormal amount of the state's
17 resources which falls squarely upon your office, the office of the district attorney, and this
18 counties judicial system, and the state of California, based on indescribable degrees of
19 misconduct that would shock our entire legal community, warranting not only dismissal of this
20 action, but, charges against deputy district attorney John Thomas, and possibly yourself, simply
21 because of the morbid political push by your predecessor Michael Ramos, who today sits idle in
some coffee shop writing crimes novels, while hiding behind shields of plausible deniability.

22 The record is fresh, saturated with physical and scientific proof that Mr. Ramos not
23 only knew I was to be eliminated as a suspect to this case before he sent Sanbernardino sheriff
24 Detective Robert Alexander, and two other police agencies to illegally entrap incriminating
25 evidence. The record is full of documentary, scientific and physical evidence which supports the
26 fact that your office is now responsible for the frauds committed by the Michael Ramos
administration when he prosecuted this case.

27 Your now current deputy district attorney John Thomas is on the record destroying
28 evidence that he knew would cause constitutional injury. My experts can now prove this! I have

1 argued this all along, while your other deputy district attorney Eric Ferguson fought to prevent
2 these facts, proofs from being developed and presented to the court. At this point your office
3 cannot legally argue ineligibility based on the record as it sits, because those frauds are the fruits
4 to the verdict now under attack.

5 We're not talking about misstatements of fact, errors that are clerical. No. We're
6 speaking about bold acts of electronic sabotage by destroying direct physical evidence that
7 exonerated me, and your team of advocates knew this the day after they captured it. The expert
8 can now prove that the roots of these false evidences was created on March 9, 2009, the day after
9 they reviewed what they captured on March 8, 2009. This first copy of the once exonerating
10 evidence wasn't even used during this trial, because it wasn't powerful enough to convince
11 twelve ordinary people I committed any crimes. Your prosecutor elicited the help of technicians
12 to manufacture not only the textual transcripts on January 26, 2011, but they used electronic
13 splicing techniques that according to them would never be discoverable.

14 My audio technician can now prove this, using modern digital software designed to
15 detect this degree of evidence tampering, IZOTOPE RX6! This technology is your offices
16 kryptonite! Your office has absorbed the expense of compensating the colonies partners to a sum
17 that rests squarely around quarter of a billion dollars, because of the criminal acts of deception
18 by Michael Ramos' evidence manufacturing, evidence hiding schemes. This piles atop the
19 expense paid into Kevin Coopers exoneration, and now most recently William Richards
20 exoneration. I am certain that none of them have been able to uproot the gravity and degree of
21 physical and scientific proof of bad faith destruction that my team of experts have recently
22 developed.

23 As you may be aware, I have launched state bar complaints against you, and your
24 seat as county prosecutor, that is now supported by two expert reports that are indisputable, that
25 your office deliberately placed evidence into this record on January 26, 2024 that the jury, nor
26 this court has ever seen. Presumably hidden behind statutory barriers, until actual physical and
27 scientific proof can be found. Please take notice that Naum Wares report alone raises the hairs of
28 a suspicious one. This is followed by the audio technician's report which proves without a doubt
that these destructions were deliberate and calculated.

I wonder how many other cases have been tried under deputy district attorney John
Thomas, where questions of integrity of the evidence had found the courts. That's without any
provocation, heads up to look, foundations for reasons to look, without a reason to be suspicious
that Mr. Thomas had an evidence manufacturing class on how to alter digital evidenced.

1 The expenses of those post-trial inquiries would cost the state unthinkable amounts if
2 anyone really knew what we now know about Mr. Thomas's pattern of conduct. Just on post-trial
3 expenses evaluating eligibility. I can only guess there would be hundreds of convictions that
4 should be called into question, since Thomas admitted during my own trial that this was not his
5 first time altering evidence. I know for a fact that Opal Leanne Faulk suffered the exact same
6 evidence altering campaign mine did. She had the same prosecutor, same county district attorney
7 and same detective from the sheriff department. I have my private investigator trying to locate
8 her now.

9 As you can tell I am not giving up! I argued these misconducts in 2012 and twenty
10 three times since, from here to the United State Supreme Court, twice, all lost based on your
11 officer's falsification of evidence and blatant misstatements of fact, to overcome allegations of
12 fraud. Those fights were hidden behind technology, which you now see isn't an issue anymore. I
13 am making this proposal. That your office dismiss this case with prejudice, make arrangements
14 with county controllers, superintendents to compensate me with no less than seventeen million
15 dollars. (\$17,000, 000.00) That this compensation be made in four equal payments over the
16 following four years. I am asking that you consider the opportunity this offer invites. Trust that
17 your expense will be far greater if these reports find the public's eye, the potentially wrongful
18 convictions caused by John Thomas once this case overturns and becomes public record. If that
19 happens then the amount of this expense will be considerably more

20 In exchange I will sign a non- disclosure agreement which the court will retain
21 jurisdiction until the final payment was made. That this unlimited disclosure will remain intact so
22 long as your office coordinates the sealing of, and prevention of the movie your office
23 coordinated from ever being shown again, ever! I am not sure how that will work, but, so long as
24 your office remains silent on the matter of possible guilt, I will remain silent about your offices
25 manufacturing schemes to convict.

26 This is not a threat in no way shape or fm. I believe that I now have the weight of truth
27 in my favor and even if for some reason you convince this judge to grant your way, your reach
28 will not make it into our countries federal courts, who no doubt then, will order this same
29 conclusion, but at a far greater expense.

30 You took my life, the lives of my children and turned them into a political roost for a
31 man who should be wearing jailhouse jumpsuits. I have suffered four strokes, My children were
32 put into the streets, my daughter raped because of your charges on me, and you placed a bulls
33 eye onto my ^{BACK}ask which I have had to defend countless times because of your manufacturing
34 scheme to bolster conviction rates. I have suffered enough. I am entitled to relief!

35 Respectfully yours

35 John Henry Yablonsky