



- 1) The entire interrogation lasted 3 hr. 48min.
- 2) The interrogation was conducted on two separate devices and in two separate locations
- 3) One device was a personal recorder (never see or heard content)
- 4) One device was a cam corder (never seen or heard content)
- 5) These recordings were created into one continuous recording to appear as one uninterrupted recording (CD states exhibit,49)
- 6) These recordings that were combined were created into two separate transcripts(one 113 page version) (one 136 page version)
- 7) The 136 page version was withheld until after the entire state appeal had been exhausted.This is to show they withheld hours from the courts and defendant
- 8) The 113 page version was placed into the state as exhibit 49A.
- 9) The initial recordings removed from the 136 page version a 90-120 second conversation , 136 page version page 52:Line 3 (52:3) right after the words(wife) at one hour 15 ~~xxxxxxx~~ minutes and 9 seconds  
This was outside near the highway.

what missing)

J- ~~XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX~~

RAS There's a cafe around the corner ,spires.

RA = No,it would have to be more comfortable than that.

J- Well what did you have in mind

RA\_ How about the police station

J\_ Then that would be more comfortable;e for whom

RA- We'll give you a ride and return you

J- I'll drive my own vehicle

RA- No,we'll give you the ride and bring you back

J-Nah,i'll drive my own car,I got calls to make

RA- we'll just follow you then

- 10) This was the first alteration that I noticed, because the attorney gave me just the 113 page version and withheld any others

11)The attorney gave it to me in June 2009

- 12) The state presented this transcript to the jury that was as a 113 page version, but the monitor showed that there were 28 pages missing from what the jury was shown. This was shown in an audio and visually version, where all the answers were identical,what was printed on the screen and the sound over the speakers  
(This version that was redacted to 1 h. 55 m. is missing)

- 13) The state placed into the state records two separate recordings and transcript as states exhibit 49 (CD) and 49A(113 pages)  
( different that what was placed into states evidence)

KEN KEEP

- 14) the attorney did not even tell me that there was a 136 page version until July 2014 when the bara association made him release the evidence.  
(That is when I contacted ATTY Levy for the CD disc of state 49)  
( To compare to the 136 page version)
- 15) The 113 page vewrSION was altered to remove my invoking MIRANDA ,thaat the state knew I had a blue pinto when they presented a witness had seen a silve r pinot, not blue,removed all of melody's statements that she made comments ,then asked the the jury why would certain comments be made, and they removed all of the custodisal m,amarkers of the detecitives saying they knew I was a suspect, and there were no others.  
(This 113 page version is the one that is miassing all together)  
(and was made with a recording to match the printed words)
- 16)The states theory was that the interrogation was voluntary whiuch is why they removed the offer of non-custodial at the house, and my invoking miranda
- 17) The state recorded what time the transcript was played, and logged it at 1 hour and 55 minutes long.
- 18) The CD shows that at 113 pages there recording was 2 h. 54M.
- 19) this shows that the state presented 80 pages, the CD shows 80 pages at 1 h. 57 min.  
(proving the 113 page version was reduced to 80 pages for THE JURY)
- 20) The 136 page version sahowS the recording to be 3 hr. 48 mIn.
- 21) When they created the 113 page version they relocated several answers or redacted them all together
- 22)At 1 hr 7 ~~sec~~<sup>Min</sup> (CD) 15 sec. The answers of john were switched from (NO) to (um,yea) This is on page (45:22) of the 136 page version
- 23) at 1 hr. 7 min 20 sec. The answers of john were altrered from (Yes she did) to (NO)  
(#22 and 23 were in the hearing and was played as print and sound)  
(meaning that they had to rerecord the sound into new location)
- 24 ) There are 22 different alterations, but the altered answers were used to present oppertunity to the jury, suggesting that I ahd a key to the house
- 25) crimes are based on elements and for felony murder oppertunity is one of them,This implied thi the jury I had a key from when I lived there before months before, and planned to enter the house with (my key???)  
This shows intent in premeditation when collectively the inferance can be drawn from an answer when applied with a states theory.
- 26) The states DNA to me was scientifically examined and expertly testified to be the result of an encounter from 1½ days before the crime had been commite to several days before the crime had been committed.Placing me somewhere else unless I had a key for some inferable reason.
- 27) The states entire case is squarely based on my denials of sex with her, but they asked me these in front of melody, had I been involved,I denied, (not to avoid suspicion, but the arguement with mel about cheating)

- 28) the 113 page version is 2 hours and 54 minutes long  
the 136 page version is 3 hours and 48 minutes long
- 29) The altered recording will be removed from the state records as manufactured if I can prove they altered the answers, which alters the meaning.
- Here I can do this by showing they switched answers to prove an element of their case, showing opportunity.
- 30) There are many factors in the attorney failure, being that when he gave me the 113 page version, I told him that it was wrong, and he failed to examine it.
- 31) I requested all the discovery to this case from the very first time I met the attorney, and he gave me 300 pages and told me that was all there was and knowingly withheld over 3700 pages from me to make and base my decisions from, until after the trial, and then only gave me 1300 more different pages than the ~~XXX~~ 300 first pages, but still withheld the 136 page transcript
- 32) The transcript he gave me in June 2009 had to have been transcribed before the 136 page version, because the 136 page version was created on November 23, 2010
- 32) The altered version they created for trial that was 1h 55 m. long removed all the custodial markers to imply the interrogation was voluntary,

To remove the two separate locations they knew my car was blue when they presented a witness that seen a silver pinto, not a blue one. To remove that I was right there at the very beginning of the interrogation to imply I answered negatively for deceit to escape suspicion of involvement

Then they removed that I had repeatedly tried to invoke MIRANDA and told the jury the interrogation was voluntary

Look I do not know who is looking at this recording and comparing it to the 136 page version I provided, but this is a critical piece of my case because the only thing that even implies I was suspicious is the recording where I denied sexual involvement with this case, but then removed that I was interrogated right in front of my wife who was bizarrely jealous and would fight to the next coming of Christ, thinking I was a cheater. I never killed anyone, and certainly never committed this act I was framed for.

#### WHAT I NEED

- 1) A expert analysis of the markers I stated here where answers were removed and altered
- 2) I need expert certification of the (exact) findings. (tamper) (authenticate) and certification the CD was altered, in comparison to the 136 page transcript